and saw the postman reading something as he came down the street toward the house. He dropped mail in my letter box on the door and continued on down the street, reading something that seemed to be really absorbingly interesting. In the middle of the next block, he suddenly stopped ‘dead-still,’ turned around, came back, carefully folded what he was reading and tucked it in my mail box. It was the new issue of The Plain Truth.”

Margaret C., San Francisco, California

“I am so thankful that I may receive The Plain Truth. Unlike many people who write in, I did not expect ever to be asked for money, or to buy any of the booklets mentioned on the broadcasts. But, I did not expect such a superior magazine to be sent to me free. I thought it would be, at the most, four sheets of cheap paper which had been mimeographed or very badly printed.”

Woman from Southwest City, Missouri

Puzzling?

“Don’t men in high places know the Word of God? They all seem to go to church, but they don’t put God’s Word into every day practice. Will you please answer this for me? I’m truly puzzled. They are supposed to be such educated men.”

Mrs. J. C., Collegeville, Pennsylvania

• So were the Pharisees.

Comparisons

“It is continually claimed that only Ambassador College teaches people how to live. In some future edition of The Plain Truth, how about some comparative statistics on the following: (1) The crime, suicide, and divorce rate of graduates of Ambassador College compared with graduates of other church-sponsored colleges (no fair using state institutions); (2) The juvenile delinquency rate of children of Amb-

(Continued on page 12)
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The General Assembly of the United Nations in session. A forum of all UN member governments, it admits new members which have been recommended by the Security Council, and determines the faltering UN budget. Pope Paul VI has chosen to address world leaders, not from behind Vatican walls, but at UN Headquarters in New York.

Personal from the Editor

Some seem to think God's people never encounter difficulties, meet trials or tests, but are blessed continually with smooth sailing!

In conducting this great, important, always-growing worldwide Work of God, I, personally, have not had "smooth sailing," or continuous easy going. I have encountered repeated crises. There has been persecution. There has been opposition. Obstacles have had to be hurdled frequently. Problems constantly require solution. There have been critical trials and tests. There have been critical determination, constant prayer for guidance.

Does the Bible teach smooth sailing for true Christians whom God is using? Did the men of God, whose lives pleased God, as recorded in the Bible, have only an easy time of it, or were they constantly meeting trials, tests, troubles of every sort—being continually forced to cry out to God for deliverance?

Listen to God's own instruction:

"Many are the afflictions of the righteous: but the Eternal delivereth him out of them all" (Psalm 34:19).

"For I was envious of the arrogant, when I saw the prosperity of the wicked. . . . They are not in trouble as other men . . . Behold these are the ungodly who prosper in the world; they increase in riches. . . . For all the day long I have been plagued, and chastened every morning" (Ps. 73:3-14).

"We must through much tribulation enter the Kingdom of God" (Acts 14:22).

"All that will live godly in Christ Jesus shall suffer persecution" (II Tim. 3:12).

"If we suffer, we shall also reign with Him" (II Tim. 2:12).

"For as the sufferings of Christ abound in us, so our consolation also aboundeth by Christ. And whether we be afflicted, it is for your consolation and salvation, which is effectual in the enduring of the same sufferings which we also suffer" (II Cor. 1:3-6).

But is God unfair? Does He hate Christians and punish them, while He loves the ungodly and prospers them? Not at all!

There is great purpose in the trials, tests, tribulations, and sufferings the Christian must endure. For these are the very means of strengthening character—of developing fine, upstanding, strong Christians.

God does not bless the ungodly with wealth—they acquire it usually in one or both of two ways: by setting their heart and mind on acquiring it, and following through this purpose to the exclusion of all else, until, without realizing it, the pursuit of money becomes the pursuit of their god, destroying the soul; or by dishonest means.

But God does bless those who seek Him first—not always with great money and wealth, but always, in the end, with material prosperity they never would have had otherwise. "Seek ye first the Kingdom of God, and His righteousness," admonished Jesus, "and all these things (material prosperity in shelter, food, clothing, etc.) shall be added unto you." That is Jesus' promise.

God loves to see His servants prospering. He says so through David and John. He corrects and chastens every son whom He loves. He allows His true children to suffer much—to fall into troubles, difficulties, to face trials and tests for their strengthening. But if we endure these tests, hard though they may be—and if we seek first our God, His righteousness, and His Kingdom, putting material interests second in our hearts, then in His own due time God will always prosper His children even in a material way!

"But we glory in tribulations also: (Continued on page 44)
“PEACE... OR END OF CIVILIZATION”

Suddenly the U.N. is faced with a new, mighty crisis—how to keep the flames of war in Asia from spreading. Even Pope Paul VI has felt compelled to call upon world leaders to find a way to peace.

by William H. Ellis

Pope Paul VI has spoken out to world leaders. Stop the “fresh flames of discord” while there is still time!

“A war,” he has warned, “would be an irreversible and fatal occurrence. It would not be the end of difficulties, but THE END OF CIVILIZATION.”

So desperate is the present world situation, the Pontiff decided to make an unprecedented trip to plead for world peace before the United Nations. All the world has taken notice.

“It is Time To Choose!”

World leaders are frankly frightened!

To underscore the Roman Pontiff’s recent statement, are these words of President Lyndon B. Johnson:

“We may well be living in the time foretold many years ago when it was said: ‘I call heaven and earth to record this day against you, that I have set before you life and death, blessing and cursing: Therefore choose life, that both you and thy seed may live.’ ”

“This generation,” said Mr. Johnson in April, “must choose: Destroy or build, kill or aid, hate or understand.”

“THIS Generation . . .”

These sobering words are the frank statements of the foremost figure of modern religion, and of the elected leader of the foremost nation in the free world.

Both the Pope and the President are frightened by the horrible prospect that faces mankind!

No previous generation has ever before faced the decisions placed before the leaders of THIS GENERATION!

Total destruction of the world’s entire population has not been possible at any time in world history until this generation—the time in which you are living today!

The Encyclopaedia Britannica admits, “… in August 1955 … there was a general conviction among the governments that both sides in the cold war had sufficient retaliatory power to make hydrogen bomb war SUICIDAL!”

A new word has been added to the grisly vocabulary of war—COSMOocide! Suicide is self-murder. Genocide is murder of a whole race of peoples. COSMOocide is murder of the entire population of the world!

Since 1955, the United States and the USSR have been joined in the nuclear race by Britain, France and recently, Red China! Each nation has begun to pile bomb upon bomb—waiting, prepared for the next World War!

Late President of the United States, John F. Kennedy, warned a hushed United Nations assembly in 1961, “Mankind must put an end to war—or war will put an end to mankind!”

“Today,” he said, “every inhabitant of this planet must contemplate the day when this planet may no longer be habitable…. The mere existence of modern weapons—10 million times more powerful than any that the world has ever seen, and only minutes away from any target on earth—is a source of horror and distrust. . . .”

Such is the terrifying state of world (Continued on page 45)
CRISIS HITS EUROPEAN COMMON MARKET

The Common Market is now at the crossroads. Will it survive? Here are facts and the reason why it will yet grow to become a German-led federation—in spite of France’s De Gaulle.

by Robert C. Boraker

Brussels, Belgium

TROUBLE now threatens the Common Market. From all outward appearances the grand dream of a United States of Europe seems to be shattered. As a political experiment, a United Europe, say officials here in Brussels, is finished for years to come.

But what officials don’t foresee are the big political changes that will reshape Europe in another five years. If these officials knew, they would be frightened.

Pulse of Common Market

Brussels is a most important city. Here is the pulsating Headquarters of the Common Market housed in a modern glass-and-concrete office building. Nearby are towering shells of vast buildings under construction to be the new home—a veritable city within a city—for the European Common Market Headquarters.

Here the Common Market Commission—a board of nine members working independently of any nation and presided over by a German, Professor Walter Hallstein—plans and administers policies of the Common Market. A Council of Ministers from the six member states meets about once a month to discuss the proposals put forth by the Commission and to straighten out any problems.

I visited the U. S. Commission to the Common Market in Brussels to get the facts. I had an interview with the Counsellor on Political Affairs. We discussed the crisis that arose on June 30.

The Crisis of June 30

The Commission, before June 30, had set forth certain proposals to be decided on by the Council of Ministers. These proposals concerned three vital points: (1) farm policy finance; (2) direct Community revenues; and, most important, (3) the powers of the European Parliament.

The French and Italian delegations did not agree on the farm policy proposals discussed. The meeting had to be adjourned. Since then, France has boycotted meetings involving new issues. They were, however, sending representatives to meetings discussing the administration of farm policy. Professor Hallstein admitted that this was the Common Market’s second crisis since the breakdown of negotiations with Great Britain.

The Counsellor discussed with me the meeting of the Common Market Council of Ministers in Brussels on July 26 and 27. France was not represented. Little came of it. Another meeting is scheduled for October 7, and it is hoped that France will have a delegation there.

Why has France reacted in this way? Why has she boycotted meetings and threatened to kill the Common Market? President de Gaulle made his position very clear in his September 9 press conference.

France’s Position Declared

President de Gaulle announced at this press conference his terms for ending France’s boycott of the Common Market. His terms were 1) that an agreement be made on the farm policy proposal, 2) that the Market Commission and Parliament should not exercise supranational powers and 3) that Europe should not develop towards a federation, but a confederation which Britain and Spain could eventually join. He also objects to the use of majority voting. This would overrule France. This new voting procedure is due to come into effect next January. His reasons are obvious.

De Gaulle made it plain that he doesn’t want France to lose her sovereignty and national identity. He said, in his press conference: “One knows—but God knows if one really knows—that there are different ideas on the subject of a European federation, in which, according to the fond dreams of those who conceived it, the various participating countries would lose their national personalities.”

Even De Gaulle can see that the Common Market, if not stopped, is
headed toward political federation similar to the Roman Empire of the past. He likened the faltering Common Market concept to "the sort of federation which has been tried so many times by various men, each in his different way."

—Julius Caesar, the Emperor Charlemagne, Napoleon the First, and Hitler, and so far as the East was concerned, so was the federation essayed by Stalin.”

A European federation of this kind would not allow France to do as she pleases. As the newspaper Il Messaggero put it: "Once again the headstrong nationalism of De Gaulle has blocked agreement between the six countries of the Common Market on an essential step in the process of uniting Europe.”

Farm Policy Not Real Issue

President de Gaulle created the crisis over the farm policy issue for Western Europe. The policy involved the setting of export subsidies and price supports for the period until 1970. But how was the activity to be financed?

At the moment, all operations of the Market are financed through contributions from each member nation. It was proposed that the money would be received from duties charged on goods coming into the Common Market. The monies could be used as a common agricultural fund. This, of course, would increase the powers of the European Parliament who would have control over the distribution of this revenue.

But the financing of the farm policy was never discussed. France disagreed on the lesser issue of farm prices. Apparently it was a means of avoiding the crucial question of supranational control over the common agricultural fund.

France refuses to give up any part of French sovereignty. She refuses to permit the Common Market organizations to control political policy related to agriculture, but actually the real issue involves more than that and goes much deeper. This was just another move to shatter once and for all the German-led and U.S.-financed dream of a United States of Europe as a political federation. De Gaulle’s goal is to convert the Common Market into a French-influenced loose trading group with no supranational powers—no political powers as a combined unit. This loose

(Continued on page 44)
The RACE RIOTS of the FUTURE!

"POLICE BRUTALITY!" "Poverty!" "Unequal opportunity!" "Illiteracy!" "Poor housing!" "Police Chief Parker!" These are hurled back and forth as causes of the race riots in Los Angeles. Read, in this article, the REAL cause, and the powder keg of violence that could explode where YOU live!

(Continued from last month)

The investigations are proceeding. Special inquiries by state, county and civic officials attempt to pinpoint what caused the Watts area outburst.

Everyone has his opinion—but no one seems to really know!

Placing the Blame

Nearly everyone in the Negro communities in Los Angeles seems certain "police brutality" sparked the riots.

The Mayor is blamed for not having called out the guard sooner. Sargent Shriver is blamed for his alleged slowness in delivery of antipoverty funds.

Some few blame the "criminal element" in the Negro community of Los Angeles, and others strongly suspect Communists instigated the riots.

Mayor Yorty publicly intimated that City Police, who were most accustomed to dealing with problems in the potentially explosive Watts district, might have averted the riot, which grew out of an arrest by California Highway Patrolmen of a drunk-driving suspect.

In the last issue, a step-by-step report of the riots, we answered the question as to what really caused the racial conflagration in Los Angeles which resulted in 37 deaths, and property damage running into the millions of dollars.

The cause: HUMAN NATURE.

And remember, once again, just what is human nature! Human nature is a mixture of vanity, jealousy, lust and greed. Jeremiah was inspired to write, "The heart is deceitful above all things, and desperately wicked: who can know it" (Jer. 17:9). James said.
it is the nature of man to rebel, to riot, to fight wars! "From whence come wars and fightings among you? come they not hence, even of your lusts that war in your members? Ye lust, and have not: ye kill, and desire to have, and cannot obtain: ye fight and war, yet ye have not, because ye ask not. Ye ask, and receive not, because ye ask amiss, that ye may consume it upon your lusts" (Jas. 4:1-3).

The Bible is the book about human nature. It explains what human nature really is, how it is hostile and angry toward law.

The apostle Paul said, "Because the carnal mind is enmity against God: for it is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be!" (Rom. 8:7.)

And the lusts, jealousies, resentments and frustrations of human nature are not limited to any one race.

But they are most assuredly aggravated by race hatred.

For a thorough and complete understanding of what really caused the race riot in Los Angeles, and what may well cause gigantic riots in the future, you need to face a few simple facts about your own human nature.

Wide World Photo

Indonesian youths riot, burn foreign book in Jakarta.

What were your earliest feelings toward members of another racial group? About people who spoke with a different accent than you, who wore different appearing clothes? What about those who were not in the same social status as you, or those who "came from the other side of the tracks"?

Are You Free From Race Prejudice?

Every human being, regardless of his race, color, language or religion—becomes aware in his early formative years of distinct differences between himself and many other human beings.

Every human being is born, remember, with human nature. And unless the very elements of human nature are skillfully trained, shaped, formed and conquered by proper training, it becomes natural to hate other human beings!

As you developed, and became aware of other people who were different from yourself, you also came to certain conclusions regarding those differences.

Sociologists have proved the parents of nearly all racial, cultural or national groups are the first teachers to influence their children's minds concerning other people.

