THE INCREDIBLE HUMAN POTENTIAL, PART VI

TWO VIEWS OF THE FUTURE

THE LONG ROAD TO HELSINKI
T

THIS MORNING I was reading on the sports page how a Swedish university psychology group had rated the relative rigors of various sports activities.

In many sports a man or woman is "old" in the early or mid-thirties. The thought came to mind that it might be intriguing to compare the rigors of some of these sports to my own present activities, especially since in a preceding issue of Plain Truth I wrote of my own "youth" and vigor at 83.

I was surprised to see that these Swedish psychologists rated American pro football no higher in exertion required than 8th. They rated European professionals, especially since in Motocross (motorcycle cross-country racing) was second, English rugby third, professional hockey fourth, and competitive swimming fifth. That left baseball, boxing, track and field events, handball, tennis, and table tennis all less exhausting. The sports column observed that they probably had no concept of the mental strain on a golfer attempting a 5-foot putt with a $50,000 first prize depending on it or the nerve-wracking frustration of trying to think of an interesting-appealing score to a late-September baseball game between two fifth-place teams.

It's very hard, he continued, for people to realize that anything one can do sitting down could be grueling.

When I was in high school I found that running the mile was quite exhausting — so much so that when I ran a mile in 5 minutes flat on the Drake University track, I passed out at the tape — and that was not even good enough to make the high school track team. But that kind of exhaustion doesn't last. It allows quick recovery.
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Dr. Floyd Lochner of the Ambassador College faculty was a distance runner at Oklahoma State. He broke the world record in the 2-mile steeplechase. And of course that record has been broken a few times since. Dr. Lochner explained to me why such records are continually being bettered.

He said! It made him determined to win that day, at any cost. He could have endured the rigors of the jet travel like that — probably thinking of it as an exciting, enjoyable, restful and relaxing vacation.

On the contrary it is one of the most grueling activities — especially when it is a series of such long flights, all the way around the world. I seriously doubt if any of the sports activities listed by the Swedish psychologists would even remotely compare.

My wife of fifty happily married years died over eight years ago. Had she lived, I would not be doing this most important activity today. She was allergic to flying, and besides, at her age, she never could have endured the rigors of the jet travel I now must drive myself to do. And I never would have left her, the three fourths of the time I now must be away.

I have before me, as I write, two books. One is titled: Your Body Clock, the Other, Body Time, Physiological Rhythms and Social Stress. I also have several slipped magazine and newspaper.
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EUROPE’S FUTURE — PEACE OR WAR?
An on-the-spot report on the pros and cons of the recent European Security Conference in Helsinki.

THE INCREDIBLE HUMAN POTENTIAL — PART VI
The editor-in-chief’s new book now explains how God planned to carry out the awesome feat of reproducing himself.

IN BRIEF
Stanley R. Rader’s column covers important developments in Israel and Helsinki.

THE NEXT 25 YEARS — CRISIS OR OPPORTUNITY?
Our reporters cover the Second General Assembly of the World Future Society, held last June in Washington, D.C.

TWO VIEWS OF THE FUTURE
At the futurist conference, the prophecies polarized between “good news” and “bad news,” displayed here in chart form.

THE MISSING DIMENSION IN SEX — PART VI
Sex and family relationships are God-plane relationships, not available on the animal or angel plane.

ORCHIDS AND ONIONS
Response to the sex series is overwhelming — both pro and con; both serious and humorous.

WHO WON AT HELSINKI?
“Garner Ted Armstrong Speaks Out” on Moscow’s possible side benefit from Helsinki — a rift in the Atlantic alliance.

THE EAST-WEST CHARTER SIGNED EARLIER THIS MONTH AT THE 35-NATION EUROPEAN SECURITY CONFERENCE IN HELSINKI REPRESENTS THE ACHIEVEMENT OF A LONG-Sought GOAL OF SOVIET DIPLOMACY.

In 1952, Soviet Premier Josef Stalin proposed to his Kremlin associate that an all-European conference be convened for the announced purpose of peacefully settling East-West differences. His principal motive, however, was to ratify Soviet control of the Eastern European states, acquired during and after World War II, and to effect a withdrawal of American troops from European soil. Stalin had conceived of the conference as a substitute for a German peace treaty which had been made impossible by the division of Germany into two separate states.

Stalin’s idea was floated in early 1954 by Soviet Foreign Minister V. M. Molotov at a Berlin foreign ministers’ conference. Molotov proposed a 50-year collective security treaty along with a neutralized and permanently divided Germany. The Kremlin’s immediate aim at that time was to head off the alarming prospect of West German rearmament and Bonn’s entrance into NATO. The bitter experience with Nazism, in fact, was, and still is, at the very heart of the Soviet drive for security along her western flank.

Molotov went so far as to seriously suggest that the Soviet Union might even consider joining NATO! The Western representatives to the conference burst into audible laughter, and immediately rejected the idea.

A year later — in 1955 — Moscow formed the Warsaw Pact alliance as its counterpart to NATO. Soon thereafter, the Kremlin proposed that NATO and the Warsaw Pact be simultaneously dissolved in a mutual security arrangement. NATO again rejected the proposal out of hand.

More than a decade passed with Moscow pressing on and off for a security conference, but with little result. Each time a crisis arose — as in Hungary in 1956 and Czechoslovakia in 1968 — the Soviet proposal was put in cold storage, but soon afterward revived. Some of the Warsaw Pact nations — Poland in particular — began to get into the act by lobbying in Western Europe for acceptance of the idea. But NATO’s response to the feelers remained cool. The issue of Berlin needed to be settled first. NATO insisted, and talks on mutual force reductions in Europe had to be initiated — if not prior to a European security conference, at least parallel with it.

Following the ratification of the Four-Power Berlin Agreement in 1972 and Soviet acceptance of the idea of parallel talks on mutual force reductions, the way was finally cleared for a security conference.


During the 22 months of negotiations leading up to the final Helsinki extravaganza, about 550 million was spent on conference activities. In some 2,400 negotiating sessions, the 375 representatives turned out some 4,600 documents totaling over 9 million pages. The end result was a 100-page, 30,000-word “Final Act,” outlining promises to respect national borders, to expand trade and cultural exchanges, and to ease the flow of people and ideas across the Iron Curtain.

President Ford minced no words when he told his fellow heads of state what the U.S. expected from this conference: “Our people will be watching, and measuring our progress. They will ask how these noble sentiments are being translated into actions... History will judge this conference not by what we say today, but what we do tomorrow.”

An on-the-spot report begins on page three in this issue of Plain Truth.
Helsinki, Finland: Security was both the theme and the actuality at the European Security Conference. For the auspicious but potentially dangerous affair, given the worldwide terrorist epidemic, Finnish authorities assembled a force of 5,000 policemen and special security officers. All days off were cancelled; all holidays interrupted.

In addition, army units were placed in strategic locations. Armored personnel carriers guarded the approaches to Helsinki airport on the days before and after the conference when the official delegates arrived and left.

The divided highway into Helsinki was closed to all but official traffic. Regular airport buses were diverted onto dirt farm roads – guarded as well every quarter mile or so by Finnish soldiers, dressed in camouflaged uniforms, rifle at ready position, standing knee-deep in the roadside bushes.

Army tents dotted the roadside, before which usually squatted three or four off-duty soldiers cooking their food over an open fire, stripped to the waist due to the unusual heat wave gripping Finland and much of the rest of northern Europe.

The Soviet Union's entourage received unique attention. General Secretary Leonid Brezhnev, who chose to travel to Helsinki by train from Moscow via Leningrad, was escorted overhead in Finnish air space by two planes of Finland's small air force. (It was believed Mr. Brezhnev's mode of travel was symbolic. It was, in part, the reverse of the train trip taken by Lenin when he arrived at Leningrad's Finland Station from Helsinki, after the successful 1917 revolution.)

The arrival procedures taxed the strength of Finland's 35,000 soldiers, who guarded one delegation after another at the airport from before noon on Tuesday July 29 until after midnight. In midafternoon he had to dash by helicopter to Helsinki's Central Station to greet the arriving Mr. Brezhnev and then dash back to the airport for the arrival of the United Nations' Secretary General, Kurt Waldheim, Kekkonen's guest of honor and opening-day keynote speaker.

In the city itself, policemen were positioned at every major intersection even far away from Finlandia Hall, site of the conference. In front of the gleaming white building, Helsinki's main thoroughfare, Mannerheimintie, was closed off a half-mile in either direction, forcing the diversion of seven tram lines into round-about patterns over other tracks.

Every night throughout the downtown area, police swept the streets clean of the city's notorious Vodka-laced inebriates, whose subliminal anti-Russian sensibilities always seem to surface half way down the bottle.