If you are a Negro, do you remember the first time you asked your parents why another person's skin was white and yours black? What did your parents tell you? Did they tell you that there are many different races on the earth, just as there are different heights, statures, languages and social groups? Did they explain how these races came to be?

Did they explain how it was God who first dispersed the races? Did they explain that each race has its special proclivities, its abilities and strengths as well as its inherent weaknesses and other characteristics?

Were you, in short, given some overall direction and guidance in your attitude toward members of another race?

Hardly.

Rather, you were given a completely prejudicial statement which tended to make you feel inferior—but which was aimed at helping you ride over that inferiority, by looking down on members of another race.

This is true whether you were White, asking your parents about the first Negro person you saw, or whether Negro, asking your parents about the first White person of whom you became aware.

Well, let's face it. Human nature being what it is, and adding to it the social pressures, the constant teaching of parents, schools, social and economic differences, class distinctions, educational lack and language barriers, then you have a potentially explosive situation between the members of all races.

What really sparked the recent explosion between the Pakistanis and the Indians?

Those people hate each other!

The very fact of the existence of an East Pakistan and a West Pakistan, a very unnatural and illogical arrangement for any nation or cultural group, centers around religion as well as race.

And what were you first told about "your" religion, as opposed to the religion of others? Were you told theirs is "just as good as yours is?"

Or were you told you have a perfect right to your ideas?

Racial tensions in the United States are being aggravated during these modern times, not quieted. Bigotry and (Continued on page 28)
THE GERMAN ELECTIONS

Read this firsthand report on the recent German elections.
Our German correspondent attended the political rallies—heard the new German demands. Here is what he found.

by Frank Schnee

Düsseldorf, West Germany

The greatest danger to this age has been overlooked. It is rapidly developing to explosive proportions in West Germany RIGHT NOW!

The recent German elections focused on that danger. It is the “German Question”—the reunification of this post-war divided nation.

With each passing day it is getting hotter and more urgent. The Germans, denied their long-sought goal of a reunited Fatherland, are making demands. Here are the developments.

Stable Government?

The German people went to the polls Sunday, September 19, to determine their government—and their future—for the next 4 years. It had been one of the hardest-fought election campaigns since the war. Yet there were no surprises or giant upsets.

The world was lulled to sleep by the results.

Practically the same government of the past 16 years will be at the helm again. Ludwig Erhard, mastermind of the German Economic Wonder, will lead Germany. He will dominate a coalition of the three right-wing parties—the Christian Democratic Union, the Christian Socialist Union of Strauss and the Free Democratic Party of Mende. The opposition will continue to be the Social Democratic Party.

Most observers are predicting that the new government will be a stable one, and this may well be...for a time! Yet the facts are that the German parliament with its many coalitions of the past 5 elections more and more resembles the weak government of the Weimar Republic. That government paved the way for Hitler’s rise to power.

Ludwig Erhard has been dubbed “the rubber lion.” He won a narrow victory, despite the fact that the majority of German people are convinced he is not the leader they want. Open disagreements between Ludwig Erhard and Konrad Adenauer on major policies show that there is anything but unity in the CDU, the leading party of the new coalition. Franz Josef Strauss and Erich Mende add to the confusion by each attempting to pull the other coalition partners his own way. Yet German nature cries out for a strong leader.

Reunification the Major Issue

For the past 3 years, I have been on-the-spot in Germany witnessing the continuing miraculous comeback of this once-demolished nation. I have seen with my own eyes how Germany has mushroomed and leaped ahead to become the number-one producer and exporter in Europe—second only to the U.S. in world exports. Germany today produces one-fifth of all the world’s goods.

But at the same time, the Germans are becoming desperately frustrated and increasingly bitter as vacillating allies and their own bickering democracy push reunification of their country further out of reach.

Vice Chancellor Erich Mende echoed this resentment. He called for new vigorous efforts towards reunification at a Berlin meeting of the Free Democratic Party which he heads. Mende told the meeting disgustedly: “Up to the present, the politics of the Federal government have not led to even one step forward [toward reunification]. It is a sober fact that we have suffered set-back upon set-back.”

Germans are rapidly growing to believe that reunification is impossible without the decisiveness and might such as the Hitler Era commanded.

© Ambassador College

Chancellor Ludwig Erhard squeezed through to victory at head of Christian Democratic Union. Germans look upon Erhard as interim leader.
The German-French alliance is also straight-jacketing their ambition to become very unhappy with bickering, strongman Charles de Gaulle snatches foreign-to-their-nature democracy. It is lead the emerging new Europe, while potentially dangerous frustration. They Bonn and Stuttgart reflected this political conditions in which they are born in 1949. The German people are traveled extensively throughout the mere pedestal shocked German-speaking parts of Europe. I am pitched at the increasingly feverish pitch of activity to promote a reunited territories stripped from them as a longed to Germany is being claimed the territories hinged.

Everywhere in Germany there is evidence of a stepped-up, highly organized drive. It is calculated to incite all Germans to support the already rumbling crusade to regain the territories stripped from them as a result of World War II. Even land which never, in recent centuries, belonged to Germany is being claimed and demanded again, just as under Hitler.

For years, the German economic miracle represented only a kind of occupational therapy, a materialist escape. While it remains the dominant fact in Germany, more and more people no longer find it enough. Germans today are uneasy about their place in the world, impatient with the obstacles that loom on all sides, resentful of the political conditions in which they are forced to live. Two decades after the end of World War II, Germany is still divided. In the prison camp that is the Russian-occupied Eastern zone, 18 million people condemned to subsist. It is now becoming plain that Germany is bent on putting the German borders back to where they were in 1937, and that they are not going to put up with negative results much longer.

Can We Blame the Germans?

For a moment, put yourself in the shoes of today's Germans. They precipitated and lost the most brutal and devastating war of all time. True! But they don't see themselves as you see them. Well-planned propaganda has provided them with plenty of justification for national crimes. Hitler, who mysteriously disappeared, has been made the scapegoat. "He was the only one really to blame for the heinous crimes against humanity," they say. But deep down most don't even blame Hitler.

Today they see the big menace of Communism. How one country after another has fallen to the blows of the hammer and sickle. They proudly say: "Hitler warned the world about communism long ago. We told you so." In the mind of the average German, there is little to feel guilty about and repent of. Remember: over one-half of the present German population was born or grew up after the Nazi Era. Most are convinced they got a "raw deal" as losers of the war.

But there is also a rational claim to injustice. Over one-third of German territory has come under the ruthless heel of communism. The highly guarded, deadly line of land mines, barbed wire and concrete walls that divides Germany today also divides the Communist Empire from the free Western World.

Millions of Germans fled from the "Red" menace to come to West Germany. Many had to leave farms, homes, possessions, and relatives behind. Often a man was separated and cut off from his wife, children, parents or brothers. Visiting has been all but impossible because of 'red tape.' Any help from those in the West is frowned upon by East German authorities.

The head of steam building up under the pressure of this frustrating, tormenting and often cruel situation, is all the more dangerous and becoming acute because many of their claims seem humanly justified. But this does not take away from the fact that Germany is ripening fast for dictatorship.

U.S. Senator MIKE MANSFIELD has echoed this observation: "If there is to be reasonable hope for peace," he said in a speech prepared for the U.S. Senate, "there must be reasonable hope soon for the reunification of Germany. Otherwise, the absence of such hope may very well convert the rational urge to national unity into the irrational urge for conquest. . . . German Unification will not wait forever. At some point the Germans themselves will tire of the present disunity which is imposed upon them largely by the ideological differences of the Western Nations and the Soviet Union.

"If Germany becomes aroused again," he said, "it may well upset the delicate balance upon which the peace of Europe and the world is now hinged."

Is History Being Repeated?

Not so very long ago, Germany faced a similar situation to that of today. After losing World War I, Germany was divided up by the Versailles Treaty of 1919. Former German territories were given to the victors. Economic and military boycotts, restrictions and reparations were imposed to prevent Germany from rising to a position of world power again. The democratic type government of the Weimar Republic was created. But only a short 20 years later Germany had again risen to become a giant power once more. In the hands of a ruthless dictator Germany dragged most of this world into the worst bloodbath it has ever seen.

What went wrong?

First of all, the German nature refuses to accept defeat. Inside Germany a strong desire gradually developed to get the lost territories back. "Reunification" became the cry. "It must be all of Germany" the signs shouted. Germans detested the weak, split, corrupt coalition governments of the Weimar Republic. Germany was plagued with acute unemployment and the resulting depression. Adolph Hitler understood this situation well and rose to the position of absolute "Führer" on the rocketing momentum of this frustration. Economic and military restrictions were relaxed or no longer enforced. The rising heat of German resentment was finally fanned to the flash point of all-out Blitzkrieg by a power-mad "Führer" bent on revenge and conquest.

Here We Go Again!

As loser of World War II, Germany was again divided in 1945. Over one-third of its territory was put under the (Continued on page 41)
New Crisis in Southeast Asia —

Singapore Breaks Away!

Britain's former colony and key sea-gate has broken away. Stunned, British and American officials fear further deterioration in Southeast Asia. Read how this latest development could lead to a second Viet Nam.

by Gerhard O. Marx

When Britain's Sir Thomas Stamford Raffles — representing Britain's East India Company — arrived in 1819, Singapore was a jungle area. Far-sighted Sir Thomas established a lucrative trading center.

In August, 1824, Britain acquired the island by treaty. The British used Singapore as an island bastion to keep peace in Asia's troubled waters for over a century. Under British rule, Singapore became the populous, prosperous new homeland of many Chinese and Malay immigrants who flocked to the British payrolls.

Then came "freedom" from British rule, which led speedily to the present dilemma.

Here is how it happened—and what it will mean!

The Communist Threat

In 1963, Malaya, North Borneo, Sarawak and Singapore joined in forming the Malaysian Federation. These nations took this step in order to withstand the threats of China and Indonesia—who were seriously endangering the national existence of these countries.

The idea to unite in a federation was proposed by the Malayan Prime Minister, Tunku Abdul Rahman, and was greatly supported by Singapore's Premier Lee. Singapore's leader has always had doubts about the federa-

London, England

SINGAPORE leaves the British-backed Malaysian Federation to become an independent nation!

This sudden and unexpected news has stunned Britain. The British Press is reporting this grim news with a great deal of concern and apprehension.

What Britons Fear

"Singapore Split — Danger Ahead," headlines the London Daily Express and cites this upheaval as reason for "grave alarm."

The Daily Mirror warns, "The split has confronted Britain with major defence, economic and political crises."

The San adds, "Britain's line of defence east of Suez took a staggering blow... when Singapore announced her breakaway from the Malaysian Federation" (emphasis ours). "It is on the security of Southeast Asia that yesterday's news has the most serious implications," the paper continues to warn.

Down in Australia and New Zealand — countries which have good reason to be alarmed by this latest development — the people and governments alike are as shocked as Britain by Singapore's secession.

But why is Singapore so important? Because of its strategic location and ample harbor.

Singapore island — 27 miles long and 14 miles wide — boasts one of the world's greatest ports. Situated at the tip of the Malay Peninsula, Singapore guards the crucial Strait of Malacca, through which much of the world's shipping must pass. A strong nation in control of Singapore has great political and commercial influence throughout Southeast Asia and the Southwest Pacific.

Strategic Singapore, long Britain's Far East Command headquarters, and site of naval, army and air bases. This "gateway to the East" is now in danger of being swallowed up by Communism.
The PLAIN TRUTH

Tunku Abdul Rahman, left, Malay President of Malaysia, and Lee Kuan Yew, Chinese President of Singapore. Political observers believe the racial friction between Malays and Chinese was a decisive factor in Singapore's breakaway.

But since that linkup about two years ago, there has developed in Singapore an atmosphere of high tension and public distrust about the partnership with Malaysia. Understand why!

Internal Strife

Singapore's population is predominately Chinese. They control the commerce and industry. But it is the Muslim Malays who control the government, police and army. This created a feeling of mutual suspicion and general mistrust. Consequently, when Singapore joined the Malaysian Federation, the Chinese felt that Malaysia would be run for the benefit of the Malays. The Malays, on the other hand, feared that Singapore's Premier Lee, a Chinese, wanted to take control of Malaysia for the benefit of the Chinese.

Mr. Lee, being aware of this predicament Singapore found herself in, has always warned that the survival of Malaysia could only be guaranteed if both Malay and Chinese chauvinism—extreme nationalism—were submerged in the national interest. He advocates a multi-racial society of mutual respect and trust.

"Only under such conditions," Lee maintained, "could Malaysia repel the inroads of communism and survive Indonesian confrontation."

When the papers were signed to unite these countries, Singapore's Lee prophesied: "The federation would have to succeed in the first two years, or burst wide open."

And it has!

When Premier Lee announced that he was pressured to take this key seaport and gateway to the East out of the federation, he broke down and wept.

It was 10 a.m. Monday, August 9, when Radio Singapore gave the first news of her severing connections with the Malaysian Federation, making Singapore an independent and sovereign nation. This announcement followed a weekend of top-secret meetings in Kuala Lumpur.

In announcing the break, Mr. Lee said sadly, "Every time we look back on this moment when we signed this agreement which severed Singapore from Malaysia, it will be a moment of anguish. For me it is a moment of anguish because all my life I have believed in Malaysia, merger, and unity of these two territories."

Thus ended the short-lived dream of Singapore aligning herself with her neighbouring allies to protect her from the threats of China and Indonesia.

Singapore now finds herself alone, isolated. And it will be only a matter of time before she will be at the mercy of at least two enemies—Red China and Sukarno's Indonesia.

The threat to her survival as an independent, free nation is real.

The Real Danger

Now that Singapore has broken away from the Malaysian Federation and become an independent nation, she finds herself detached and solitary. But this situation cannot last long. Singapore, even though strategically situated at the tip of the Malay peninsula, controlling the Malacca Strait and so the flow of

Race riots, Singapore style. Truckloads of Chinese students arrive to reinforce rioters and strikers in their battle against police, primarily Malays.
East-West trade, is not self-sufficient. Her national survival is dependent upon extensive trade. This former crown colony must trade in order to survive.

Soon after Singapore’s breakaway was announced, Premier Lee made it clear that such necessary trade would force him to trade with Red China and Indonesia, her two most formidable enemies.

It must be remembered that when Singapore joined the Malaysian Federation two years ago, all trade with President Sukarno of Indonesia was broken off. This step was taken because of Sukarno’s "Crush Malaysia" campaign. This trade ban is estimated to have denied Singapore one-third of her income in the last two years. This has proved disastrous for this key port city.

In the meantime, President Sukarno is already angling to align his country closer to Singapore, initially establishing diplomatic relations and resuming trading with Singapore. Since this sea gate is so dependent upon Indonesia’s trade, it will surely be only a matter of time before the trade ban is lifted.

And once that happens, Singapore will be at the mercy of Indonesia. Such a development would mean the end of British and Western influence in this vital seaport. "It is clearly only a matter of time before President Sukarno begins demanding the expulsion of the British and Malaysians from the base," writes the Daily Telegraph. (Britain has 52,000 troops in Singapore, protecting it from outside aggression by China or Indonesia.) Seeing this as a real threat, the paper warns that a major military base in North Australia is now urgent.

No Defence for Singapore?

This would mean shifting Britain’s 52,000 troops stationed in the Singapore area from this key sea gate to Australia. Once that happens, Western influence in Southeast Asia will suddenly deteriorate. It will prove to be a severe military and economic blow to Britain and the Commonwealth.

And not only to the Commonwealth! Multiple thousands of Singapore’s citizens work on Britain’s naval, army and air bases. Many other thousands serve British commercial interests. If Britain moves her bases to North Australia to avoid the Sukarno threat, these civilian masses will lose their jobs. Singapore, in turn, will lose much of her revenue. She will have to speedily regain Sukarno’s and Red China’s trade—or perish!

Communist trade will mean Communist influence and infiltration. Singapore will be ripe for Communist takeover. Britain’s Gurkhas, Scottish Highlanders and other world-famous units now in Singapore will no longer be present to protect Singapore from Communists.

Worried about Britain’s military bases in Singapore being moved to the more settled area of North Australia, Singapore’s Prime Minister Lee warned that Singapore and Malaysia would be destroyed. "The Indonesian army would be in Singapore tomorrow" if Britain were to leave, said Lee.

Even though Prime Minister Lee wants the British military bases to remain in Singapore, he is unfortunately having serious opposition from within his own government. Mr. Rajaratnam, Singapore’s Foreign Minister, said of the British military installations: "We have no objection to these bases provided it is clearly understood that they are in the interests of Singapore and Malaysia and are here purely for defensive purposes." But he warned that his Government’s attitude towards SEATO—the South-East Asia Treaty Organization of which Britain is a member—was not one of understanding and sympathy.

In other words, Britain’s right to keep her troops in Singapore—and so protect that area from Chinese and Indonesian aggression—is entirely dependent upon the dictates of Singapore’s leaders.

Sukarno Eyes Singapore

All these ominous developments are playing right into the hands of Indonesia and Red China. Wrote the Daily Mirror, "The only man to benefit by this will be the aging, bankrupt, playboy President of Indonesia. He has not been able to crush Malaysia. It has shaken itself apart."

With Singapore out of the Malaysian Federation and consequently isolated and at the mercy of Indonesia and possibly China, officials in Malaysia are fearful of Singapore becoming another "Cuba."

It is as serious as that. Indonesia has been out to crush Malaysia, including Singapore. Only the other day Indonesia’s Defence Minister, General
Nasution, warned, "So long as the colonialists [meaning Great Britain] maintain their military base in Singapore, we remain determined to crush it." But, continued the defence minister: "Things will be different if Premier Lee is willing to remove the base."

Thus Singapore is being pressured from all sides. Indonesia is offering her economic assistance in resuming trade with her. Trade which Singapore desperately needs. But the condition to this trading is for Singapore to close down the British military bases. And if that happens, Singapore will cease to exist as an independent nation. Here is what her Prime Minister said. "For instance...we get rid of the British base. Tomorrow the Indonesian army, with its 400,000 soldiers enters Singapore. It is not necessary to send 400,000. It is sufficient to send 40,000. We would be finished."

Not only would Singapore be finished, but all of Malaysia and finally all of Southeast Asia. One British official, extremely worried about Singapore's breakaway from the Malaysian Federation warned, "If they can't stick together internally, how are they going to fight an external enemy?"

And the Daily Mirror adds, "But one great danger is that Malaysia may draw closer to her present enemy, President Sukarno's near-communist Indonesia, and away from the West."

That is exactly what Bible prophecy indicates is going to happen! The vital sea gate of Singapore is all but lost to Britain and the Commonwealth. It will only be a matter of a few years before Singapore and the whole of Southeast Asia will be in the hands of the Asians. Western influence will come to an end. Lost also will be another vital and economically important sea gate, controlled no longer by the West, but by the Communist hordes of the East.

Singapore—called the commercial crossroads of Southeast Asia, controlling a vast amount of incoming and outgoing trade—is but the latest sea gate lost to Britain. God, in His divinely inspired Word, promised His people Israel—Great Britain and America—that they were to inherit all the important sea gates in the world (Gen. 12:17; 24:16). And as prophesied, every truly strategic land and sea gate has been in the possession of the countries comprising modern-day Israel (for our national identity, please write for our booklet, The United States and the British Commonwealth in Prophecy). But because of our national sins, God is taking them away from our nations—one by one.

As was brought out in the June number of The Plain Truth, God is about to punish our nations for their sins. The Bible shows us plainly that God is allowing our enemies gradually to get the upper hand in military and economic battles—until we are brought down very low, finally to be conquered—if we don't repent of our national sins. This latest development in Singapore is another sure sign that the prophecies foretelling the end of our national existence are precisely being fulfilled—day by day.

God grant our peoples wisdom to watch and heed the ominous signs already on the horizon. It is really later than you think!

The letters on tithing in The Plain Truth were very interesting and heart warming. A year and a half ago we had the same experience. My husband said we couldn't afford to tithe. At that time (winter months), he was only working three days a week. I asked him to try it and give God a chance to prove His power, so he did. He got in a full week's work and as we continued to tithe God continued to bless us. Six months ago my husband was made foreman, and the Lord is still blessing us."

Mrs. Walter S., Lynden, Washington

Surprised Teacher

"I have been using Plain Truth articles for my term papers this past semester. One of my teachers asked to borrow a copy. After she had read it..."
she said it was the truth, but she hadn’t realized things the way you put them. This teacher said she was going to ask you for a subscription. She asked me the price, and I wish you could have seen her face when I told her it was free!"

Richard C. V., Cranston Rhode Island

Too Old to Change?

"... Being without children to worry about, and of an age where we are not going to change these serious things you are writing about, it’s a case of enjoying things in a nice way and letting the future take care of itself. Wishing you luck in your work."

E. L. W., Hialeah, Florida

- You’d be surprised how much changing you need to do.

“I have been a subscriber to The Plain Truth for a number of years now. It is the most dynamic, informative, and refreshing magazine I have ever had the privilege to read. The method of writing in The Plain Truth is simple and direct, and can be understood by anyone who is able to read it. The news is so newsy and refreshing that it is very difficult to quit reading the magazine until you have finished it.”

E. L. S., New Eagle, Pennsylvania

It Pays

“Since I’ve begun to tithe I have received a higher paying job and much more pleasant working conditions. I was one of the first of my race to be hired in the offices of this small town. It happened so quickly I know only God had set forth His hand to do what He had promised. Thanks to Mr. Armstrong and The Plain Truth for setting me free.”

Dazelle J., Holly Springs, North Carolina

From France

“What a comfort to hear you four times a week! La Pure Verite is a revelation for me. Your teaching is founded entirely on the Bible, and the events we are now witnessing in the world prove that the second coming of Christ is near.”

Man from Commercy, France

Family Gratitude

“For the first time in my life I feel that I’m learning about God. . . . How can I ever thank you for what your message has done for me? I have never understood the Bible before—I have never felt as close to God as I do now. All of this is because you have brought God into our home and into our life. I just want to say ‘God bless you!’ from the bottom of my heart.”

Maria P., Hamtramck, Michigan

The New Morality

“My copy of ‘The New Morality’ came the first of the month. Thank you, with all my heart, for it. I have read just enough of it to realize all of the time and thought that has gone into its preparation. I can’t help but feel my life would have been vastly different—it could have been completely normal as God planned—had I had this knowledge.”

Miss Ruth D., California

---

THE BIBLE ANSWERS

Short Questions

FROM OUR READERS

"You say the Bible doesn’t teach civil disobedience! I would like to see you explain the acts of Jesus Himself when He drove the money changers out of the Temple. Why wasn’t He arrested for civil disobedience?"

“Today we have laws against gambling. Yet various churches hold bingo parties and the police do not raid them. I am certain that if I went into the churches and overturned the bingo tables, I would be jailed or fined for destruction of private property, and most certainly arrested for disturbing the peace.”

E. C. K., Brewster, Kansas

Quit assuming!

Jesus never once encouraged civil disobedience!

Consider these facts. Nicodemus was a religious ruler—a member of the 70-man Sanhedrin—in the Jewish religion (John 3:1). Yet Nicodemus addressed Jesus as Rabbi, meaning “Master.” He recognized Jesus as one sent with authority from God. Nicodemus said: “We [the Sanhedrin officials] know that thou art a teacher come from God: for no man can do these miracles that thou doest, except God be with him” (John 3:2).

The Sanhedrin members recognized that Jesus had God’s authority—at least equal to the prophets of old. All through the gospel accounts they called Him “Master,” “Teacher,” “Rabbi.” These Jewish rulers recognized that Jesus had the right to exercise authority in their house of worship. On occasion they asked Him to read and expound the Scriptures in the synagogue (Luke 4:16-19).

Jesus had the right as a Teacher to instruct and to exercise authority in the Temple!

When Jesus drove out the money changers, He was doing neither more nor less than exercising His responsibility in purging corruption from God’s house of worship.

Jesus, as One whose authority was acknowledged, was not civilly disobedient. He was enforcing civil obedience—which was His prerogative as a re-
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MAJOR STATIONS—
Heard over wide areas

East
WHN—New York—1050 on dial, 9:00 a.m. Sun.
WWVA—Wheeling, W. Va.—1170 on dial, 98.7 FM, 10:30 a.m. and 8:30 p.m. Sun., 5 a.m. & 8:30 p.m. Mon. thru Fri. (E.S.T.)
WNAC—Boston—680 on dial, 98.5 FM (WRKO-FM), 8:30 p.m. Sun.
WBIG—Philadelphia—990 on dial, 94.1 FM, 12:30 p.m. Sun.
WLKW—Providence, R.I.—990 on dial, 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WPIT—Raleigh, N.C.—680 on dial, 94.7 FM, 9:30 a.m. Sun., 10:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KSTP—Minneapolis-St. Paul—1500 on dial, 9:05 a.m. Sun., 5:30 p.m. Mon. thru Fri. (E.S.T.)
WLAC—Nashville—1510 on dial, 9:45 a.m. Sun.
WLKW—Providence, R.I.—990 on dial, 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KTRH—Houston—740 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 5 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WIBG—Philadelphia—990 on dial, 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WNAC—Boston—680 on dial, 98.5 FM (WRKO-FM), 8:30 p.m. Sun.
WBIG—Philadelphia—990 on dial, 94.1 FM, 12:30 p.m. Sun.
WLKW—Providence, R.I.—990 on dial, 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WPIT—Raleigh, N.C.—680 on dial, 94.7 FM, 9:30 a.m. Sun., 10:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KSTP—Minneapolis-St. Paul—1500 on dial, 9:05 a.m. Sun., 5:30 p.m. Mon. thru Fri. (E.S.T.)
WLAC—Nashville—1510 on dial, 9:45 a.m. Sun.
WLKW—Providence, R.I.—990 on dial, 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KTRH—Houston—740 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 5 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WIBG—Philadelphia—990 on dial, 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

Central States
WLAC—Nashville—1510 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 5 a.m. Mon. thru Sat. (C.S.T.)
WSM—Nashville—650 on dial, 9 p.m. Sun., 12 a.m. Mon. thru Sat. (C.S.T.)
WCKY—Cincinnati—1550 on dial, 7:00 and 9:30 p.m. Sun., 5:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat., 12:00 midnight, Tues. thru Sun. (E.S.T.)
WJJD—Chicago—1160 on dial, 10:45 p.m. Sun., 11:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat. (C.S.T.)
KSTP—Minneapolis-St. Paul—1500 on dial, 8:00 a.m. Sun., 5 a.m. Mon. thru Sat. (C.S.T.)
*KCMO—Kansas City—810 on dial, 7:30 p.m. Sun., 8:10 p.m. and 5 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KWAY—Waterloo, la.—1540 on dial, 8 p.m. Sun., 9:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KXEN—St. Louis—1010 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 12 noon Mon. thru Fri., after football on Sat.

South
*KRLD—Dallas—1080 on dial, 8:15 p.m. daily.
KTRH—Houston—740 on dial, 101.1 FM, 8:00 p.m. Sun., 9:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WOAI—San Antonio, Texas—1200 on dial, 7:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat., Sun. time to be announced.
KWWC—Shreveport—1330 on dial, 94.5 FM, 10:30 a.m. and 8:30 p.m. Sun., 5:00 a.m. Mon. thru Fri., 11:00 a.m. and 11:30 p.m. Sat.
WNOF—New Orleans—1060 on dial, 9:30 a.m. Sun.

Asterisk indicates new station or time change.