At the conference center, security checkpoint, journalists were checked every time they entered the compound; briefcases and camera gear were passed through the X-ray machine, a la routine airport procedures. In Finlandia Hall itself, press rooms and walkways were clearly marked, with scores of black-and-white photographs of men and women positioned at prescribed doorways and staircases, ready to give a police negative nod to any Newman straying off the straight and narrow path.

Press facilities, despite the precautionary procedures, were perhaps the best equipped and organized of any major world conference. Superb telex and telephone facilities were set up on almost unbelievably short notice.

The only slight hitch for the working press was the type-writers which were generously provided by the hundreds. They were fine for the Finnish newspapers – but then others, such as the Americans and British, found that when they thought they were striking a comma or a period, they came up with an ä or å.

In all, the citizens of Helsinki seemed to take the whole affair pretty much in stride. Like the brewers of Geneva and Vienna (the two other major neutral nations and host to international meetings) they are getting used to the occasional infringements on their daily routines. Perhaps they feel it is a necessary payment to preserve the national independence that their nation – sandwiched between East and West – values so highly.

Gana H. Hogberg
Red Army moved west with the collapse of Hitler's Reich and acquired hundreds of thousands of miles of buffer zone area — some under direct control, some under the jurisdiction of satellite communist governments.

The fact that neither the United States nor its Atlantic alliance partners lifted a finger to materially support opposition uprisings in Hungary in 1956 and Czechoslovakia in 1968 was proof of their intention to accept the de facto division of Europe and not issue a challenge to the Soviets which could have resulted in a hot war — with the prospect of the use of nuclear weapons.

But to Moscow the undefined status quo has never been enough. It had to be made more certain, given more of a formalized legal nature.

Since a peace treaty ending World War II has never been signed — and is unlikely to be as long as Germany is divided — the Soviets have long been plumping for an alternative — a European security conference — to achieve virtually the same result.

And it had to be a big affair, with heads of state in attendance (not just foreign ministers), with the signing of a formal document by all of them in full public view, for all the people of the world to see on television; with every signatory pledging such key principles as "inviolability of borders," "peaceful settlement of disputes," "refraining from the threat or use of force," "non-intervention in internal affairs." With this now accomplished at Helsinki — even though the "Final Act" is a legally non-binding document — the Soviets at last feel a sense of security they have sought for decades.

Overriding Fear

It is often difficult for West Europeans to comprehend the Soviet apprehension — in fact, near obsession — with the fear of military threats arising in the often unpredictable political soil of Western Europe. But the memories of Napoleon and Hitler are etched deep in the Russian psyche.

The fact that there is no threat now is no guarantee that there could not be one in the future. The attitude of the Russians, according to a political observer at Helsinki, is easily summed up as "One never knows, so one must be careful."

This apprehension was voiced by Mr. Brezhnev in his address when he reminded his fellow heads of state that "it was here, in Europe, that aggressors time and again adorned themselves with notorious laurels, later only to be cursed by the people. It was here, in Europe, that claims to world domination were raised to the level of political doctrine ended in the collapse of states whose resources had been made to serve criminal and misanthropic purposes. That is why the hour has struck for the inevitable collective conclusions to be drawn from the experience of history."

Many observers believe that the European Security Conference with its "security in our time" proviso, may be the cornerstone of Mr. Brezhnev's political career. With it, plus another summit conference with Mr. Ford and a successful Soviet Party Congress in 1977, Mr. Brezhnev will be able to step down voluntarily from office, the first leader in post-Second World War Russia to be able to do so.

There is also every reason to believe that the Security Conference serves vital purposes within the hierarchy of Soviet political power as well.

Instinctively, Mr. Brezhnev is a cautious man, not unaware of the awesome military might both his country and the United States possess. By presenting to his countrymen the "fact" of a secure borderland in Europe, Mr. Brezhnev is hoping to forestall the recklessness of brute military power on the part of those who might succeed him.

Security for a Price

Moscow's principal aims at Helsinki were not achieved without a price, although for a long time it looked as if its negotiators, during the long, tough years of deliberation (first in Helsinki, lastly in Geneva), were not willing to pay one kopek for the rewards they expected to reap.

Finally, when it looked as if the entire affair were going to be scrubbed, the Eastern bloc yielded on two major fronts.

First they agreed to a lengthy list of human rights issues, known as the "Basket Three" measures. Secondly, they begrudgingly acceded to Western demands to hold a follow-up conference in the summer of 1977 in Belgrade, Yugoslavia. At that time all 35 signatory nations to the Helsinki pact will come together once again. Of course, each nation's high representative will profess his own nation's faithful adherence to the terms of the "Final Act," to the very jost and the title of it, and probably its spirit and intent as well — while condemning the infidelity of others.

In any regard, the West was not about to recognize the final consolidation of the Eastern bloc without attempting to construct a few links to the captive

WEEK ENDING AUGUST 23, 1975
PEOPLE WHO, by fate, have been so unfortunate as to live in that great zone of conquest between peoples who, by fate, have been heavy dictates of the Moscow-dominated Comecon bloc. Ceausescu also called for the withdrawal each to its own country of all foreign troops based there, while a member of the Warsaw Pact, is the only East bloc country to have Romanian troops encamped on its soil.

It is very possible that in the near future, the Romanian situation could provide an excruciatingly tough test case for the Soviets, who have promised to abide by the conference provisions. "Non-intervention in internal affairs," "inviolability of borders," and "refraining from use of force," are the terms of the "Final Act." And what if Ceausescu "pulls a Tito" and decides to make his own country a completely independent communist state? He already appears headed in that direction. He has built what the Soviets would call a "cult of personality" around him. He has consolidated in his own person the functions of president and prime minister, and is supreme head of his nation's military defense planning agency.

Although the Conference deals with security and cooperation in Europe, its implications and repercussions extend far beyond the geographical confines of Europe. For peace and security in Europe is essential to peace and security in the world. Particularly this is so having regard to the fact that the European continent has been the sparking point of two world wars. Any developments in Europe inevitably affect the rest of the world.

Next Détente Stop: Vienna

In 1973, as phase one of the now-concluded European Security Conference began in Helsinki, the United States and its north Atlantic allies made one thing clear to the Warsaw Pact: Progress in the Soviet-initiated security conference would hinge upon progress in parallel Vienna's "Basket Three" issues as well - East-West troop reductions in Central Europe.

The United States is attempting to limit a first step in force reduction agreement to Soviet and American ground forces only, leaving negotiations on cuts by other national forces on each side of the Central European dividing line until later. The Soviet Union, on the other hand, wants cuts by other participating national forces included in the first-stage agreement package, as well as reductions in air force units and tactical nuclear weapons.

Because of the Eastern bloc's geographical advantage and current manpower superiority "détente" is not realistic. The NATO countries - called "asymmetrical reductions" - to compensate for the disparity. The NATO plan is to eventually reach parity at a common ceiling of 700,000 ground troops on each side - effectively ending communist superiority.

Perhaps, at best, the Conference on European Security and Cooperation has temporarily bought back another step from the brink of a global war that everyone shudders even to imagine. Perhaps, as some have said, we have now moved from the Cold War to the Cold Peace.

Perhaps there may be progress in other areas of international cooperation, such as token symbolic troop pullbacks in Europe at the log-jammed force reduction talks. Perhaps there will be a breakthrough in SALT - albeit preserving the deployment of medium-range nuclear weapons at a frighteningly high level.

But this is hardly a comfortable way for mankind to live.
HOW God planned to carry out the awesome feat of reproducing Himself.

God. He is and was a person, God, whom he was, is also a person. They both coexisted forever. "All things" (words elsewhere translated "the universe") were made by him — the Word — the divine spokesman.

But now notice verse 14: "And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld his glory as of the only begotten of the Father,) full of grace and truth." This, of course, is speaking of Jesus Christ. In the human flesh, he was begotten of God the Father, the only one ever so begotten (before human birth).

The Father, from heaven, said of Jesus, "Thou art my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased." Jesus, praying, called God "Father" — "Father and Son" — a family relationship. The church, at the resurrection to immortal spiritual life, is to marry the resurrected and glorified Christ (Eph. 5:25-28). So we have here a family relationship — Father, Son, husband and wife. And the "wife" is to be composed of born children of God.

I personally have been the father of a family. My family name is Armstrong. My wife and I lived together fifty years until her death. I have a son, Gienar Ted. My son is also Armstrong, just as Jesus, the Word, was God, and yet he was with God. Our family name is Armstrong. All members of the family also coexisted forever. "A ll things" (words elsewhere translated "the universe") were made by him — the Word — the divine spokesman.

Likewise, there is but ONE God — but in the family name, and in more than one person in the ONE family, CHRIST the Maker of All.

CHRIST the Maker of All

God is Creator. But God is the divine family. How can the Father of the family be Creator, and Christ also Creator? In Ephesians 3:14 it is written that God the Father "created all things [the universe] by Jesus Christ." And Christ is "the Word" — the spokesman. Speaking of Him, we read in the 33rd Psalm, "By the word of the Eternal were the heavens [universe] made; and all the host of them by the breath of his mouth... For he spake, and it was done" (verses 6, 9).