LEADING LOCAL-AREA STATIONS

East
WJIR—Newark, N.J.—970 on dial, 11:00 a.m. Sun., 10:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WBMD—Baltimore—750 on dial, 12:30 p.m. daily.
*WPIT—Pittsburgh—730 on dial, 101.5 FM, 11:30 a.m. Sun., 3:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
*WHB—Harrisburg, Pa.—580 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.
WJAC—Johnstown, Pa.—580 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.
WCHS—Charleston, W. Va.—580 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.
WCYB—Bristol, Va.—690 on dial, 12:30 p.m. daily.
WWNC—Asheville, N.C.—570 on dial, 6:00 p.m. Sun., 5:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WWOL—Buffalo, N.Y.—1210 on dial, 10:00 a.m. Sun., 5:00 p.m. Mon. thru Fri., 4:00 p.m. Sat.
WBET—Brockton, Mass.—1460 on dial, 7:05 p.m. daily.
WNNJ—Providence, R.I.—990 on dial, 9:05 a.m. Sun., 7:05 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

Central
WSPD—Toledo, Ohio—1370 on dial, 10:15 FM, 9:00 p.m. Sun., 9:05 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WDO—Cleveland, Ohio—1260 on dial, 10:00 p.m. daily.
WBCK—Battle Creek, Mich.—930 on dial, 12:30 p.m. Sat. and Sun., 7:00 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.
WJJK—Detroit, Mich.—1500 on dial, 5:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WSLR—Akron, Ohio—1350 on dial, 7:00 p.m. daily.
WJKW—Cleveland, Ohio—850 on dial, 104.1 FM, 10 a.m. Sun.
WBRR—Marietta, Ohio—1210 on dial, 12:30 p.m. daily.
WOW—Omaha, Nebr.—590 on dial, 8:25 p.m. Sun.
KRN—Lexington, Nebr.—1010 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 3:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WAJ—Yankton, S. Dak.—570 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.
WEAW—Chicago—1330 on dial, 105.1 FM, 9:30 a.m. Sun. (also 8:00 p.m. Sun., FM) 8:00 a.m. Mon. thru Fri., 7:30 a.m. Sat. and 7:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat. FM.
*WJOL—Joliet, Ill.—1340 on dial, 8:00 p.m. daily.
*WWCA—Gary, Ind.—1270 on dial, 7:00 p.m. daily.
*WAOA—Peoria—1350 on dial, 4:00 p.m. Sun., 6:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
*WBO—Hammond, Ind.—1230 on dial, 7:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

WDEV—Waterbury, Vt.—550 on dial, 8:00 p.m. Sun., 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WPO—Portland, Maine—1490 on dial, 9:00 a.m. Sun.
WCOU—Lewiston, Maine—1240 on dial, 9:30 p.m. Sun.
WAAB—Winfield, Mass.—1440 on dial, 107.3 FM, 9:30 a.m. Sun.
WMAS—Springfield, Mass.—1450 on dial, 94.7 FM, 8:30 p.m. Sun.
WEIR—Fitchburg, Mass.—1280 on dial, 8:30 p.m. Sun.
WNLC—New London, Conn.—1490 on dial, 8:30 p.m. Sun.
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Mountain States

KIPIO—Phoenix—910 on dial, 6:35 p.m. daily.
KLZ—Denver—560 on dial, 106.7 FM, 7:30 p.m. daily.
KCPX—Salt Lake City—1320 on dial, 98.7 FM, 7 p.m. daily.
KIDO—Boise, Idaho—630 on dial, 7:05 p.m. daily.
KMOM—Great Falls, Mont.—560 on dial, 8:00 p.m. Sun., 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

West Coast

KHQ—Spokane—590 on dial, 8:05 p.m. daily.
KVI—Seattle—570 on dial, 8 a.m. Sun.
KBLE—Seattle—1050 on dial, 12 noon daily.
KMO—Tacoma, Wash.—1350 on dial, 8:30 p.m. daily.
KWJJ—Portland—1080 on dial, 10 p.m., Sun., 9 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KEX—Portland—1190 on dial, 8:30 a.m. Sun.
KKG—Seattle—1340 on dial, 9:00 a.m. Sun., 6:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KUHO—Eugene—590 on dial 7 p.m. daily.
KUMA—Pendleton, Ore.—1290 on dial, 6:30 p.m. daily.
KUGN—Eugene—930 on dial, 6:30 p.m. daily.
KBLE—Seattle—1080 on dial, 10:00 p.m. Sun., 5:30 p.m. daily.

South

KCTA— Corpus Christi, Tex.—1330 on dial, 11:30 a.m. Sun.
KQRS—Minneapolis—1440 on dial, 9:25 FM, 10:00 a.m. Sun., 7:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WEBE—Duluth, Minn.—560 on dial, 7:00 p.m. daily.
WML—Milwaukee, Wis.—1290 on dial, 95.7 FM, 4:30 p.m. Sun., 7:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KFYR—Bismarck, N. Dak.—550 on dial, 9:00 a.m. Sun., 7:15 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WBAP—Fort Worth, Tex.—570 on dial, 8:00 p.m. daily.
KEES—Gladewater, Tex.—1430 on dial, 12:30 p.m. daily.
KMAC—San Antonio—650 on dial, 9:00 a.m. Sun., 7:15 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KTBC—Austin—590 on dial, 9:30 a.m. Sun., 5:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KTLU—Rusk, Tex.—1580 on dial, 1:00 p.m. Sun.
KGNC—Amarillo—710 on dial, 9:00 p.m. daily.
KFMJ—Tulsa—1050 on dial, 12:30 p.m. daily.
KBYE—Oklahoma City—890 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 12:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KWAM—Memphis—590 on dial, 10:00 a.m. Sun., 11:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WSHO—New Orleans—800 on dial, 12:00 noon daily.
WDEF—Chattanooga, Tenn.—1370 on dial, 92.3 FM, 8:00 p.m. daily.
WAKE—Atlanta—1340 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun.
WBRC—Birmingham, Ala.—960 on dial, 106.9 FM, 7:30 p.m. daily, except 10:30 p.m.
WYDE—Birmingham, Ala.—850 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun.
WMEN—Tallahassee—1330 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 8:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
WMIE—Miami, Fla.—1140 on dial, 8:30 a.m. Sun., 12 noon Mon. thru Sat.
WZOK—Jacksonville, Fla.—1320 on dial, 12:30 p.m. daily.
WKYX—Paducah, Ky.—570 on dial, 93.3 FM, 6:30 p.m. daily.

KACE—San Bernardino-Riverside—1570 on dial, 92.7 FM, 9:30 a.m. Sun., 7:05 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
KOGO—San Diego—600 on dial, 8:30 p.m. Sun.
In Spanish—
KAL—Los Angeles, Calif.—1430 on dial, 4:45 p.m. Sun.

Alaska & Hawaii

KFQD—Anchorage, Alaska—730 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.
KULA—Hanaula, Hawaii—690 on dial, 6:00 p.m. daily.

CANADA

VOCM—St. John’s, Nfld.—390 on dial, 6:30 p.m. Sun., 7:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CJCH—Halifax, N.S.—920 on dial, 10:00 p.m. Sun., 9:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CBBC—St. John, N.B.—930 on dial, 8:00 p.m. Sun., 8:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CKW—Montreal, Que.—1220 on dial, 5:30 p.m. Sun., 6:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CFMB—Montreal, Que.—1410 on dial, 1:30 p.m. Sun., 6:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CKOY—Ottawa, Ont.—1310 on dial, 5:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CJET—Smith Falls, Ont.—630 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.
CKFH—Toronto, Ont.—1430 on dial, 10:00 p.m. Sun., 6:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CKLB—Oshawa, Ont.—1550 on dial, 9:05 p.m. Mon. thru Fri., 10:30 p.m. Sat. and Sun.
CHIQ—Hamilton, Ont.—1280 on dial, 8:00 p.m. Sun., 7:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CKLW—Windsor, Ont.—800 on dial, 7:00 p.m. Sun.
CKSO—Sudbury, Ont.—790 on dial, 6:30 p.m. Sun., 8:00 p.m. Mon. thru Fri., 7:30 p.m. Sat.
CJLX—Fort William, Ont.—800 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.
CKY—Winnipeg, Man.—580 on dial, 10 p.m. Sun., 6:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CKDM—Dauphin, Man.—730 on dial, 6:30 p.m. daily.
CJNB—North Battleford, Sask.—1050 on dial, 2:30 p.m. daily, 6:30 p.m. Sun., 7:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CKRM—Regina, Sask.—980 on dial, 8:30 p.m. Sun., 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CJGX—Yorkton, Sask.—1300 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.
CKXL—Calgary, Alta.—1140 on dial, 10:00 p.m. Sun., 5:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
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CJVI—Victoria, B.C.—900 on dial, 6:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.
CKLG—Vancouver, B.C.—7:30 on dial, 7:00 a.m. Sun., 6:00 a.m. Mon. and Tues., B.S.T.
CKPG—Prince George, B.C.—SSO on dial.
CKV—Kelowna, B.C.—630 on dial, 9:00 p.m. Sun., 8:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat., or after football.
CFBV—Smithers, B.C.—1230 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.
In French—
CFMB—Montreal—1410 kc., 5 p.m., In English—
RADIO LONDON—266 metres
RADIO LUXEMBOURG—208 metres
RADIO LUXEMBOURG—1293 metres
RADIO CAROLINE NORTH — 199 metres

In German—
EUROPE NO. ONE—Felsberg en Sarre, Germany—182 kc., (1647 m.)—6:00 a.m. Sun., 5:45 a.m. Wed. and Sat.
In German—
RADIO LUXEMBOURG—1293 metres—5:40 a.m., Mon., 5:25 a.m. Wed. and Sat. (6090 kc.) short wave —7:00 p.m. daily.

In French—
RADIO TAIWAN (FORMOSA)—DXKR, Kalibo—1480 kc., 8:00 p.m. daily.

RADIO—Black Rock, Barbados—785 kc., 10:30 a.m. Sun., 9:30 a.m. Mon. thru Fri., 11:00 a.m. Sat.

In English—
RADIO LUXEMBOURG—208 metres (1439 kc.) medium wave and 49 metres (6900 kc.) short wave—7:00 p.m. Mon. and Tues., B.S.T.
RADIO LONDON—266 metres (1120 kc.) medium wave, 8:00 p.m. daily.
RADIO CAROLINE NORTH — 199 metres (1500 kc.) medium wave, 8:00 p.m. daily.

PHILIPPINE ISLANDS:
DZAM, Manila—620 kc.—8:30 p.m. daily.
DXAW, Davao City—640 kc.—9:00 p.m. Sunday.
DYCB, Cebu City—570 kc.—9:30 p.m. Fri., Sun.
DYKR, Kalibo—1480 kc., 8:00 p.m. daily, except Tues. at 7:00 p.m.

AFRICA
RADIO LOURENCO MARQUES, MOZAMBIQUE—3301 kc., 92 metres and 4925 kc., 60 metres—10:00 p.m. Mon., Wed., and Sat., 10:30 p.m. Tues., Thur., and Fri.
RADIO UFAC, ELIZABETHVILLE—674 kc.—6:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.
WBNS—Lagos—602 kc.—8:30 p.m. daily.
WBNS—Ibadan—656 kc., 3380 kc., and 9500 kc.—8:30 p.m. daily.

AUSTRALIA
2KY—Sydney, NSW—1020 kc.—10:15 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.; 10:45 p.m. Fri.; 11 p.m. Sat.
2AY—Albury, NSW—1490 kc.—9:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
2GF—Grafton, NSW—1210 kc.—5:15 a.m. Fri.; 5:45 a.m. Tues., Thurs. and Fri., B.S.T.
2GZ—Orange, NSW—990 kc.—8:45 p.m. Sun., 9:05 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.
2HD—Newcastle, NSW—1140 kc.—9:00 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.
2KA—Katoomba, NSW—780 kc.—10:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.
2LM—Lismore, NSW—900 kc.—8:30 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.
3AW—Melbourne, Vic.—1280 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun.
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In Spanish—
RADIO LA CRONICA—Lima, Peru—1320 kc.—7:00 p.m. Sun.
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"THE UNKNOWN GOD"

The ignorant Greeks labeled the Creator: "The Unknown God" (Acts 17:23). They forgot WHO He is—because they had lost the meaning of His name! That name is important—what is it? What does it mean?

by Herman L. Hoeh

How important is a name? Does it make any difference what name you use to designate the Creator?

Does it matter in whose name you pray?

Authority in a Name

A person's name is important. When one acts in another's name, one acts in his stead—by his authority. The name designates the source of the authority—it designates who the person is for whom one acts. To pray in someone's name is to take the authority of that name.

The greatest curse that could come on anyone is to have his name blotted out for all eternity!

It does make a difference what name you use for the Creator—and in whose name you pray. There is only one name "under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved" (Acts 4:12). What is this name? Without it you cannot be saved!

The Son came in His Father's name. What is His Father's name? Unless you bear your heavenly Father's name, you are not His son and heir!

Modern Confusion

Some claim that "Jehovah" is the Father's name. They claim that we ought to use the word "Jehovah" instead of "Lord."

Is "Jehovah" the Father's name?

Other sects claim that when we speak of or pray to the heavenly Father and/or the Son, we should use only the Hebrew names of Deity. They insist that it is a sin to use the words "God," "Lord," "Jesus," "Christ," "Word," and "Christian." They insist that we should always use the Hebrew words for Deity. They claim that it is a sin to pray "in the name of Jesus." A sin to be called a "Christian"!

Though these sects claim that "Jehovah" is not the name, they cannot agree among themselves as to what the Hebrew name should be! Some claim the name should be pronounced "Yahvah," others that it should be "Yahweh." They vehemently insist that it is a sin to translate the names of God into English! They contend that it is the sound of the name, NOT ITS MEANING, that is important!

Yet Paul was inspired to write: "Except ye utter by the tongue words easy to be understood, how shall it be known what is spoken?" In verse 19 Paul continued, "Yet in the Church I had rather speak five words with my understanding, that by my voice I might teach others, than ten thousand
words in an unknown tongue"—to the
Greeks, Hebrew was an unknown
tongue (1 Cor. 14:9, 19).

Names Have Meaning

In the Bible, names have meaning. Names are given for a purpose.
The first man was called in the Hebrew
tongue Adam because he was made of "red earth." Adam in Hebrew
means "red earth." Abram's name in Hebrew was changed to
Abraham because the promise was made to Abra-
ham that he should become "a father
of many nations" (Genesis 17:5). Abraham
means "a father of many nations."

Jacob's name in Hebrew was changed
to Israel. The word Jacob in Hebrew
means "supplanter," but the word Is-
racl means "prevailer with God." Jacob
prevailed with God and obtained His blessing.

All these Biblical names convey
meaning. Notice that these names are
usually not greatly changed in any
foreign tongue because their meanings
are already given in the Bible. They do
not have to be translated!

But other important Hebrew Biblical
names mentioned in the New Testament
are translated from Hebrew into
Greek!

Notice Acts 9:36, "Now there was
at Joppa a certain disciple named
Tabitha, which by interpretation is
called Dorcas"—in Greek. Her name
means "Doe" in English.

Again in Acts 13:8, the Aramaic
name "Elymas" is translated as "Sor-
ccerer" in English, or as "Magos" in
the inspired Greek New Testament.
From these examples we see that per-
sonal names are often translated in the
Bible!!

The NAMES OF THE CREATOR also
have great meaning.

The Meaning of God's NAMES

In the Old Testament the English
word "God" comes from the Hebrew
word Elohim, meaning "mighty ones." It is a uniplural word showing that
there are NUMEROUS MEMBERS IN THE
ONE DIVINE FAMILY. Sometimes the
English word "God" comes from an-
other word El. It signifies in Hebrew
"a mighty one."

Often the word El is combined with
other Hebrew words to give new names
to the Creator. Thus "El-Shaddai"
means the "Almighty God" (Gen.
17:1).

Now turn to Exodus 3:13 and 14
for another surprising name of the
Almighty. "And Moses said to God,
behold, when I come unto the children
of Israel, and shall say unto them, The
God of your fathers hath sent me unto
you; and they shall say unto me, What
is His name? What shall I say unto
them? And God said unto Moses, I
AM THAT I AM: and He said, Thou
shalt thou say unto the children of
Israel, I AM hath sent me unto you."

Notice! Here is one of the many
names of the Creator—"I AM THAT I
AM." There is real meaning to this
name! It may also be translated from
the Hebrew as "I will be what I will
be." This name—I AM—means that
there is no one under heaven superior
to, no one who exercises lordship over,
the ONE who appeared to Moses. The
One who appeared to Moses is above
all creation—Lord of all! That is what
His name means. He is the One who is,
the Self-Existence One.

He Is "The ETERNAL"

Now turn to Exodus 6:1-3. Here the
Creator reveals yet another name. "And
God spake unto Moses, and said unto
him, I am the LORD" (verse 2). The
Hebrew word which is here translated
"LORD" is YHWH—translated "LORD"
in verse 2, and mistranslated "Jehovah"
in verse 3—comes from the Hebrew root
word meaning "to be," or "to exist." The
name YHWH means "the Eternal,"
"the Everliving," and as the Creator of
all and superior to all, this name signi-
ifies that He is eternally Ruler and
LORD!

Now notice especially verse 3, in
the King James translation: "And I
appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and
unto Jacob, by the name of God Al-
mighty, but by my name Jehovah was
I not known unto them." The name
"Jehovah" in the King James is admit-
tedly a woeful mistranslation. It comes
from the Hebrew word YHWH. Notice
that the One who was worshiped by
Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob appeared
under the name "God Almighty"—in
Hebrew El Shaddai. He did not reveal
Himself by the name YHWH. Not until
the days of Moses did He reveal this
name. For 2,500 long years of human
history the Creator did not explicitly
use the name YHWH. When Moses
compiled the book of Genesis, he in-
troduced the name, beginning with
chapter 2.

Abraham, of course, used the word
yhwh in reference to the Creator, for he
knew the Creator was ever-living—
that's what yhwh meant. But the
name YHWH was never expressly used
by the Almighty—the Spokesman of the
Creating Family.

How plain! The Creator thought
it more important to reveal His Word
than even this name—YHWH—to
Abraham. Notice Psalm 138:2, "... for
thou hast magnified thy word above all
thy name."

But what about the word "Jehovah"
in Exodus 6:3?

"Jehovah" Is NOT the Name

Tens of thousands are being led
today to believe that the Creator's
name is "Jehovah." Where did the
name "Jehovah" come from? Notice
what the Jewish Encyclopedia has to say:
"Jehovah" is generally held to have
been the invention of Pope Leo X's
Confessor, Peter Galatin... who was
followed in the use of this hybrid form
by Fagius... But it seems that even
before Galatin, the name 'Jehovah' had
been in common use... It is found in
Raymond Martin's 'Pugio Fidei,' writ-
ten in 1270" (from Jewish Encyclo-
pedia, article "Jehovah," volume VII,
page II).

The word "Jehovah" has come down
to the modern world through the
Catholic Church! Even the "Jehovah's
Witnesses," in the preface to their
translation of the Bible, state: "While
inclining to view the pronunciation
'Yahweh' as the more correct way, we
have retained the form 'Jehovah' because
of people's familiarity with it since the
14th century" (page II).

"Jehovah" is not the name! It is a
corrupt mispronunciation.

Since "Jehovah" is not the proper
pronunciation of the Hebrew word
YHWH, what then is its proper pro-
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nunciation? Some "Hebrew Name" sects say "Yahvah," others say "Yahweh," still others believe it should be "Yehweh," etc.

Why this confusion?
The answer is—the true pronunciation of the Hebrew word YHWH was lost!!

Here is how it happened.
The Hebrew language uses consonants and semi-consonants, not vowels. Moses was inspired to write this name for the Creator with the four Hebrew letters YHWH. This Hebrew word, which the Israelites originally knew how to pronounce, comes from another Hebrew word HWH, an old form of the root HYH, which means "to be," or "to become."

In the centuries since Jeremiah's day, the Jews became superstitious and made an idol out of this name! They treated it with such superstitious reverence that they decided never to pronounce it! Instead, whenever they found this word in the Old Testament, they read Adonai in its place. Adonai is a Hebrew word meaning "Lord" or "Master." Hence the proper pronunciation of YHWH became lost!

True Pronunciation Prophesied to Be Lost!
The Bible even prophesied that the pronunciation of this name should be lost to us today! Notice Jeremiah 23: 25-27:

"I have heard what the prophets said, that prophesy lies in my name, saying, I have dreamed, I have dreamed ... which think to cause my people to forget my name by their dreams which they tell every man to his neighbor, as their fathers have forgotten my name for Baal."

The Jews in Jeremiah's day knew how to pronounce the word YHWH. But through the dreams of their false teachers they were misled into believing that the word YHWH should not be pronounced—that it is too holy a word to be uttered! And so the true pronunciation of the word became lost!

No one today knows absolutely how it should be pronounced. This is exactly what Jeremiah prophesied in these verses over 2500 years ago!

Here is what Rotherham says about this name in his Emphasized Bible, page 25: "The true pronunciation seems to have been Yahwe. The accent should be on the final syllable." The Jewish Encyclopedia says of this name: "... the original pronunciation must have been Yahweh, or Yahwah." (Article "Names of God," Volume IX, page 161).

So the pronunciation of YWHH is definitely not Jehovah. Nor do any exact meanings today exist of knowing precisely how it is to be pronounced—yet the MEANING OF THIS NAME IS PRESERVED FOR US TODAY. It means the "Eternal," the "Everliving," the Eternal "Lord." The meaning of the Almighty's name is far more important than its mere sound.

Now notice definite Scriptural proof that it is proper to translate the names of God so that we may understand what they mean in whatever language we speak today!

Part of Old Testament Not Written in Hebrew!

Some sects deny we should ever translate the Hebrew names of Deity. But notice the Bible evidence!

In the Old Testament the English word "God" is often a rendering of the Hebrew words "El," "Eloah," and "Elohim." Were these Hebrew names ever translated into other tongues in the original inspired Old and New Testaments?

The answer is a resounding Yes!

When Babylon conquered the Kingdom of Judah (604-585), Hebrew gradually ceased to be the language of common speech of the Jews. Hebrew was replaced by Aramaic, spoken throughout the Babylonian Empire. Daniel wrote five whole chapters of his prophetic book in Aramaic—chapters 2 through 6. And Ezra wrote four whole chapters of his work in Aramaic—chapters 4 through 7.

When Daniel and Ezra referred to the Creator in these chapters, did they use the old Hebrew words, or their Aramaic translations?

The surprising answer is that Daniel and Ezra TRANSLATED the Hebrew words for God into the Aramaic word ELAH! In 78 different places in these 9 chapters, the Aramaic word ELAH is used to translate the Hebrew word for "God!"

If the Almighty intended that His name should be pronounced only in Hebrew, then Daniel and Ezra were false prophets! But Daniel and Ezra were not false prophets! They were inspired men! They were inspired to translate the Hebrew word for God into the Aramaic word ELAH which means God! Every word—every letter—which Ezra and Daniel were inspired to write has been preserved for us today! Not one jot or tittle—the least letters or marks of the alphabet—in the Old Testament has been lost (Matthew 5:18; Luke 16:17).

Since the Creator inspired His prophets to translate the Hebrew word for God into the Aramaic ELAH, which means "God" in Aramaic, then it is fitting and proper that the Hebrew word ELOHIM should be translated "God" into the English translations of the Old Testament!

"God" Is NOT a Pagan Name

Some "Hebrew Name" sects contend that it is a sin to use the word "God"! They reason that because the word God was used by our ancestors to refer to their idols, it is improper to use it to refer to the Creator. But notice what the Bible reveals about this very question in Romans 1:21:

"When they [the Gentile nations] knew God, they glorified Him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools, and changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and to four-footed beasts, and to creeping things." And notice verse 28, "... they did not like to retain God in their knowledge."

The nations once knew God, but they changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into images—idols! They attached the name of their Creator to their idols.

Notice the astounding proof of this in the Old Testament:

In the inspired Hebrew of the Old Testament the Hebrew word ELOHIM—which means "the God Kingdom,"
or "the God Family"—is used 240 times to refer to pagan, heathen "gods"! In two places this word is also translated "goddess" in the Old Testament.

Again, the Hebrew word EL is once translated "idol" and 15 times translated "god"—and refers to the heathen gods. The Hebrew word Eloah is five times used in the Old Testament to refer to heathen "gods." In 16 different places Ezra and Nehemiah were inspired to use the Aramaic word ELAH to refer to the heathen "gods" of the Aramaic-speaking people!

Thus if it is a sin to use the English word "God" to refer to the Creator—merely because our pagan ancestors used it to refer to their idols—then it is also a sin to use the Hebrew words Elohim, El, or the Aramaic Elah to refer to the Creator because these words were also used by our pagan ancestors to refer to their pagan idols in Old Testament times!

God inspired His prophets to use in the Old Testament Scriptures the very same Hebrew words for both pagan idols and the true Creator! It is just as right and proper for us today to use the English word "God" when referring to the Creator!

"God" is simply the English word for the Supreme Deity. Like the Hebrew Elohim, it also refers to idols which men have falsely claimed to be God.

Now let us notice how God inspired the apostles to write His name in the inspired Greek New Testament.

The Name in the New Testament

The words of your Savior are given to us in the New Testament. Before He ascended to heaven, He promised His disciples, "Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away" (Matthew 24:35).

Heaven and earth have not passed away! Neither have the words of the Savior! They are found inspired in the New Testament today! How were the names of Deity rendered in the New Testament for the Greek-speaking converts? Notice!

Paul was sent to the Gentiles—particularly the Greek-speaking Gentiles. His ministry covered the whole Greek-speaking world. The Greeks did not know Hebrew or Aramaic. They knew Greek. How did Paul explain to these Greek converts who the Father and who the Son were? What names did he use for the Greeks when referring to the Creator?

The answer is—be used the Greek words for "God," "Lord," "Christ," the "Word," and "Jesus." God inspired him to translate the Hebrew word El, meaning "God," into the Greek word Theos. God inspired Paul in the New Testament to translate the Hebrew word YHWH into the Greek word Kyrios, meaning "Lord."

In 665 different places in the New Testament the apostles were inspired to translate the Hebrew word YHWH into the Greek word Kyrios, meaning "Lord." And 1,345 times the apostles were inspired to translate the Hebrew word for God into the Greek word Theos—which means "God" in the Greek language! These two Greek words—Kyrios and Theos—meaning "Lord" or "God" in Greek, are found hundreds of times in the gospels, in the very words of Jesus Himself! And Jesus said His words would not pass away—Matthew 24:35. Either these are the inspired words of Jesus, or He lied—and if He lied, you have no Savior! He did not lie. These are His words.

He inspired His apostles to translate the names of God from the Hebrew into the Greek when writing to the Greek converts; and He has seen to it that not one word has perished or been lost!

There is not one authoritative New Testament manuscript with the names of Deity written in Hebrew! There is not one New Testament manuscript which supports the idea that the apostles exclusively used Hebrew names for God when speaking to the Greek people.

New Testament Inspired in Greek

Some sects are unwilling to admit what the inspired New Testament plainly says! They falsely claim that the New Testament was not written originally in Greek. They assume that the Jewish Christians could not understand Greek. They would have us believe that Paul wrote to the Greek converts in Greece, and Asia Minor, and Rome in Aramaic instead of Greek! This is not true!

Greek was the one universal language which united the common people in the Roman Empire in New Testament times. The Jewish historian Josephus himself testified to Greek as the language which the Jews everywhere understood in New Testament days! Not only did the Jews who lived in the Greek world speak Greek, but even the Jews who lived in Palestine, he declares, were well acquainted with Greek! Greek-speaking Jews were so prevalent in Palestine that synagogues for them had to be built (Acts 6:9). Jewish law for Palestine permitted that the Scripture "may be read in a foreign tongue to them that speak a foreign tongue" (Megillah 2 § 1). And it was further permitted "that the Books [of the Bible] may be written in any language," but that at the time of Christ the Books were "only permitted to be written in Greek" (Megillah 1 § 8). (From The Mishnah by Herbert Danby, Oxford University Press.)

Though the native-born Jews in Palestine in the days of the apostles generally used Aramaic as their common language, yet Greek was the next in importance even to them.

Josephus tells us why the Greek language, after Aramaic, was so common with the Jews in Palestine:

"I have also taken a great deal of pains to obtain the learning of the Greeks, and understand the elements of the Greek language, although I have so long accustomed myself to speak our own tongue, that I cannot pronounce Greek with such an exactness"—Josephus spoke Greek with an Aramaic accent—"for our nation does not encourage those who learn the languages of other nations, and so adorn their discourses with the smoothness of their periods; because they look upon this sort of accomplishment [learning Greek!] as common, not only to all sorts of freeman, but to as many of the servants as pleased to learn them. But they give him the testimony of being a wise man who is fully acquainted with our laws, [which was rare among the Jews] and is able to interpret their meaning" (Antiquities of the Jews, Book XX, chapter XI, Section 2).
Notice that it was the rare Jewish scholar who learned Hebrew. It was common for the people—freeman and even servants—to learn Greek! It was more difficult to learn the Hebrew!

Now let us notice the testimony of history as to the language in which the books of the New Testament were inspired. From the Church History of Eusebius, Book VI, chapter 14, we read: "... the epistle to the Hebrews is the work of Paul, and ... it was written to the Hebrews in the Hebrew language; but ... Luke translated it carefully and published it for the Greeks, and hence the same style of expression is found in this epistle and in the Acts."