I will illustrate. In January 1914, I was sent by a national magazine to interview Henry Ford in Detroit. When I first met him, he was just outside the door of the huge Ford factory. He was wearing a business suit, not workman's overalls. He was the maker or manufacturer of the Ford cars. He made them BY his thousands of employees, whom I saw in overalls at work inside the factory. The employees used machinery and the power of electricity. Likewise, God the Father is Creator. He created BY Jesus Christ, the "workman," and it was done by the power of the Father. Jesus said plainly that He "spake" only as the Father commanded him.

Notice Colossians 1: Speaking of the Father (verse 12) and "his dear Son" (verse 13), "who is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn [Christ] were all things [the universe] created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible or invisible, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him: and he is before all things, and by him all things consist" (verses 15-17).

Jesus Christ, prior to human birth, had existed with the Father — always, forever!

Just HOW God Planed to Reproduce Himself?

From eternity the Father and the Word who became Jesus Christ had coexisted. They had created angels — probably many millions of them. A third of them, under Lucifer, rebelled against God's governance. He and angels became disqualified — the government of God was no longer to rule on earth — and the earth had become warse, empty, in confusion, and in darkness.

Were there, prior to this, more than just the two — God and the Word in the GOD family? God reveals no more. Was the "Word" the Son of God, and was God His Father at that time? They are nowhere referred to as such.

Consider, now, the truly awesome project God set out to accomplish — to reproduce Himself.

It is probable that, prior to this time, no form of life had ever been created with the reproductive process. Probably the very first example of reproductive life was that of plant life — as the time God was renewing the face of the earth (Gen. 1:11-12).

God had created the physical universe before putting the angels on the earth. God had created matter possessing so much that marvellous things may be done with it. There are both organic (living) and inorganic ( inert — dead) matter. In matter are such properties as energy, gravity, inertia. Awesome powers, such as the hydrogen bomb, may come from it. The special matter was offered God what He needed to reproduce Himself. After creation of reproductive plant life, God created animal life with the reproductive process, each
reproducing after its own kind—cattle after the cattle kind, horses after the horse kind, etc. But now God (Elohim) said, “Let us make man in our image, after our likeness [form, shape]...” (Gen. 1:26), in other words, “AFTER THE GOD KIND.”

God had created angels out of spirit. But now, for His own reproductive purpose, matter offered God the properties He needed.

And so “... the Eternal God formed man of the dust of the ground” — out of matter (Gen. 2:7).

If and When Man Sinned

At this point we must consider a basic principle of God’s government. The same can never be without a head. God placed Lucifer on the throne of the earth. He was no longer administering the government of God — he was now disqualified — but his (name now changed to Satan) must remain on that throne until his successor has qualified and also been induced into office. The very fact that Satan was there to tempt the first human — and it is even now, “the god of this world” (II Cor. 4:4) and “the prince of the power of the air” (Eph. 2:2) — is sufficient evidence of this basic principle of the government of God.

Knowing this, God knew the inevitability that Satan would tempt the first created man to disbelieve God and come into sin. If the super archangel and all his holy angels had been led into rebellion, how much more certain that man, man lower than the angels, would also sin!

Can you visualize God and with Him the Word (also God — of the God family) to develop God-like character.

Man, to be reproduced into the God family, was designed to have a God-like mind, an ability to think, reason, make choices — to develop God-like character.

by Stanley R. Rader

Jerusalem, August 1

Has Israel developed the A-bomb and, if so, the means to deliver it? Has Israel thus become the seventh member of the nuclear club — following the United States, Russia, England, France, China, and India?

If so, the prospects in the event of another Israeli-Arab armed conflict are more terrifying and ominous for all mankind — because, confronted with the threat of defeat and destruction, would Israel refrain from using its atomic weapons if such weapons do indeed exist?

Fortunately, much progress seems to have been made in recent months in the painfully slow process of arriving at the next stage of an Egyptian-Israeli accord which would lead to another Israeli withdrawal in the Sinai. But, at the same time the conditions in Egypt — economic, social and political — are more volatile than ever before, and time does not seem to be working for Egypt and the United Arab Republic. The reopening of the canal and the rebuilding of Suez City — are they not additional trumps for Israel in any negotiations? Can Egypt afford another costly war and a reduction of that which has been so dearly won?

Meanwhile, as the nations of the third world are preparing to muster their vote to eject Israel from the United Nations because of its continued occupation since 1967 of Arab territory, thirty-five or more European heads of state, plus the United States and Canada, celebrated the signing of the European security document at Helsinki and thus recognized officially the land acquired by Russia in the course of World War II (as well as the division of Germany) and the general hegemony of Russia over all of Eastern Europe — a fact or condition that has prevailed for more than thirty years.

Certainly we would all welcome a true world peace — a true relaxation of tensions and a true end of the arms race — but does the pomp and circumstance of the world leaders in Helsinki give us reason to feel that the world is a safer place today — when the United States still spends more than $100 billion for defense, and when even Brazil and Argentina and other smaller nations scream for their own atomic bomb and admission to the nuclear club?

Certainly the virtues of detente are yet to be proved, and the risk would be too great for us to reduce our defense spending and our diligence in the face of continued defense spending by the Soviet Union. Yet perhaps it is fundamentally true that it is better to be working with and talking with one's enemies than fighting them.

And yet the Helsinki conference does appear to this observer to be somewhat of a surrender after a thirty-year "cold war" for a free Europe.

The computer showed mathematically that various forms of cooperation were statistically irrelevant to armed conflict. Highly touted cultural exchanges, trade, or joint space ventures may be fine in themselves, but they assuredly do not keep nations from war.

The computer study is fascinating verification of a biblical image that makes traditional "establishments" theological, sputter and issue apologetic disclaimers: "And I saw heaven opened, and behold a white horse; and he that sat upon him was called Faithful and True, and in righteousness he doth judge and make war — and his eyes are as a flame of fire; and his feet are like fine brass..." (Rev. 19:11-14).

That's not exactly the description of a sad-eyed pacifist, but it is the description of a world king come to bring peace, which we discover — by the Bible and our own evaluation of a breakaway in negotiations? Can Egypt afford another costly war and a reduction of that which has been so dearly won?

And yet the Helsinki conference does appear to this observer to be somewhat of a surrender after a thirty-year "cold war" for a free Europe.
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Certainly we would all welcome a true world peace — a true relaxation of tensions and a true end of the arms race — but does the pomp and circumstance of the world leaders in Helsinki give us reason to feel that the world is a safer place today — when the United States still spends more than $100 billion for defense, and when even Brazil and Argentina and other smaller nations scream for their own atomic bomb and admission to the nuclear club?

Certainly the virtues of detente are yet to be proved, and the risk would be too great for us to reduce our defense spending and our diligence in the face of continued defense spending by the Soviet Union. Yet perhaps it is fundamentally true that it is better to be working with and talking with one's enemies than fighting them.

And yet the Helsinki conference does appear to this observer to be somewhat of a surrender after a thirty-year "cold war" for a free Europe.
The Next 25 Years—Crisis or Opportunity?

by Robert Ginksy

"Never in all human history have so many people taken such a serious look at the future of mankind. And never has opportunity been so necessary, because never has the human race faced such an overwhelming crisis and such a stupendous opportunity."

Edward S. Cornish, President, World Future Society

Man and woman live in a world populated by many larger, swifter, and stronger species. But only man can truly think about the future. Only man is equipped with the unique mental ability to contemplate, anticipate, and prepare for tomorrow — and ten years after tomorrow. Only man has the potential for altering his culture and environment in accord with his vision of the future. From the beginning of recorded history, man has looked to the future with a mercurial mixture of curiosity, fear, hope, and confidence. Today, men’s visions of the future seems darkened by the possibility of thermonuclear war, environmental destruction, and the potential collapse of the economic and social systems that sustain our lives. Yet to some, our future is also brightened by the knowledge that in many respects we have within our power the possibility of creating a civilization incomparably superior to any ever known in the annals of history.

Futurists on the Future

Those who study the future often have widely differing scenarios of what tomorrow will bring. Indeed, even the most fundamental questions often remain unanswered or even unasked. Why are we here? Where are we going? What should our goals be? Assuming we could control the future if we wanted to, who will make the key decisions? Whose standards will be imposed? Who determines whether technological, economic, and population growth should be increased, reduced or even stopped?

At the second general assembly of the World Future Society in Washington, D.C., an impressive array of experts considered some of the alternative futures that may emerge in the next 25 years. Lester Brown, president of the World Watch Institute, was not optimistic. "We are about to enter a not-so-brave new world that will require accommodation to a less affluent and simpler way of life," said Brown. "We delude ourselves if we think the years ahead will be easy. At best they will be traumatic, and they could be catastrophic. . . We are on the verge of one of the great discontinuities in human history."

By contrast, Herman Kahn, founding director of the famed Hudson Institute, contended that we may be entering a period of transition from economic growth to stable equilibrium. "This transition could be the most important moment in history," declared Kahn. Projections of ultimate catastrophe (e.g., the Club of Rome’s "Limits to Growth") are commonly and disquietingly presented as scenarios of the future. But Kahn asserted such projections may be meaningless. "It’s like projecting the growth of a 17-year-old — he would be monster at 25."

M.I.T. professor of management Jay W. Forrester claimed that long-range and detailed planning for future generations is being hampered by "too much emphasis on purely physical limits and by too much emphasis on international action and cooperation." Forrester maintained that social and cultural limitations must also be seriously considered. Too often, international solutions to energy, population, economics, etc., are simply "ways to avoid local responsibility," according to Forrester.

While many futurists assert that widespread planning is our only hope of living in a desired future, Jib Fowles, chairman of the committee for studies of the future at the University of Houston, contends that such planning may well ride roughshod over the attitudes and wishes of the people who will populate the future. "There is something essentially sinister about the political means by which futurists would have their intentions implemented," says Fowles. "Rather than establish one smooth path into the future which may be free of surprise but which is certainly not free of coercion, it is better to move forward as democracy would have it — cumbersome, making crisis, but without a loss of freedom. As irksome and antiquated as this approach may seem to some, it is also a long-term advantage, for it means that flexibility and resiliency will be preserved.

"We should keep hands off the future," concludes Fowles.

Needed: A Spiritual Dimension

Most people recognize the need for a certain amount of future-oriented planning. But the rub comes when we sense that someone else’s undesirable goals and purposes will be achieved by that planning. George Orwell’s fortieth novel, 1984, vividly portrays a frighteningly possible "planned future" — a future nightmarishly efficient, where people’s lives are planned, monitored, and controlled in every detail. Thus the question is not so much whether to plan for the future, but who is really qualified to do that planning? And of those qualified, whom can you trust?

"Every society has a set of myths by which it views the future," says Gregg Edwards, program manager for Alternatives in Higher Education for the National Science Foundation. These myths, according to Edwards, "provide an essential backdrop for any proposed changes in the society."

The danger comes when those who control the future have the wrong values or when the society simply ceases to adhere to the values it once had.

John Platt, associate director of the Mental Health Research Institute at the University of Michigan, observed that in our Western society, we have no unified sense of any ultimate source for standards by which to evaluate possible future developments. "We have no prophets; we have no sacred books to guide us toward the future," asserted Platt (Continued on page 13)
**TWO VIEWS OF THE FUTURE**

Nobody knows exactly what the future will bring, but most people have an opinion. At the second general assembly of the World Future Society in Washington, D.C., June 2-5, futurist Herman Kahn, founding director of the Hudson Institute, presented two characteristic (and largely opposing) views on the future. Kahn asked those of us at the futurist conference to indicate the viewpoint with which we would most closely agree. Interestingly, the response was about equally divided between the two scenarios. Below is a shortened adaptation of Kahn's original presentation. The left side contains the "bad news," a rather pessimistic neo-Malthusian appraisal of man's future. On the right side is the more optimistic "post-industrial society" as viewed by many. **Plain Truth** invites our readers to consider both columns, and, if you're so inclined, let us know why you favor one and not the other. We'll discuss your responses in a reader's forum, and we'll further analyze these visions of the future in forthcoming issues of **Plain Truth**.

### THE BAD NEWS: "LIMITS TO GROWTH"

**The Basic Model**

**Fixed Pie:** We have a fairly good idea of what this world can provide. Therefore, "the finite pie" and "the fixed bowl" are good metaphors, particularly in thinking about non-renewable or limited resources. We must share more fairly the limited supplies and room of "spaceship earth."

**Technology and Capital**

Diminishing Returns: New technology and additional capital investment, necessary to extract marginal resources, will vastly increase pollution — probably to lethal levels — and markedly accelerate the approaching exhaustion of resources.

**Resources**

Rapid Depletion: Man is rapidly depleting the earth's food, energy and mineral resources, and even running out of space for getting rid of pollution products. Many key resources will soon be seriously depleted.

**Current Growth**

Uncontrolled Exponential and/or Cancerous Growth: Even if the current level of population and production could be sustained indefinitely, current exponential growth in both population and production will accelerate dramatically the approaching exhaustion of resources and of our ability to cope with pollution.

**Innovation and Discovery**

A Trap: New discoveries of resources, new technologies, and new projects may postpone the immediate need for drastic actions, but not for long. Such postponement will make the eventual collapse more severe and possibly even earlier.

**Industrial Development**

A Disaster: Further industrialization of the Third World would be disastrous, and further growth of the developed world even worse. Therefore, the rich should halt their industrial growth and share their present wealth with the poor.

**Quality of Life**

Growth vs. Quality of Life: Continued economic or population growth means further deterioration of environment, destruction of ecology, particularly of marshes and wilderness, overcrowding, suburban sprawl, and a society suitable for automobiles, trucks and airplanes but not for human beings.

**Long-Range Outlook**

The Current Emergency Is Total: Unless revolutionary changes are soon made, the 21st century will see the greatest catastrophe since the black death. Large-scale damage is a plague to the environment and to the ecology of many areas. Billions will die of hunger, pollution and/or wars over shrinking resources. Other billions will have to be held down by harsh authoritarian governments. The crisis is grave and some draconian measures may be justified now to alleviate the extent and intensity of the future collapse.

---

### THE GOOD NEWS: "A POST-INDUSTRIAL WORLD"

**The Basic Model**

**Growing Pie:** No one knows accurately what the earth holds or can produce or what new uses may be made of new or old materials. But growing pie, "expanding bowl," "exercised muscle" (or skill) are good metaphors — i.e., within limits, the more one produces, the more one can produce.

**Technology and Capital**

Absolutely Necessary: New technology and capital investment are necessary not only to increase production to desirable levels, but also to help protect and improve the environment, to keep resource costs down, and to provide an economic surplus for problems and crises.

**Resources**

Adequacy: It will be possible to support, at middle-class standards, world populations of 20 or 30 billion at levels of 20 or 30 thousand (1974) dollars per capita for centuries. Indeed, we can do this largely using only current and near current technology.

**Current Growth**

Transition to Stability: One can make a plausible case for world population stabilizing in the 21st century at about 15 billion, gross world product per capita stabilizing at about $20,000 and gross world product at about $300 trillion — give or take factors of two or three.

**Innovation and Discovery**

Huge Improvements: New resources, technology and economic growth often produce new problems and crises but they can still be used to solve problems, improve efficiency and upgrade the quality of life to a permanently high plateau.

**Industrial Development**

Must Continue: Industrialization of the Third World will (and should) continue. It is foolish to imagine that the rich nations will voluntarily share to the extent of deprivation for the rich.

**Quality of Life**

Eventually a High Quality of Life for All: Much of what some elites or esoteric groups consider destructive, many others consider constructive. Once there is widespread acceptance of the initial costs, the complaints may still be very shrill and visible but largely inappropriate or very specialized.

**Long-Range Outlook**

Things Are Going Reasonably Well: The 21st century is likely to see a post-industrial economy in which the more desperate and seemingly eternal problems of human poverty will have largely been solved or greatly alleviated. While tragic mistakes and much suffering and damage may mark this historical transition to a materially abundant life for almost all, the ultimate prospect is breathtakingly superior to traditional poverty and scarcity.
Sex did not evolve. It was God, by miraculous creation, who made man male and female! And God always has a purpose for what He does!

So because God created sex, He ordained the marriage institution. And it is God who binds together, as husband and wife, a man and a woman.

Marriage, then, is the second-named purpose of sex.

Marriage is a physical union, but a divine institution. Almighty God ordained it! It did not evolve.

Notice, in the scripture quoted above, Jesus said to the Pharisees, "Have ye not read . . . ?" He quoted an already written passage of Scripture. He said the Pharisees should have read it! Where is that scripture found? It is found in the second chapter of Genesis. It is part of the brief summary of the Eternal's original instruction to the newly created Adam and Eve. The creation of Eve had just been described, and God then said: "Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife" (Gen. 2:24).

So the Almighty revealed the sacred marriage institution to the first man and woman.

WHY Marriage?

Why did God ordain the human relationship of marriage?

The old repressive, dualistic morality taught that the only purpose of sex was reproduction. But merely reproducing their kind was the only purpose of sex, no marriage would be necessary! God made animals male and female. Animals reproduce -- but they do not marry! Marriage is not necessary to procreate.

We can, through sex, have reproduction without marriage! Indeed, that is one of the world's greatest evils today. There is entirely too much reproduction without marriage!

Animals don’t marry. But animals do not marry! Animals are born with instinct. They need little or no teaching.