Notice that to the Jews Paul "became a Jew." In order to gain the audience of the Jews, after being made a prisoner in 56 A.D., Paul spoke "in the Hebrew tongue" (Acts 21:40). Continuing with Acts 22:2: "And when they heard that he spoke in the Hebrew tongue to them, they kept the more silence." Hebrew, in the days of the apostles, had become a language difficult to understand because Aramaic was the common tongue of the people and Greek was next most often spoken, followed by Hebrew.

So history tells us that, in order to influence the religiously sensitive Jews, Paul wrote his "Epistle to the Hebrews" in the Hebrew language. But notice, the letter to the Hebrews was inspired to be translated by Luke and published for the Greeks in the Greek language. It is Luke's inspired translation which God intended to be preserved for us.

Notice what the church historian Eusebius, in chapter 25 of Book VI, tells us about the first gospel: "Among the four gospels, which are the only disputable ones in the Church of God under heaven," he wrote, "the first was published by Matthew, who was once a publican, but afterwards an apostle of Jesus Christ, and it was prepared for the converts from Judaism, and published in the Hebrew language." Jerome tells us, in his "Lives of Illustrious Men" chapter 3, that Matthew's gospel was translated into the Greek language for the whole Church. It is Matthew's inspired Greek gospel which God has preserved.

These two are the only books of the New Testament which were ever asserted to have been written in Hebrew or Aramaic!

The Aramaic version of the Bible which we have today is admittedly a translation from the Greek despite what Dr. Lamsa mistakenly claims.

Proof That Aramaic Is Not Original

Open your Bibles to Mark 15:34. The English rendering of this verse reads: "And at the ninth hour Jesus cried with a loud voice, saying, 'Eloi, Eloi, lama sabachthani?' which is, being interpreted, 'My God, My God, why hast thou forsaken me?'"

Notice this! The last half of verse 34 proves that Mark was writing his gospel account, including these final words of Christ, in a language different from the one in which Jesus spoke! Jesus' own words are quoted from the Aramaic, but translated into Greek.

Now consider the Aramaic Version. If Aramaic were the original language of the New Testament, there would be no reason to insert in the Aramaic Version the words "which is, being interpreted, 'My God, My God, why hast thou forsaken me?" because every Aramean would have understood Jesus' words without translation. Yet the Aramaic New Testament repeats the exact Greek original word-for-word! This proves Aramaic not to have been the original language of the New Testament, but merely a translation from the Greek.

There are at least a dozen places in the New Testament where Aramaic words are quoted and are translated into the Greek for the Greek-speaking people—and in most cases the Aramaic New Testament retranslates the original Greek word-for-word!

Another example is John 1:41: "He [Andrew] first findeth his own brother Simon, and saith unto him, we have found the Messias, which is, being interpreted, the Christ." The word Messias is a Greek spelling of the Hebrew word Messiah, which means "the Anointed." But the Greek-speaking people were not generally acquainted with the meaning of the word Messias; hence John translates it for them into the Greek word Christos which means "the Anointed One."

Some sects today claim that we should use only the word "MESSIAH" and never the word "CHRIST." Their assumption is that the word "Christ" comes from the name of the Hindu god Krishna! "Christ" does not come from the name of the Hindu god Krishna! Christos is a common Greek word which means "to anoint."

The New Testament was inspired to read that Jesus is "the Christ." Even the enemies of the early true Church called the disciples "Christians" (Acts 11:26). The disciples would not have been called "Christians" in the city of Antioch if they had not been followers of Christ! They would have been called the "Messians!" Now turn to I Peter 4:14: "If ye be reproached for the name of Christ [Christos in Greek] happy are ye." The Scripture does not use some unknown Hebrew name; it uses the "name of Christ." And now verse 16—"Yet if any man suffer as a Christian, let him not be ashamed, but let him glorify God on this behalf."

The disciples in the New Testament Church could not have suffered as "Christians" unless they were the followers of Christ!

False Churches Use True Name

Notice Jesus' startling prophecy in Matthew 24:4-5: "And Jesus answered and said unto them, Take heed that no man deceive you. For many shall come in my name [using His Name], saying I am Christ, and shall deceive many."

In whose name are the many coming? Are they coming in some "Hebrew names"? No! They are coming in the name of "Christ"—in the name of Jesus." And Jesus said these would be using His Name.

Now turn to Acts 4:10. What is the only name given among men whereby we may be saved? "Be it known unto you all, and to all the people of Israel, that by the name of JESUS CHRIST of Nazareth, whom ye crucified, whom God raised from the dead, even by Him doth this man stand here before you whole"—the man who had just been healed. Verse 12: "Neither is there salvation in any other: for there is none other name under heaven given
among men, whereby we must be saved.

Notice! According to the inspired Greek New Testament, there is no other name given whereby we must be saved than the name of Jesus Christ!

The English word "Jesus" is an anglicized spelling of the Greek word Ἰησοῦς. The Greek word for "Jesus" is but the common Greek name used to translate the Hebrew name Joshua. The meaning of the Hebrew word for "Joshua" is "the Eternal is the Savior." Over 910 places in the New Testament God inspired the New Testament writers to use the Greek word Ἰησοῦς as the personal name of Christ, the Messiah! Either you will have to accept the name of Jesus as your Savior—or you will have to throw away the entire New Testament!

But—reason these "Hebrew Name" sects—doesn't the Greek word Jesus come from the pagan Greek god Zeus? This is absolutely untrue! In fact, the Greek word Zeus and the Roman word Jove are both ultimately derived from the Hebrew YHWH—or JHVH. But the Greeks—and other heathen—did not like to retain God in their knowledge. So they took the names of God and attached them to their idols! The ancient Hebrew-speaking people did the same thing by calling their idols El or Elohim, meaning "God" in Hebrew.

So the name "Jesus" is actually derived from YHWH!

Jesus said if any would deny Him—which means deny His Name—before men, "Him will I also deny before my Father which is in heaven" (Matthew 10:33). If you deny the name Jesus, He will deny your name before the Father in the Judgment!

What Is the Father's "Family Name"?

Notice Psalm 83:18: "... that men may know that Thou, whose name alone is YHWH, art the Most High over all the earth." This verse does not say, as some assume, that the Creator has only one name—it plainly declares that the Creating Family alone of all whom men worship is YHWH or eternal.

But did you know that the Father in Heaven has also a Family Name?

In Ephesians 3:14-15 we read: "For this cause I bow my knees unto the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, of whom the whole family in heaven and earth is named." Jesus Christ is the Father's Son. Every son bears his father's last name.

Jesus said in John 5:43, "I am come in my Father's name, and ye receive me not." What was Jesus' family name? Notice the surprising answer in John 10:36: "... say ye of him, whom the Father hath sanctified, and sent into the world, Thou blasphemest; because I said, I am the SON of GOD?" Jesus claimed to be "God's Son." We, too, may be called God's Sons (I John 3:1). The Supreme, Divine, All-ruling Family is the God Family! It is the Family or Kingdom of God! Jesus' Gospel—His entire Message—was about the Kingdom or Family of God and how you may be born into it! His whole Message was about the divine name—"God"!

Just before Jesus was crucified, He prayed that His Church would be kept "in the Father's Name." Notice John 17:11-12, "And now I am no more in the world, but these are in the world, and I come to thee. Holy Father, keep through Thine own Name those whom thou hast given me, that they may be one, as we are. While I was with them in the world, I kept them in thy Name."

What is the Father's Name in which the New Testament Church is to be kept? The name of "God!" In 12 passages in the New Testament, the name of the church is called "the Church of God." Paul wrote, "unto the Church of God, which is at Corinth" (I Corinthians 1:2). Paul wrote to the Gentile Thessalonian converts: "For ye, brethren, became followers of the Churches of God which in Judea are in Christ Jesus" (I Thessalonians 2:14).

Either your entire New Testament is a fraud and must be rejected or the true name of the true Church is "the Church of God!" "God" is the "Family Name" of the Divine Creative Kingdom.

The Hebrew name YHWH—the Greek Κυρίος, the "Eternal" or "Lord" in English—is but one of God the Father's numerous given personal names. It is also one of the personal names of the Son, because both are eternal. But the whole message of Jesus Christ, the Gospel, was the message about the Family Name—the "God" Family. Jesus Christ came in the name of God, His Father. He called Himself the "Son of God" numerous times.

Read John 9:35, "Dost thou believe on the Son of God?"

See also Matthew 16:16-17 and especially Mark 1:1, "The beginning of the gospel of Jesus Christ, the Son of God!" Jesus came bearing His Father's name—"God's Son"—the "Son of God."

Jesus' whole message—which He spoke "in the name of the Lord"—or "by His authority"—was to explain that the name "God"—the Hebrew Elohim—is a family name! His Gospel, or good news, is the message that we may also bear that name God—that we may be called the sons of God and be "born again."

Notice that even the Church today is to bear the Father's Name—God. The Church today—before which Jesus Christ is opening the doors of radio and the printing press to preach His Gospel, His message, to all the world—this Church has "kept my name," said Jesus, and has "not denied my name" (Revelation 3:8). God's Church today is to be named the Church of God.

Jesus Called the "Word"

The true Church is even promised to have forever the name of God (Rev. 3:12) and Jesus' "new name"—Revelation 19:12. Jesus not only is to receive a new name, but He even now—and from the beginning—is called "the Word of God." And, in verse 16, He has "on His thigh a name written, King of Kings, and Lord of Lords."

In Amos 4:13 and 5:27 another one of the names of the Divine Family is "the God of Hosts." Jeremiah 46:18 and 48:15 call Him "the Lord of Hosts." Another of His names is "Zealous" (sometimes spelled "jealous") in Exodus 34:14.

Yes, "God" is the Father's Family Name! And "Jesus" is the name of His Son! But they both have many other names! It is time we called on God for His mercy in the name of Jesus Christ! It is time we believe the Bible—and recognize that God is God!
Almost Create LIFE?

Science is in a frenzied search for the mysteries of life. Can SCIENCE create life in the test tube? Can human heredity be chemically controlled to produce a kind of science-fiction immortality? Where is it all leading?

by William F. Dankenbring

A feeling of suspense haunts modern researchers and biochemists. "We must know more!" young scientists exclaim.

"This is going to be the biggest science story of the century," declared Dr. Vincent Allfrey of the Rockefeller Institute. "—bigger than nuclear fission."

The Challenge of Science

Science now supposedly teeters on the verge of creating life! Scientists now feel they are very close to learning the secret of life! And not only that—researchers are now dazzled by the prospect of controlling human heredity and increasing the life span of man to two centuries!

A famous biochemist recently asserted, with confidence: "This century will go down in history as the century when life ceased to be a mystery." He added, "It is complicated, yes. But we no longer have any reason to believe it is beyond human understanding."

Thrilled by the fascination—and vanity—of research, countless scientists all across the face of the earth are experimenting, pondering, searching—trying to find the answer to the riddle of life. Any day, many feel, may bring a fantastic breakthrough!

It is one of the most expensive, challenging scientific investigations ever undertaken!

But where is it leading?

Will science really explain the secret of life? Will scientists create life? How much does science really know about life? How far are scientists and researchers from bringing the living into existence from the not living?

One British scientist commented, in analyzing the present trend, "It seems pretty certain to me that life resulted from purely random chemical events. What's more, I feel certain that in another decade or two we ourselves will be able to create life. I no longer find it necessary to believe in God." (Emphasis mine, throughout article.

Science is now challenging the authority, the power, and the very existence of God! Scientists, too often, want to be God!

But what is the truth? How far are scientists away from really backing up their tremendous boasts? How far are they from "creating life"?

The Mad Search

The uproar began about twenty years ago. It was in the crucial year of 1944 that Dr. Oswald T. Avery and his colleagues at the Rockefeller Institute experimented with pneumonia germs. They accidentally stumbled on the discovery that DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) was the controller of heredity. Long threads of pure DNA were taken from a culture of ordinary pneumococci and added to a culture of germs which had failed to inherit the ability to make gelatinous coats, as most pneumococci do. The coatless germs began making coats, as did their progeny.

This pivotal discovery opened the floodgates for the present mad search for the secret of life! After performing many more experiments, scientists now believe DNA is the controller of heredity. Along with RNA (ribonucleic acid), it not only controls life, and is found in the nuclei of all living things, but determines the development of living things, from conception to maturity. It is, say scientists, the key to the genetic code which determines heredity!

In a later experiment, scientists put artificial RNA in a "broth" containing amino acids and substances a cell uses to make protein. The synthetic RNA acted like a genetic blueprint. A very crude, simple protein was formed from the amino acid phenylalanine. This discovery was hailed widely as the first concrete step toward "cracking the genetic code."

These, and similar experiments, are the basis of science's new hope—the fond dream of conquering all disease, controlling human heredity, and prolonging human life! Science is pressing its attack on the foundation of life from all sides!

But, again—how far are scientists from doing what is so loudly proclaimed—from really creating life? When the excitement dies, and the furor subsides, what do the real facts show? What is the truth?

What Science Has Done

The truth is not as "glamorous" and dazzling as you might be led to believe! In fact, the search to create life has barely gotten started. Actually, scientists now are no closer to creating life than before they started!

According to Dr. John H. Heller, executive director of the New England Institute for Medical Research, "The whole field of molecular biology is in a state of flux. It's a young field. Theories rise and fall daily."

Old theories are blown up and exploded every day. New theories arise to take their place daily. Does this sound as if science is "close" to unlocking the mystery of life? Not at all!

Rather than being close to a fantastic
evolve from not-living matter, due to random accidents and circumstances—then, very possibly some day man would uncover nature’s deepest secrets and learn the riddle of life!

But that is a "big if." There is a God! Life did not evolve from the non-living.

Life was supernaturally created by a process which no man can duplicate.

Science itself proves, if willing to admit it, that God exists! Creation is the proof of God! Write for our fascinating, free booklet Does God Exist? and Seven Proofs of God. They will open your eyes to the truth. They conclusively, thoroughly prove the existence of the Almighty Creator God!

There is no need for doubt! God is the One Who created life!

Dr. Maurice H. F. Wilkins studies model of complex DNA molecular structure. And still evolutionists claim life evolved from a simple cell!

He, and He alone, is life-giver! No mere mortal man has the power or intelligence to duplicate God!

Primeval Earth and Sky

Since scientists have already rejected God, they have concocted a method—and a theory—by which they think life upon the earth came into existence. Evolution, they say, was the process.

The theory of evolution states that billions of years ago the planet earth was formed. Perhaps at one time, they say, it was a “chunk” of flaming gases cast off by the sun. Then these gases began to cool. Slowly, a hardened crust of volcanic rock was formed, and masses of silicon, according to this theory, combined with other elements to form the foundations of the continents.