Eve, a little calf born! The mother cow does not have to call an obstetrician physician or go to a hospital for the delivery of her calf. As soon as it is born, the calf will begin to stagger to its feet, while the cow just stupidly stands waiting. She does not need to teach her calf how to walk, how to take its food, how to do anything. A little wobbly and unsteady the first thirty, sixty or ninety seconds, the calf is up and walking in just a minute or two.

Now how long does it take a human infant to learn to walk? Usually a year -- and often more. But the newborn calf walks almost immediately. No one teaches it. The calf has instinct. And where does it start walking? It has no instruction from anyone. It starts walking for its first "dinner." It knows where to go!

And the mother cow just stands stupidly still while her calf suck's its milk.

And where is "Daddy" -- the bull? That's hard to say. Perhaps miles away. He probably is nowhere around. And soon the calf will not even need the milk from its mother -- and will be on its own. There is no marriage -- no family life -- no home life.

But with humans all this is different. The purely reproductive process is the same in all mammals. But beyond this, there is a different! The only purpose for sex in animals is reproduction. But humans are different! In humans reproduction is not the only purpose of sex. A second purpose is marriage -- and there is yet a third purpose!

The newborn human does not get up and walk immediately to its food. The tiny baby is absolutely helpless. It has no instincts of the strict sense of the word. It has mind -- but at birth there is no knowledge as yet in its mind. It knows very little about itself. It has no will to act even to eat! Yet it is taught! It needs parents to teach it! It matures so very much more slowly than animals! Yet its mentality is infinitely higher! And for this higher purpose, parental guidance and family life are necessary.

For God had said: "Let us make man in our image."

God made cattle "after their kind" -- after the cattle kind. He made "every winged fowl after his kind" -- after the winged fowl kind! But He made man after the GOD kind!

Man's Destiny

Now, incredible as it may sound to those who do not understand the revelation of God's truth -- and only an infinitesimal minority does -- God is a family!

And in man, God is reproducing His kind! Man has the supreme potentiality of being actually born into the very divine family GOD made!

Do you realize what that means? Of course, God is composed of immortal spirit -- while man, like animals, is composed in this life of material flesh -- matter! But the transcendental essential factor is that God is perfect spiritual character. It is the supreme intelligence, combined with holy and righteous character of mind that most importantly distinguishes God from every other living creature. No animal has this potential -- but it is the true destiny of man. Of course God, too, possesses supreme all-mighty power. But without right character, this power would be destructive and dangerous!

This righteous character is not created instantaneously. It develops through experience and experience requires time. Instinct in animals is automatic, set in the animal brain from birth. But divine righteous character must be developed over a span of years.

All this is one reason for marriage and the family relationship.

Part VI

NOW COMES THE BIG TRUTH! Sex was designed and created in humans not only for reproduction, but also for purposes totally foreign to animal or plant life! But the world has continued in unhappy and wretched ignorance of these glorious and God-bes north purposes! Why?

By Herbert W. Armstrong

This brings us again to that striking truth, that the WORD of God is the foundation of all knowledge! GOD is the supreme EDUCATOR! The Bible is far, far, from the sum total of knowledge. It is the basis, the foundation, the starting point, and the foundational approach to the acquisition of discovered knowledge.

God, through the Maker's instruction book, wants us to learn! Full truth comes from the biblical revelation, plus acquired and discoverable knowledge revealed in the Bible.

Man, without divine revelation, has been able to observe that plant life reproduces, animal life reproduces, and human life reproduces. From this, significant of divine revelation, man has formulated erroneous and happiness-destroying concepts about purposes and uses of sex.

Through the centuries pagan dualism had trained and taught the erroneous premise that the only purpose of sex is reproduction -- and it has viewed even that purpose with suspicion! Not understanding the real meaning and true purpose of marriage and inheriting its concept from the Babylonian mystery religion, it placed marriage on a lower plane than celibacy.

Today educators, scientists, psychologists, doctors, and those who set the moral standards rely on the evolutionary concept as their assumed and erroneous basic premise and approach to knowledge. They do not know the origin or purposes of sex. They do not know why, how, or when marriage originated.

The Bible reveals knowledge otherwise unquestionable. Once again, let us go to that source of knowledge! Remember, the Eternal (Heb., YHVH), who literally spoke to and instructed Adam and Eve, was the very person of the Godhead who later became Jesus Christ.

Jesus taught the Pharisees precisely the same thing about sex that He had taught Adam and Eve. To the Pharisees, He said: "Have ye not read, that he which made them at the beginning made them male and female, and said, "For this cause shall a man leave his father and mother, and shall cleave unto his wife" (Matt. 19:4-5).

For what cause shall a man marry? Because God made them male and female -- because God created sex.

Sex did not evolve. It was God, by miraculous creation, who made man male and female! And God always has a purpose for what He does!

So because God created sex, He ordained the marriage institution. And it is God who binds together, as husband and wife, a man and a woman.

Marriage, then, is the second-named purpose of sex.

Marriage is a physical union, but a divine institution. Almighty God ordained it! It did not evolve.

Notice, in the scripture quoted above, Jesus said to the Pharisees, "Have ye not read . . . ?" He quoted an already written passage of Scripture. He said the Pharisees should have read it! Where is that scripture found? It is found in the second chapter of Genesis. It is part of the brief summary of the Eternal's original instruction to the newly created Adam and Eve. The creation of Eve had just been described, and God then said: "Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife" (Gen. 2:24).

So the Almighty revealed the sacred marriage institution to the first man and woman.

WHY Marriage?

Why did God ordain the human relationship of marriage?

The old repressive, dualistic morality taught that the only purpose of sex was reproduction. But merely reproducing their kind was the only purpose of sex, no marriage would be necessary! God made animals male and female. Animals reproduce -- but they do not marry! Marriage is not necessary to procreate.

We can, through sex, have reproduction without marriage! Indeed, that is one of the world's greatest evils today. There is entirely too much reproduction without marriage!

Animals don't marry. But animals do not marry! Animals are born with instinct. They need little or no teaching.

Eve, a little calf born! The mother cow does not have to call an obstetrician physician or go to a hospital for the delivery of her calf. As soon as it is born, the calf will begin to stagger to its feet, while the cow just stupidly stands waiting. She does not need to teach her calf how to walk, how to take its food, how to do anything. A little wobbly and unsteady the first thirty, sixty or ninety seconds, the calf is up and walking in just a minute or two.

Now how long does it take a human infant to learn to walk? Usually a year -- and often more. But the newborn calf walks almost immediately. No one teaches it. The calf has instinct. And where does it start walking? It has no instruction from anyone. It starts walking for its first "dinner." It knows where to go!

And the mother cow just stands stupidly still while her calf suck's its milk.

And where is "Daddy" -- the bull? That's hard to say. Perhaps miles away. He probably is nowhere around. And soon the calf will not even need the milk from its mother -- and will be on its own. There is no marriage -- no family life -- no home life.

But with humans all this is different. The purely reproductive process is the same in all mammals. But beyond this, there is a different! The only purpose for sex in animals is reproduction. But humans are different! In humans reproduction is not the only purpose of sex. A second purpose is marriage -- and there is yet a third purpose!

The newborn human does not get up and walk immediately to its food. The tiny baby is absolutely helpless. It has no instincts of the strict sense of the word. It has mind -- but at birth there is no knowledge as yet in its mind. It knows very little about itself. It has no will to act even to eat! Yet it is taught! It needs parents to teach it! It matures so very much more slowly than animals! Yet its mentality is infinitely higher! And for this higher purpose, parental guidance and family life are necessary.

For God had said: "Let us make man in our image."

God made cattle "after their kind" -- after the cattle kind. He made "every winged fowl after his kind" -- after the winged fowl kind! But He made man after the GOD kind!

Man's Destiny

Now, incredible as it may sound to those who do not understand the revelation of God's truth -- and only an infinitesimal minority does -- God is a family!

And in man, God is reproducing His kind! Man has the supreme potentiality of being actually born into the very divine family GOD made!

Do you realize what that means? Of course, God is composed of immortal spirit -- while man, like animals, is composed in this life of material flesh -- matter! But the transcendental essential factor is that God is perfect spiritual character. It is the supreme intelligence, combined with holy and righteous character of mind that most importantly distinguishes God from every other living creature. No animal has this potential -- but it is the true destiny of man. Of course God, too, possesses supreme all-mighty power. But without right character, this power would be destructive and dangerous!

This righteous character is not created instantaneously. It develops through experience and experience requires time. Instinct in animals is automatic, set in the animal brain from birth. But divine righteous character must be developed over a span of years.

All this is one reason for marriage and the family relationship.

But there are more! There are other...
reasons for marriage — for family — and for home! Why should humans marry? - They do not really know! The scientists do not fully comprehend! They suppose that somewhere along the evolutionary trail, perhaps millions of years ago, man himself started it merely as a custom. They do not know when the marriage institution was started, by whom, or for what purpose! Of the tremendous meaning of this institution they are ignorant! The Communist U.S.S.R. even experimented for a time on abolishing marriage and producing humans outside marriage.