Volcanoes belched steam, methane,
ammonia. Other gases gushed to the surface, forming the earth’s primitive atmosphere. Still, there was no life. But this dream of evolutionary science isn’t over.

Gradually, with the passage of eons of time, atoms began to form themselves together, combining slowly, evolving toward complex forms. The rains came; tremendous squalls drenched the earth. Molecular structures accumulated in warm ocean waters, forming a hot, dilute soup. Still, no life.

Other millions of years crept by. Lightning flashed, cosmic rays from outer space struck the warm ocean “soup.” Somehow, this produced amino acids, necessary to life. But still—no life! Nowadays, lightning always destroys life; X-rays and cosmic rays are known to shorten life; but apparently, according to scientists, the opposite was true eons ago!

What next? Scientists wracked their brains. Somehow, in order to have life, the amino acids must combine to form complex, gigantic protein molecules with thousands of atoms! This was a real puzzler! Somehow, they say, it occurred!

How could primitive proteins, even if they had been formed, ever become a living cell? There was another brain-numbing, head-scratching problem! One scientist estimates that molecular particles must have evolved for two billion years before the first living cell appeared on the scene!

The Great Leap

But somehow, according to evolutionary scientists, the GREAT LEAP was made. Living cells miraculously appeared, multiplied, squirmed, reproduced and became increasingly more complex and intricate. After more eons of time, viruses, bacteria, and protozoa were produced.

The process was now started. Or so the theory demands. Gradually, plant life and animal life evolved. Fish developed legs and lungs and left the sea—or was it the reverse? Some animals developed wings, flexed them, and learned to fly!

Finally, in one of the most amazing evolutionary leaps, some ancient type of monkey swinging through the recently evolved trees, got tired of trees and decided to use its head instead of its tail. Consequently, his brain grew bigger than his tail and he began manufacturing stone weapons to defend himself. In this manner, primeval apes became primitive cave-men. Then, even “man” evolved until modern man came along.

Sound fantastic? Hard to believe? Of course—but what exciting reading it makes! What a spine-tingling science-fiction thriller!

But it is patently impossible!

Read this age-consuming scientific account again. Notice all the fantastic gaps, gulfs, “amazing leaps” and miracles which must have taken place!

The truth is, by science’s own discoveries life could not have evolved.

Scientists too often refuse to face the fact of the existence of a Creator God!

Evolutionary scientists always begin their theories with one gigantic assumption: their schemes and speculations, dreams and theories always begin with an already-existing planet Earth and a gigantic Universe! Where did all these come from?

If evolution be true, where did the earth, the complex solar system, the sun, other stars and galaxies evolve
from? And, where did the LAWS of chemistry and physics that govern and guide the behavior of matter and energy come from? Where did those amazing LAWS of science textbooks evolve from? Did some unthinking force suddenly decide that matter should have an attraction for other matter—an attraction called the law of gravity? Where did LAW come from? These are among the unanswerable enigmas of science! These problems still plague the minds of evolutionists! They have no answer! BUT, unwilling to admit their theory is shot full of huge holes, evolutionists come up with the same old swan-song: "More study is needed"; "Give us more time"; "The answers are just around the corner."

The fact is, the more scientists discover, the more fascinating questions they uncover! Rather than becoming simpler, the more complex life is shown to be! When will God-rejecting man ever learn? With the overwhelming fact of Creation staring them right in the face, most men turn away, ignore the PROOF of God, and idle away their time with the study of the absurd fantasies of evolution. What a paradox! What a tragic waste of precious ability and time!

The Stalemate

Science has NOT been able to "create life." Scientists have succeeded in the laboratory in combining certain elements to manufacture amino acids, which produce various substances resembling organic proteins. But these combinations of elements are NOT living. Create life?—they have NOT! Their bubbling broths and mysterious "witches' brews" have never produced a single LIVING CELL! That is a FACT! Let's not become "bug-eyed" about fantastic claims!

Researchers have NOT found the key to life—not have they even come close to finding it! They have been looking in the WRONG PLACE! Each new scientific discovery, rather than pointing the way to the key of life, has led scientists further away from the real key to life! The plain truth is that the "key of life" CANNOT be found in the test tube or scientific laboratory. It is NOT there!

Science is on the WRONG TRACK! The Life-Giver Revealed

As long as man seeks to find his own way to obtain immortal life, by changing and controlling human heredity, he is bound to fail! Only the Almighty God can impart life.

God declares, without reservation, "See now that I, even I, am He, and there is NO GOD WITH ME: I kill, and I make ALIVE; I wound, and I heal: neither is there any that can deliver out of my hand" (Deut. 32:39).

The Almighty God of the Bible is LIFE GIVER! And He reserves this divine prerogative for Himself.

In Genesis 1:1 we read that God created the heavens and the earth. He is the all-powerful CREATOR OF THE UNIVERSE! All things were not created by the process of evolution. All things were created by the hand of God! "In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth" (Gen. 1:1). God is CREATOR! Science cannot duplicate the creation of God!

When will men learn? When will they come to understand?

When will they recognize that God alone reserves the right to create life—He alone gives life—He alone is LIFE GIVER!

As long as men ignore the God of Creation, who alone possesses the "key of life," they will continue to stumble and grope in ignorance!

We also find in Genesis one that God created LIFE upon the earth—both plant life (v. 11) and animal life (vs. 20-25), and then, the greatest MYSTERY of all—human life! (Gen. 1:26-28.) How plain! Your marvelously intricate, fantastic body—with its billions of living cells, each specialized to perform certain necessary functions, all working together in a wonderfully complex way—was created by God. It did not "evolve"!

Genesis reveals that each plant reproduces "after its kind." Each animal also reproduces "after its own kind" (Gen. 1:24, etc.). Animals did not later give rise to MAN! From the time of creation the great LAW of BIogene-

sics has been in effect. Each kind reproduces after its own kind, just as it does today. Each kind has its many lesser varieties, but all the varieties reproduce after their one kind. They do not become some other kind.

God set these laws in motion. They never fail! Never, for example, do catfish give birth to other than catfish. Cattle give birth only to cattle. Amoeba never to something other than amoeba. The testimony of nature shows that, always, without alteration, without fail, each Genesis KIND reproduces after its own created KIND! Variations and mutations may sometimes occur within a Genesis kind—but never can Genesis kinds "cross" or jump gaps to give rise to totally new kinds!

Truly, as David was inspired to write: "O Lord, how manifold are Thy works! In wisdom hast thou made them all: the earth is FULL of thy riches" (Ps. 104:24).

In the light of the testimony of creation, "The heavens declare the glory of God: and the firmament sheweth his handywork. Day unto day uttereth speech, and night unto night showeth knowledge. There is no speech nor language, where their voice is NOT heard" (Ps. 19:1-3).

In the undeniable light of this fantastic witness, "The FOOL hath said in his heart, There is no God" (Ps. 14:1). God calls doubters and skeptics just what they are, in His sight—unmitigated fools—blithering idiots!

Yes, it is time YOU understood how God in heaven views the pseudo-scientific blatherings of today's educated, scholarly, erudite "men of science" who DENY His existence! The perverted "wisdom" of this present evil world is FOOLISHNESS WITH GOD! (I Cor. 1:19-25.)

Science Gone Amuck!

Long ago the forebears of modern science, the "wise" men and "learned ancients" of yesteryear, jumped the tracks of sound reasoning. The amazing story is found in your Bible, chapter one of Romans. Notice! The apostle Paul wrote, "For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold
[i.e., suppress] the truth in unrighteousness; because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse' (Rom. 1:18-20).

"Without excuse"! But why? Read on: "Because that, when they knew God"—yes, at one time those ancients knew of God—"they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginings, and their foolish heart was darkened" (v. 21).

The ancient philosophers had the testimony of Creation as a proof of God. They could look at the Creation around them and know there had to be a Creator. But, in their own esteemed intellect, they rejected the proof, and, as a tragic result, they became vain, their understanding was darkened, and they went astray from the truth! "Professing themselves to be wise, they became FOOLS"! (v. 22.)

And today, evolutionary scientists are following the same wreckaged-strewn path, accepting the same old evolutionary theories, burying themselves in the fruitless, futile search for an evolutionary "key" of life! What does the Word of God say about this fantastic search?

Again, the answer is in YOUR BIBLE!

Speaking of these end-times, the apostle Paul wrote, "But evil men and seducers shall wax worse and worse, deceiving, and being deceived" (II Tim. 3:13). Men engaged today in a futile quest for the key of life are just as deceived! The lure of immortality has blinded their minds to the plain TRUTH! Their attempts to create life as destined to end in catastrophe.

Speaking of such "men of science," the apostle Paul declared: they would be "ever learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth" (II Tim. 3:7). They are simply headed in the wrong direction! The real key of life cannot be found lurking in a boiling, bubbling, soupy broth under the inquisitive eye of a microscope!

Man's Futile Ambition

The real meaning behind today's fantastic quest for the "key of life" is simply this. Mankind has rejected the revealed knowledge of God's Word. Scientists have ignored the revelations of the Word of God. The Bible is ridiculed in today's classrooms.

Man has rejected God's Way and is striving to find his own way to achieve IMMORTALITY! Scientists hope that present investigation will lead them to control of human heredity, control of human characteristics and the aging process so that this knowledge can be used to control the LIFE of MAN—and lead to HUMAN IMMORTALITY!

Already one biologist has pronounced it "not really fantastic" to think in terms of a human life span stretching across two centuries! Research, they dreamily hope, will lead to new weapons in the battle against genetically caused diseases which today baffle mankind. Hemophilia, birth defects, cancer—these are a few of the scourges which further research is promised to eliminate.

Research in medicine and biology is to lead to man's replacing failing organs of the body, even as worn-out automobile parts can be replaced! Scientific hopes and aspirations are awesome! But it is all a perverted dream.

Research in fetal development may someday enable scientists—so is the claim—to improve the physique, mentality and talents of children—before they are even born! "Superbabies" are the dream! That was also Hitler's decreted dream! And the result, if God does not intervene to stop it, will be a race deformed, demented and plunging to utter extinction. Remember thalidomide?

The scheme will not work! Science has IGNORED GOD!

Today's frantic search, in reality, is merely man's latest feeble attempt to devise his own IMMORTALITY—to outpromise God! It is man's newest attempt to find the mystical "fountain of youth"—the fabled "pot of gold" at the end of the rainbow. But the secret of ETERNAL LIFE is not to be found in the test tube!

Science has gone amok in a wild-goose chase leading nowhere!

The truth is—God freely offers YOU the gift of eternal life—if you are willing to believe Him—surrender to Him, keep His commandments, and OBEY Him!

The REAL Key of Life

Jesus Christ declared, "He that believeth on me, as the scripture hath said, out of his belly shall flow rivers of living water. (But this spake he of the Spirit, which they that believe on him should receive: for the Holy Spirit was not yet given..." (John 7:38-39).

Jesus said, "But whosoever drinketh of the water that I shall give him shall never thirst; but the water that I shall give him shall be in him a well of water springing up into everlasting life" (John 4:14).

Did you notice it? What is the REAL "key of life"? It is the HOLY SPIRIT OF GOD! The Eternal God Himself will impart eternal life to those who surrender to Him, repent of sin (Acts 2:38)—acknowledge that Christ died to pay for their sins and who now keep His commandments (Acts 5:32; I John 3:4)—through the Holy Spirit!

God's free gift—ETERNAL LIFE in the God Family—is available to YOU! Science, snooping among test tubes, has gone berserk. But, if you turn to God, the Creator of the Universe, and surrender to Him, He will give you the gift of everlasting life—divine IMMORTALITY!

Research, of course, goes on. Every tiny, minute new discovery—lingering in the offing—gives scientists further encouragement. Hope springs eternal in the human breast. Tomorrow, they dream, may bring the final fantastic biological breakthrough!

But "tomorrow" will never come. That "final discovery" will never be made. God will cut short these mad experiments that could lead to a race of degenerate babies—not superbabies! Scientists will be forced to concede that they have not found the answer.

They have not "almost" succeeded
Future Race Riots!

(Continued from page 6)

bias are increasing, not decreasing.

Meanwhile, a far-reaching, significant change is occurring among the Negro communities in the United States.

To comprehend, in depth, what really happened in Watts, and what is very likely to happen in Detroit, Chicago, San Francisco, Washington, D.C., or New Orleans—you need to comprehend these significant developments.

The Breakdown of Negro Society

In a recent issue of U.S. News and World Report, it was reported that Negro life in the United States is deteriorating, rather than getting better; that the Negro family structure is approaching complete breakdown, and that crime in the Negro community is mounting at an appalling rate.

The United States Department of Labor conducted extensive tests into the home life of the Negro population of the United States. Here are a few of the shocking facts.

One out of four Negro babies born is illegitimate. For 1963, for example, while only 3.07% of babies born to the total White population were illegitimate, 23.59% of the babies born to the non-White community were illegitimate.

U.S. News broke down the U.S. Labor Department statistics further to show that in predominantly Negro communities the percentages were much higher.

For example, in Central Harlem, the Negro section of New York, the rate of illegitimate births in 1963 was 43.41% of the total births for that year.

To compound the problem, the birth rate among Negroes is proportionately higher than among Whites.

Remember, all these figures are proportionate; that is, they relate percentage-wise to the total population, rather than to the number of cases alone.

It was found that the birth rate is nearly half again as high for Negro women as for White women in the United States, being 104.3 births for every 1000 women of childbearing age in 1963 among Whites, and 149.3 births among Negroes.

Broken Homes—a Curse of Modern Society

As many of you long-time readers of The Plain Truth know, I have had much to say about broken homes and divorce in the past.

Nearly all my comments have been limited to the White population of our peoples alone. In our booklet The Plain Truth About Child Rearing, no distinction is ever made concerning various racial communities within the United States, Great Britain, Australia, South Africa, or any other country.

The plain truth of the matter is that the family is breaking down and disintegrating among all racial communities, around the world!
homes. The results were revealing.

It was found that 14% of Negro children were needing such public assistance, as compared to 2% of White children.

Don’t deceive yourself!
The shocking environment in which millions of little Negro children must exist would tear at your heart and bring tears to your eyes! It is a heinous crime that millions of little babies are born out of wedlock each year around this earth—to be allowed to grow up in a hostile world filled with hatred, resentment, racial bias and bigotry; with illiteracy, poverty, fears and resentments their only lot in life.