Angels Are Sexless

The real truth about sex and marriage in humans goes deeper still! Its overwhelming significance and meaning seems to have become lost by man. We have seen that animals have sex and reproduce, but marriage is not required for reproduction, and animals do not marry. They do not establish home life and the family relationship. Nor do the angels. The Scripture doesn't believe it, but the Bible reveals that angels do exist. Angels are on a higher plane than man, as is witnessed when the man was made "a little lower" than the angels — that is, during this mortal, fleshly human life.

Yet angels, on a higher plane than physical man, do not marry! (Matt. 22:30) Each angel was individually and separately created, not born. Among angels there is no marriage — no home life — no family life! And no sex!

Then what is the function of angels? — the angels' spirit beings — composed, not of material flesh, but of spirit — immortal. "And of the angels he saith, Who shall bring the foundation of spirits . . ." (Heb. 1:7; Psalm 104:4). God is immortal and composed of spirit. Then are angels and material men, equal with God? Not at all! They are mere spirit creations of God, created to be His servants, messengers, representatives in the administration of God's universe-ruling government.

The Heritage of Man

Angels, on a plane far lower than God, are higher than mortal man, now. But consider man's ultimate heritage — if he chooses it.

Speaking of the relative difference between man and angels, the first two chapters of Hebrews say: "For unto the angels hath he not put in subjection the world to come [the world tomorrow], whereof we speak: But one in a certain place testified [Psalm 8:4-6], saying, What is man, that thou art mindful of him?" (Heb. 2:5-6).

Understand this: The earth was once put in subjection to angels, with the archangel Lucifer on that world throne as God's administrator to administer God's government over the angels that then populated the earth. But Lucifer became proud, filled with vanity, and decided to become an aggressor, attempting to dethrone God and place himself on the throne of the universe! He was cast down back to earth, his name changed to Satan, meaning adversary. The angels which joined his mutiny became demons. Satan and his demons still walk, invisible, in this world. But Jesus has identified spirit condition His eyes are like flames of fire, and His face shines as bright as the very sun — FULL OF LIGHTNESS! (Rev. 1:14-16).

Are you really comprehending this? Are you?

And moral man, if he repents, surrenders unconditionally to God and God's government, accepts in living faith Jesus Christ as personal Saviour, can receive God's gift of His holy spirit — the very life, essence, nature, mind and power of God — begotten him, now, as God's own (yet unborn) son! If he then grows spiritually (II Peter 3:18), overcomes, and endures, he shall, at Christ's soon coming, be changed (or resurrected if he dies) from mortal to immortal (I Cor. 15:44-50).

So that is the supreme heritage of man — if he will accept it.

Right now, lower than angels, has a destiny far higher! A God-plane Relationship!

So grasp this colossal truth, if you can! Here is the greatest truth you can ever know! Man, and man only, of all life forms God has created, can be born into the God family — the kingdom of God.

Ordinarily, angels have never been given family relationship. Angels have never enjoyed family status. The family relationship is an angel-plane relationship — not an angel-plane relationship. And God bestowed it on man! Because man is to be born into the God family.

Of all life forms — whether plant, animal, or angel in all God's creation, MAN ALONE was created for marriage — for home, and family life!

Read that again! Try to comprehend it! Try to grasp the significance! This pivotal truth has been hidden from a deceived world!

Man is now composed of matter. Yet in man and in man only is God's creation still going on! Humans, by repentance, by turning from the evil ways of the heart, can be united and become like Christ. They can be as God intended them to be. They can be like Christ in the eternal home with God.

Read again! Try to comprehend all this. Remember! Man is the capstone of God's creation plan. If you are truly converted, you are born again into the God family. You are born into the kingdom of God!}

No angel can ever become a part of the God family — angels already have! No one else can ever become a part of the God family — angels already have!

Notice! Of angels, God says: For unto which of the angels said he at any time, Thou art my Son, this day I begotten thee? And again, I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son?" (Heb. 1:5.)

Neither angels nor angels, nor any other being, except man, can be literally begotten by spiritual reproductive process and then actually born into the divine God family!

What a matchless, supreme, stupendous, awe-inspiring, breathtaking potential!

The Function of Angels

Angels, higher than man is now, are the ministering servants of God in the administration of His universe-ruling government! And, in relation to man, angels are "ministering spirits, sent forth to minister for them who shall be heirs of salvation" (Heb. 1:14). Invisible angels actually minister to and serve the human children of God. Begotten humans are the实际 heirs of God — and joint heirs with Christ (Rom. 8:17).

Notice! "And because ye [converted Christians] are sons, God hath set forth the Spirit of His Son into your hearts, crying, Abba, Father. Wherefore thou art no more a servant, but a son; and if a son, then an heir of God through Christ" (Gal. 4:6-7).

A young son of a wealthy man, while still a child, may be under the care of an attendant. The attendant is a servant. He is older, farther advanced in knowledge, on higher status physically and mentally — but lower potentially. For when the son is mature, he will inherit his father's wealth and power. The attendant will serve, temporarily older and farther matured, is servant, ministering to the young son! That illustrates the fact of angels ministering to humans!

Humans are, if converted through Christ, the heirs of the God family. They are to enter the divine family. They are, even now, the begotten children of God. Therefore, they are the family relationship for human beings. No other beings — whether angels or animal — have this relationship. But it goes further.

The family relationship demands the husband-and-wife relationship! And that demands marriage! A God-plane relationship! And it demands faithful service to that matrimonial bond! The church of God is merely that body composed of the begotten children of God. And the church, as a body, is the affianced bride of Christ — to marry Christ at the time of the resurrection and His second coming.

So there is also the divine marriage relationship.

Now understands! The husband-and-wife relationship! These are not animal-plane, or angel-plane relationships!

Since humans were put on earth for the very purpose of being begotten and then born into the God family the eternal God has endowed this God-plane relationship for humans — and for humans only! With this wonderful privilege to be humans — to be given the marriage relationship now — to marry Christ and become part of the God family!

(Continued on page 13)
MACHINE AGE...  SPACE AGE...  WHAT'S NEXT?

The Space Age has brought man to the moon, and has united the great superpowers in a joint space effort. But science has not solved man's problems here on earth. Will science and technology lead mankind into a "golden age" of peace and prosperity? Or will man use technology to bring about his own destruction? The answers — can you believe it — are found in Bible prophecy. It's all spelled out in a free booklet, How to Understand Prophecy. Write or call today for your free copy of this eye-opening booklet.

CALL (1) 800-423-4444 toll-free for your free booklet
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letters

Who Discovered America?

As one who advocates the diffusionist theory (pre-Columbian trans-oceanic voyages in the Americas), I was delighted to read your article, "Who Discovered America First?"

The idea for a special large bouquet of orchids for the splendid article "Who Discovered America First?" I wish I had sufficient words to express my gratitude and astonishment. Could you please send me 100 reprints of said article? Horst Schaff, Salt Lake City, UT

Nuclear Terrorists

Referring to "When Terrorists Go Nuclear" by Robert Giskay in the June 7 issue of Plain Truth. It is necessary to be so excited about such an unbelievable, unacceptable, hideous thing? It seemed, as I read this article, that you are drawing a definite blueprint to be used by some "reasonably intelligent person." I know you to be a God-loving person. I'm quite disappointed.

In my opinion, it is one thing to bring to the public straightforward news; quite another to deliberately plant insidious ideas. I wish you could give me a logical reason for printing such an article.

Min. Ruth J. Bryen, Las Vegas, NV

The "Mrs." Dimension in Sex

I received the May 24 Plain Truth and read it from cover to cover. As an ordained minister of the Universal Life Church, I must agree with you — sex is an invention of the devil and marriage is nothing more than legalized rape. As a minister I can only say keep up the good work you are doing.

Pastor Donald Stephens, Lakewood, NJ

I have been reading Plain Truth for years, but what I saw in this week's issue — I never want such trash sent to me again. Sex, sex, page after page and a nude woman on a man's lap. What is your College coming to?

Mrs. J. A., San Diego, CA

Enjoyed your write-up about sex so much. You seem to know the need for parents, for everyone — to do something positive toward the right answers for our children.

Mrs. J. M., Drexel Hill, PA

I have been reading Plain Truth for years, but what I saw in this week's issue — I never want such trash sent to me again. Sex, sex, page after page and a nude woman on a man's lap. What is your College coming to?

Mrs. J. S., St. Louis, MO

I do not want a picture such as is on page 11 of your last paper in front of my children. Margie is only sixteen. She can at least go to a magazine rack and look at Playboy if she needs to see nudity.

Mrs. D. B., Winnieboro, TX

Why can't you see what causes all this wild way of living? Cats, dogs, and all kinds of animals fight over sex. If it could be done ... take all the thrill out of sex, and there would be less overbreeding of all things. Overbreeding is the cause of so much crime. Take all the thrill out of sex, then they will stop all the nonsense that every man is looking for. Sex. Take all the thrill out of sex. In all your writings about sex you never, never, say take all thrills out of sex, if it could be done.

Mrs. J. R., Tampa, FL

In the May 24 edition there is printed in two separate places the following: ... Freud had decided sexual repressions were the cause of mental disorders. He urged knowledge dis- semination and acceptance of sex. "sex, sex, page after page and a nude woman on a man's lap. What is your College coming to? Mrs. J. A., San Diego, CA

Perhaps you better read the May 24 issue again. You may agree with US, but we don't agree with you!

The "Mrs." Dimension in Sex

Received your Plain Truth yesterday and would like to receive your book, The Missing Dimension in Sex. Anyway, at 76 and any husband dead, I still enjoy reading about sex. Can't kill a woman for that, can she?

Mrs. J. A., San Diego, CA

I enjoyed your write-up about sex so much. You seem to know the need for parents, for everyone — to do something positive toward the right answers for our children.

Mrs. J. M., Drexel Hill, PA

I have been reading Plain Truth for years, but what I saw in this week's issue — I never want such trash sent to me again. Sex, sex, page after page and a nude woman on a man's lap. What is your College coming to?

Mrs. J. S., St. Louis, MO

I do not want a picture such as is on page 11 of your last paper in front of my children. Margie is only sixteen. She can at least go to a magazine rack and look at Playboy if she needs to see nudity.

Mrs. D. B., Winnieboro, TX

Why can't you see what causes all this wild way of living? Cats, dogs, and all kinds of animals fight over sex. If it could be done ... take all the thrill out of sex, and there would be less overbreeding of all things. Overbreeding is the cause of so much crime. Take all the thrill out of sex, then they will stop all the nonsense that every man is looking for. Sex. Take all the thrill out of sex. In all your writings about sex you never, never, say take all thrills out of sex, if it could be done.

Mrs. J. R., Tampa, FL
SEX  (Cont. from page 11)  

The Affianced Bride

Here is another vital reason for the institution of marriage in the human family. It is to teach us — to constantly remind us — of our sacred relationship to Jesus Christ!

Here is the vital teaching:

"Wives, submit yourselves unto your own husbands, as unto the Lord. For the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the church: and he is the saviour of the body. Therefore as the church is subject unto Christ, so let the wives be to their own husbands in everything."

"Husbands, love your wives, even as Christ also loved the church, and gave himself for it; that he might sanctify and cleanse it with the washing of water by the word..." (The Word, if obeyed, washes away error.) "That he might present it to himself a glorious church, not having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing; but that it should be holy and without blemish. So ought men to love their wives as their own bodies... For we are members of his body, of his flesh, and of his bones. For this cause shall a man leave his father and mother, and shall be joined unto his wife, and they two shall be one flesh..."

"This is a great mystery: but I speak concerning Christ and the church..." (Eph. 5:25-23).

Notice! For this cause — because of the coming marriage (spiritually) between Christ and the church and because the church is now, the affianced bride, engaged to marry Christ — God ordained the marriage institution for humans! But not for animals! Not for angels!

Notice Revelation 19:7 — speaking of the second coming of Christ in glory: "...the marriage of the Lamb [Christ] is come, and his wife hath made herself ready..." Only those made ready in rightous character will be presented to Him that cometh.

WHY Home and Family

So, in addition to the family relationship, there is also the divine marriage relationship.

So understand! The husband-and-wife relationship and the family relationship are God-plane relationships! These are not animal-plane or angel-plane relationships!

Humans are free moral agents. God never forces one to be truly converted — to become His very begotten son. Yet the purpose God is working out here below is to reproduce Himself — to bring, through Christ, "many sons unto glory" (Heb. 2:10) in the divine kingdom of God!

And since humans were put on earth for the very purpose of being begotten and then born into the God family, the Eternal has endowed this God-plane family status for humans now — and for humans only.

What a wonderful privilege to be given the marriage and family relationship — that we may be prepared for the spiritual marriage to Christ and the divine family status, for eternity, in the kingdom of God!

(Continued from page 1)

Personal From...

Rome fell

...and we are making the same mistakes!

Are we witnessing the decline of Western Civilization? Is history repeating itself? The powerful Rome Empire had everything, so it seemed. But Rome, decaying from within and harassed from without, collapsed into rubble. Today, many of the Western Nations are beset by the same problems which plagued ancient Rome. The amazing parallels are spelled out in a free booklet entitled The Modern Romans, which gives an overview of our Society's problems, the understanding of their cause and ultimate solutions. Send for your free copy today.

Call 800-423-4444 toll-free for your free booklet

(Continued from page 8)

Platt at a plenary session of the World Future Society. "Perhaps the best way to describe the fundamental values that are based on the Bible. For over 40 years, Plain Truth has been showing that only by implementing the spiritual truths of the Bible can man look forward with confidence to the future. The next 25 years may provide a unique opportunity for man to seek and find his Creator.

If you have not already done so, we invite you to write for the free booklet The Wonderful World Tomorrow — What It Will Be Like.

WEEK ENDING AUGUST 23, 1975
The Soviet Union is certain to embark now on a campaign to show Western Europeans that their natural interests lie to the east on the European continent, not west across the Atlantic. The Kremlin ultimately hopes to ease Western Europe away from the American orbit and into the Soviet orbit, possibly even signing a full-blown mutual non-aggression pact with West European governments, perhaps by then a "United States of Europe." Within the framework of a "European collective security system," the two of them could then, if they so desire, go about the business, as mutual partners, of dismantling the United States.

The United States had hoped to achieve, through détente, a lessening of the tensions that have threatened to lead the world to nuclear destruction. We have been willing to achieve this at any price—virtually giving away technological secrets, selling U.S. grain at ridiculously low prices, allowing the Soviet Union to catch up in the arms race; finally, with the "handshake in space" allowing the world to believe the space program was equal to ours.

We had hoped that instead of truculence, these concessions on our part would cause the Soviets to show a little bit of a change of heart. But have they? Recent history easily answers that.

The Soviet Union interprets the Helsinki document as formal recognition of the present status quo of a divided Europe with its eastern puppet states. The United States interprets it as meaning that the Soviet Union is going to open up these puppet states to freer immigration, freer social and information exchange, and freer travel. The U.S. sees it as meaning essentially one thing, the Soviet Union as another. And what is even more incredible, the charter is not even legally binding—such as the many U.N. declarations concerning human freedom which Moscow has signed in the past, and then ignored.

So I ask: Can you trust the Russians? Are the Russian promises of any real worth? All you've got to do is look at Korea, at the Middle East, at SALT I. Look at any Soviet promises of disarmament, and you can easily answer that question for yourselves. Has the Soviet Union really accepted the idea of peaceful coexistence?

- Has she really dropped the program spelled out by so many Soviet leaders and theorists of complete, total domination of the entirety of the world?

Détente is merely a period of time in which the Soviet Union—with the hardnosedness of the Cold War—will therefore without the constant posture of alertness on the part of the West—can subtly pursue its same goal of expanding Soviet influence but in a climate where the West is likely to let its guard down. Détente means simply another opportunity to advance on all fronts—to exploit every conceivable advantage possible in the geopolitical maneuvering for world power.

"The Communists have become more clever in my country," Nobel Peace Prize-winning Soviet author Alexander Solzhenitsyn observed recently. "They do not say, 'We're going to burn you' anymore. Now they say, 'Détente.' But nothing has changed in Communist ideology. The goals are the same as they were...." The exiled author has labeled the Helsinki accord "the funeral of Eastern Europe."

Whether we know it or not, World War III is already being fought—and lost by the United States. A tremendous ideological struggle is underway between the superpowers that most people remain utterly unaware of today. Behind all the smiles, the treaties, the handshakes, the cocktail parties, the peace conferences, the arms limitation talks, the force reduction negotiations, World War III is in fact already under way! And the Soviet Union is winning the major battles, the big concessions—and the United States is seemingly more than willing to give her more every day.

The time may come when Americans will wish that our president had made Moscow keep alive the issue of a divided Europe. In an atmosphere of growing détente, the Soviets can count on further reductions of U.S. forces in Europe and a general weakening of ties between the U.S. and NATO. The Kremlin, according to some analysts, is hoping for an eventual clash of military, monetary, and political interests between the United States and its West European partners. The Soviet plan, they claim, is to eventually oust the United States completely from the West European sphere of influence.

A recent issue of USA, the Soviet journal of American studies, almost looks forward to growing disharmony between the United States and its West European partners. The Soviet plan, they claim, is to eventually oust the United States completely from Western Europe.

The Helsinki accord has done away with all of that. It means that now the Soviets are free to do just the opposite—to mass their troops, if they wish, along the volatile Chinese border, the possibility of a two-front war now remote.

Thirdly, Helsinki will pave the way for the Soviet Union to gain a great deal more influence in Western Europe. In an atmosphere of growing détente, the Soviets can count on further reductions of U.S. forces in Europe and a general weakening of ties between the U.S. and NATO. The Kremlin, according to some analysts, is hoping for an eventual clash of military, monetary, and political interests between the United States and its West European partners. The Soviet plan, they claim, is to eventually oust the United States completely from the West European sphere of influence.

A recent issue of USA, the Soviet journal of American studies, almost looks forward to growing disharmony between the United States and its West European partners over critical issues of energy policy, trade and monetary reform as a welcome side benefit of détente.

Moscow regards tensions in the Atlantic alliance over these key issues as more natural than the "temporary" compromise that has patched them up in the aftermath of the 1973 Middle East War and subsequent Arab oil embargo.

"Any crisis or shock in the international situation," says the USA article, "could easily tear the fabric of that compromise to expose the clash of interests which exists between the two centers of imperialist rivalry."

Who Won at Helsinki?

After nearly 30 years of patient striving, the Soviet Union has finally achieved a major geopolitical dream—the formal recognition of the Eastern European empire it acquired in the aftermath of World War II.

By putting their signatures to the mammoth 100-page, 30,000-word East-West charter at the European summit in Helsinki earlier this month, the United States and its North Atlantic allies effectively ratified Moscow's control over Eastern Europe and guaranteed the inviolability of the post-World War II European frontiers.

Soviet domination over the eastern half of the divided European continent is now a formalized fact—though the Western powers were not likely to change the status quo anyway. For to do so could mean a hot war with the Soviet Union itself. Western inaction in the Hungarian revolt of 1956 and the Czech uprising of 1968 proved that fact conclusively.

The agreement gives the Soviets the feeling—and that is very important to them—that they at last have acquired a completely secure and stable western flank, something they have desired for three decades, considering their horrible experiences in World War II. With the signatures now in place on the summit document, the peoples of Eastern Europe—who have been unfortunately placed by fate in a sandwiched position between major world power blocs—will resign themselves, if they have not already long since done so, to the reality of permanent Soviet domination, realizing the pointlessness of further open resistance. Furthermore, the Helsinki charter virtually writes off any further complaints from the United States and the nations of Western Europe about Soviet domination of the East (resisted as it is, in part, by both Yugoslavia and Romania).

Secondly, the agreement frees the Soviet Union to turn its attentions to its greatest antagonist and biggest worry of the moment, Communist China. The Chinese have been strongly urging various Western European leaders—such as German Chancellor Franz Josef Strauss—keep alive the issue of a divided Europe and a divided Germany, so as to keep Soviet attention focused on Europe. China had hoped this would cause the Soviets to divert some of their military strength from the Russo-Chinese border to the European front.

The Helsinki accord has done away with all of that. It means that now the Soviets are free to do just the opposite—to mass their troops, if they wish, along the volatile Chinese border, the possibility of a two-front war now remote.

Thirdly, Helsinki will pave the way for the Soviet Union to gain a great deal more influence in Western Europe. In an atmosphere of growing détente, the Soviets can count on further reductions of U.S. forces in Europe and a general weakening of ties between the U.S. and NATO. The Kremlin, according to some analysts, is hoping for an eventual clash of military, monetary, and political interests between the United States and its West European partners. The Soviet plan, they claim, is to eventually oust the United States completely from the West European sphere of influence.

A recent issue of USA, the Soviet journal of American studies, almost looks forward to growing disharmony between the United States and its West European partners over critical issues of energy policy, trade and monetary reform as a welcome side benefit of détente.

Moscow regards tensions in the Atlantic alliance over these key issues as more natural than the "temporary" compromise that has patched them up in the aftermath of the 1973 Middle East War and subsequent Arab oil embargo.

"Any crisis or shock in the international situation," says the USA article, "could easily tear the fabric of that compromise to expose the clash of interests which exists between the two centers of imperialist rivalry."

The Soviet plan is to eventually oust the United States completely from the West European sphere of influence.
### U.S. Stations

**Eastern Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOAF</td>
<td>Buffalo</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAW</td>
<td>Lyndonville</td>
<td>1440 kc</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOBU</td>
<td>Dunkirk</td>
<td>1260 kc</td>
<td>5:30 p.m. Mon.-Sat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAL</td>
<td>Aberdeen</td>
<td>1350 kc</td>
<td>10:30 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOBU</td>
<td>Walla Walla</td>
<td>1060 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAW</td>
<td>Lowry</td>
<td>1440 kc</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAF</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOBU</td>
<td>Grand Forks</td>
<td>1260 kc</td>
<td>5:30 p.m. Mon.-Sat.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mountain Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOAF</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAW</td>
<td>Colorado Springs</td>
<td>1440 kc</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOBU</td>
<td>Rapid City</td>
<td>1260 kc</td>
<td>5:30 p.m. Mon.-Sat.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pacific Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOAF</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAW</td>
<td>Bakersfield</td>
<td>1440 kc</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOBU</td>
<td>Bremerton</td>
<td>1260 kc</td>
<td>5:30 p.m. Mon.-Sat.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Atlantic Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOAF</td>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAW</td>
<td>Bridgeport</td>
<td>1440 kc</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOBU</td>
<td>Bangor</td>
<td>1260 kc</td>
<td>5:30 p.m. Mon.-Sat.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Canadian Stations

**Atlantic Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBAE</td>
<td>St-Léonard</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Central Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CKLJ</td>
<td>Kitchener</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mountain Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CKLJ</td>
<td>Kitchener</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pacific Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CKLJ</td>
<td>Kitchener</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Special Notes

- **Mountain Time**
  - Reno, NV (KOVT): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Pacific Time**
  - Los Angeles, CA (KFWC): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Eastern Time**
  - New York, NY (WOR): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Atlantic Time**
  - Montreal, CA (CFCF): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

###揮舞的時空中

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>1170 kc</td>
<td>10:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>1050 kc</td>
<td>10:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1250 kc</td>
<td>10:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>10:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>1060 kc</td>
<td>10:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit</td>
<td>1070 kc</td>
<td>10:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>1080 kc</td>
<td>10:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**U.S. Stations**

**Eastern Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOAF</td>
<td>Brooklyn</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAW</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1440 kc</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOBU</td>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
<td>1260 kc</td>
<td>5:30 p.m. Mon.-Sat.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mountain Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOAF</td>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAW</td>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>1440 kc</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOBU</td>
<td>Vancouver</td>
<td>1260 kc</td>
<td>5:30 p.m. Mon.-Sat.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pacific Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOAF</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOAW</td>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>1440 kc</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOBU</td>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>1260 kc</td>
<td>5:30 p.m. Mon.-Sat.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Canadian Stations**

**Atlantic Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBAE</td>
<td>St-Léonard</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Central Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CKLJ</td>
<td>Kitchener</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mountain Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CKLJ</td>
<td>Kitchener</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pacific Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CKLJ</td>
<td>Kitchener</td>
<td>1240 kc</td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Additional Notes**

- **Pacific Time**
  - Los Angeles, CA (KFWC): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Eastern Time**
  - New York, NY (WOR): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Atlantic Time**
  - Montreal, CA (CFCF): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

---

**General Information**

- **Mountain Time**
  - Reno, NV (KOVT): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Pacific Time**
  - Los Angeles, CA (KFWC): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Eastern Time**
  - New York, NY (WOR): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Atlantic Time**
  - Montreal, CA (CFCF): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

---

**Technical Information**

- **Mountain Time**
  - Reno, NV (KOVT): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Pacific Time**
  - Los Angeles, CA (KFWC): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Eastern Time**
  - New York, NY (WOR): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.

- **Atlantic Time**
  - Montreal, CA (CFCF): 7:00 p.m. Mon.-Sat.
When we beat our swords into plowshares...

WRITE TO:

UNITED STATES: P.O. Box 311, Pasadena, California 91113

Europe: Plain Truth, P.O. Box 45, Vancouver, B.C. V6C 3X2

Africa: Institute of African Affairs, P.O. Box 1085, Abidjan S, D. F.

Middle East: American Field, P.O. Box 139, Al-Jazair, West, England

South Asia: Mission and Relief, P.O. Box 1008, Johannesburg, Republic of South Africa 2000

South America: P.O. Box 28, England

Australia and S. E. Asia: P.O. Box 23, Singapore

New Zealand and Pacific Asia: P.O. Box 125, Auckland 1, N.Z.

The Philippines: P.O. Box 260, Manila, 2811

West Indies: C.P.O. Box 9000, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00906

Be sure to notify us immediately of any change in your address. Please include your old mailing label and your new address. Important! The publisher assumes no responsibility for return of unsolicited art work, photographs, or manuscripts.