Take a look at the percentages in crime between the Negro and the White community.

It is a statistical fact, substantiated by government crime studies, that while Negroes are far outnumbered by Whites in most cities (with the exception of Washington, D.C. where the population is about fifty-fifty) they account for more than half the crimes!

In Chicago and Detroit, for example, three-fourths of the persons arrested for such crimes as murder, nonnegligent manslaughter, forcible rape and aggravated assault were Negroes. In 1960, 56% of all persons committed to State institutions for murder, and 57% of those committed for assault were Negroes.

What about dope?
In 1961, according to the U.S. Department of Labor, there were 40.4 habitual users of narcotics per 10,000 population in Central Harlem. But this compared with 5.2 per 10,000 population in New York City as a whole. Add it up. Figure it out. It is not a crime to be poor—but being poor does not justify crime.

It is not a crime to be uneducated—but being uneducated does not legalize crime.

Take a long, long look at the plain truth of the seemingly insoluble problems confronting our large meccas of asphalt and glass! It’s a saddening picture—and growing worse day by day.

Whether we like to believe it or not, your Bible prophesies we are headed for! Whether we like to believe it or not, your Bible prophesies we are headed for! We’re living in a world that is absolutely sick.

It’s a world sick with hate, jealousy, prejudice, class distinction, poverty, fear, illegitimacy, immorality, licentiousness, resentment, divorce, crime, disease, riots and war!

And the ONLY real solution is the return of Jesus Christ to establish His world-ruling government on this earth, and straighten all peoples out once and for all!

But again, what of the Watts race riots? Were the riots justified?

What About Police Brutality?
Was it really the fault of the police? Was it just a "long, hot summer"? Can you prevent riots by simply giving various segments of society which are in a very low economic
level, bushel baskets of dollars? Does money prevent riots?

In the year of 1964, 77 policemen were murdered by criminals in the United States. A total of 197 policemen have been murdered in the direct line of duty in the past 4 years. One hundred and twenty-four additional policemen have died in accidents while in the line of duty (sometimes involved in chasing speeders or criminals) and, incredible as it may sound, one out of ten policemen in the United States was assaulted in the calendar year of 1964!

Think of it, EIGHTEEN THOUSAND law officers were assaulted in the United States of America, the "land of the free and the home of the brave" in 1964!

Of that number, 7,738 of them were injured, or 1 out of every 24 policeman.

The FBI statistics are for city, county and state police only, and do not include Federal law officers, firemen, national guardsmen, or other officers called out in the case of riots or uprisings.

Just WHO is being "brutal" to WHOM?

Federal and state laws authorize a policeman, who, remember, is sworn to uphold and PROTECT the law against violation, to use whatever "reasonably necessary" force he must in order to arrest a lawbreaker!

A policeman is granted the same rights and privileges of self-defense when attacked as is any other citizen. But with one significant difference: a policeman is trained to use force if it is necessary.

Therefore, when an officer of the law is resisted, or even assaulted, the person perpetrating the offense usually comes out the loser. Not always, however, as the grim death toll among law enforcement officers proves.

Policemen sometimes lose their tempers. And usually, there is great provocation—not that such provocation justifies abusive language, or foul words—but anyone in his right mind should have no difficulty understanding why some law enforcement officers are driven to such intemperate conduct.

In our rebellious, hostile, crime-ridden cities of today, the rookie policeman finds himself becoming a member of a persecuted, hated, disrespected, ridiculed minority. A strange state of affairs—but all too true.

Policemen's wives and families live in just as much fear of some terrible tragedy as do the families of many a civil rights worker. It's rampant, lawless, hate-filled human nature they fear—and crime is no respecter of color.

Let's look at the facts of human nature, and remember the one incident that seemed to spark the Los Angeles race riots.

Arresting officers were obligated, according to their sworn duty, to apprehend and detain an obviously drunk driver. A drunk driver becomes the operator of a lethal weapon, his automobile, which could have resulted in a terrible smashup (of the type you read of nearly every day) costing several lives, including that of innocent bystanders.

The Watts suspect, obviously drunk, resisted arrest. His mother began berating him for being drunk. His brother interfered, hurling verbal abuse at the policemen.

The suspect screamed in anger, jumped in front of the arresting officers, stating verbally he would have to be shot to force him to go to jail. A surly crowd gathered.

What were the policemen to do? Supposedly, they could quietly have left the drunk driver where he was, stolen back into their automobiles and driven away?

But then where law, where authority, where protection from those who would violate the law and, therefore, infringe upon the rights, privileges and liberties of others?

And what would have been the comments in the Watts district when the story had gotten around about how one mob had "kicked out the cops"?

Use your mind—analyze it!

Apparently, the policemen had to use force to get the suspect into their

---

National Guardsman, injured severely by rioters in Watts, is taken to hospital by ambulance attendants wearing sidearms.

---
car. He resisted arrest. Apparently, it took some rough handling to get him inside the car.

Then came the epithets, oaths, shrieks and screams of "police brutality!"

Again, who was being brutal to whom?

Most charges of "police brutality" stem from use of the force which MUST be used to disperse crowds during a riot!

Somehow, each member of the crowd feels he is only an "innocent bystander" when a policeman must shove, prod with a nightstick, or loudly shout at him in an attempt to disperse a potential mob—he feels unjustly abused.

Human nature, which is hostile toward, which hates authority, and especially those who stand behind badges or any other symbols of authority, resents being told what to do!

Youths, both white and black, in depressed and poverty-stricken areas, scream "police brutality" because officers tend to patrol such areas with more frequency than they do the quieter residential areas of town.

Unless a person is deaf, dumb and blind—he knows the exact reason why!

Because idleness, poverty, the latent hatred and jealousy in the human heart combine to spell trouble for the rest of society.

In such areas, it is evident in the slack-jawed, greasy-haired, bewhiskered youths who hang around corner malt shops, drugstores, hamburger stands or drive-ins—and frequently engage in gang fights, or are involved in numerous episodes of vandalism and crime.

Because of this very obvious threat to any peaceful society, policemen tend to patrol such areas with much more frequency than they do the quieter neighborhoods of town. Most citizens are happy they do.

But such patrolling leads hostile people toward more and more resentment.

So what of the charges of "police brutality"?

Most charges have been proved to be completely without foundation. There have been isolated cases of an officer misusing his authority, true. But THINK about it. You see a picture in a leading magazine. It shows a policeman with his knee on the neck of a kicking Negro woman. And it's a pitiful sight.

But, seeing the picture, you have NO IDEA of what had been occurring only seconds before it was snapped. Policemen are human, too. When cursed, kicked, spit upon, or assaulted with sticks, bottles, bricks, or even knives and guns, they FIGHT BACK! Time and again pictures have shown policemen overpowering rioters, or holding them at bay with dogs—and without really getting the facts.

Many charged "Communist influence" had incited the rioters. But official inquiry tends to prove this charge untrue. Communists may well have taken advantage of the riots, once begun, but the uprisings in Los Angeles were the result of the inherent lawlessness in the human heart; compounded by bitterness over poverty, resentment against police, and given cohesiveness because of race!

There's a lot of larceny in the human heart.

To a certain degree, the only reason THOUSANDS more humans do not openly steal, rob, burn or kill is the FEAR OF REPRISAL! They fear being caught at it. But when it seems EVERYONE ELSE is suddenly defying the law, it's much easier for many people who normally might not steal to suddenly give vent to their inherent nature!

When a store was broken into—word spread like wildfire. In a matter of hours, looting became widespread. Once some of the more hardened rioters (many of them had police records) had initiated the smashing of store windows, and once hundreds of Negro citizens found the police were helpless to prevent it, they joined in the looting.

Remember what James wrote? He said it is in the HUMAN HEART to fight and destroy! And he pinpointed LUST for material WEALTH as a very basic reason! Today, India and Pakistan fight and war. Why? Because of disputed territory, and because of religious hatred, and because of RACIAL bias!

The impoverished Negroes of Watts...
stole radios, lamps, furniture, canned goods, rifles, anything they could carry, because they lusted for those things—not because they were "demonstrating" anything.

One Negro psychologist claimed the rioters were attempting to gain "social standing" by asserting themselves. One fails to understand how the members of any society can demonstrate their standing as law-abiding citizens, by a brutal, murderous riot!

No, the Watts riots were not planned ahead of time.

But the hatred, the latent violence, the lust, vanity, jealousy and greed were seething just beneath the surface. It was not right. No riot ever is. The hatred was not justified—no hatred ever is. And the arrest of Frye for drunken driving did not "start" the riots—since the apprehension of one lawbreaker never justifies another person in breaking the law.

The Real Cause

The Watts riots were started by sheer animal passion; the explosion of human hatred and wrath, the insane brute that is defiant human nature giving full rein to its explosive destructiveness. And it matters not whether the rioters were black, white, or even purple—they were carnal human beings. And it's human nature to riot!

Today you are living in a world filled with hate! Nations hate each other, races hate each other, tribes hate each other.

In Kenya, I talked to the manager of a game reserve. He told me about the monthly forays of the Masai tribe who walked approximately two hundred miles to the north in order to murder, plunder and steal from the Kipsigis tribe. Actually, the Kipsigis are only a subtribe of the Masai—all the same peoples. Yet they hate each other and have warred against each other for centuries.

White hate black, black hate white. Bantus and Zulus, both black African tribes, hate each other, though they look very much the same. The blacks in Africa hate the Indians, Arabs hate the Jews, the Chinese and Japanese are suspicious of each other.

Human nature is hate, animosity toward authority, jealousy, vanity, lust and greed! Human nature is intolerant. It is biased! It is filled with selfish, and terribly bigoted!

And human nature is not limited to one race!

Dr. Robert M. Page once said, "So long as we have human nature, we shall have war!"

But God's Word revealed the basic truth of that statement centuries before. James asks, "From whence come wars and fightings among you? come they not hence, even of your lusts that war in your members? Ye lust, and have not: ye kill, and desire to have, and cannot obtain: ye fight and war, yet ye have not, because ye ask not. Ye ask, and receive not, because ye ask amiss, that ye may consume it upon your lusts" (Jas. 4:1-3).

In many a past radio program over The World Tomorrow, or in the pages of The Plain Truth magazine, we have repeatedly attacked crime! We have shown the real cause of the mounting crime wave in the United States, Great Britain, South Africa, Australia, Canada—and, for that matter, in all nations around the world.

We have shown the growing violence, the soaring juvenile delinquency, and the terrible disregard for the lives and property of others.

And in these articles and broadcasts, we have made no distinction concerning members of any race. All races are guilty! And two crimes do not equal one crime. One violent act does not excuse another!

Nor do we make any distinction in this article! When a white man murders, he is a white murderer. And when a black man murders, he is a black murderer. The color of a man's skin is only one more description of him as a person, as a human being—like his height, weight, shape, place of birth or age.

But whether he is white or black, red, pink, polka dotted or blue—if he breaks the law, if he resists arrest, if he loots, robs, kills or steals, then he is guilty of lawbreaking—not "guilty" merely because he is a member of one race or another.

Are you able to control your emotions, and to look at rioting and crime in a completely objective fashion?

Human nature: unrestrained, uneducated, uncontrolled, hate-filled, inflamed, impassioned, misguided and bigoted HUMAN NATURE really CAUSED the race riots in Los Angeles!

It's about time you asked God to help you change yours!
A drawing of lots before a large throng of people at Mizpeh disclosed that Saul, a Benjamite, was to become the first king of Israel. The people loudly demanded to see the man, but he couldn't be found. (I Samuel 10:17-21.)

A Bashful King

The continued boisterous demands of the crowd became wearying to Samuel. He realized that the people wouldn't be satisfied until Saul appeared. Samuel was certain that Saul couldn't be very far away because he had seen him earlier in the day. Searching had been futile. The only thing left to do was to take the matter to God, who had just performed a miracle for Israel by causing certain lots to be drawn.

"We humbly ask you to make known to us where Saul is," Samuel asked God.

"He is hiding in the mass of carts and camping gear brought in by the people who arrived this morning," a voice said to Samuel.

The elderly prophet immediately advised his aides where to look. Shortly afterward they returned with Saul, who was greatly embarrassed.

"I'm sorry," he apologized to Samuel. "The thought of appearing before such a large crowd was too much for me."

"Buck up!" Samuel smiled. "You'll be all right. Pull yourself up to your full height and walk with me out before the people."

It was difficult for the young Benjamite to go before such a throng as though he were something on display, but he obediently accompanied Samuel to the elevated place where the lots had been cast.
"This is Saul, the man who will be your king!" Samuel called out to the people as he took the younger man by the arm and gently pushed him forward. (I Samuel 10:22-23.)

A mighty cheer welled up from the crowd at sight of the large, tall, athletic and handsome man. The cheering continued for so long that Samuel finally held up his hands for silence, but the noise of the crowd didn’t die down right away.

"Your God has chosen this man for you!" Samuel called out to the people. "You see for yourselves that there is none quite like him in all of Israel!"

Another long cheer came from the crowd. Gradually it turned into a disorganized chant, finally developing into a definite statement.

"Long live the king!" the people shouted over and over. This expression of affection for royalty has lasted to this day.

After Saul had walked out of view, the voices gradually ceased. Samuel then outlined to the people the changes that would be required because of a different kind of government soon to go into effect.

"Return to your homes, and may God be with you," was the last thing Samuel said to the assembled Israelites. (I Samuel 10:24-25.)

A King Without a Kingdom

Carefully eluding the people, Saul set out for his home in Gibeah to continue working on his father’s farm. This was according to Samuel’s suggestion. The older man knew that it was up to God to create a situation that would lead to Saul’s coming into active leadership of Israel.

Saul didn’t go home by himself, though possibly he would have preferred to do so because of his retiring nature. Whether or not he liked it, he was accompanied by a number of trusted men whose business it was to make certain that he arrived safely at his father’s farm—and thereafter to serve as his royal attendants.

For days after his returning home, many people came to bring him gifts and wish him well. At the same time there were some who came to jeer at him and taunt him with insulting remarks. Large and strong as he was, Saul could have given these hoodlums some painful moments. But he realized that a king should never brawl nor lay hands on his taunters. Nor should anyone who lives by God’s laws, for that matter. Saul controlled himself to the point that he didn’t even act as though he heard them. (I Samuel 10:26-27.) However, because Saul did not receive the complete support of the people, he was unable to set up a royal organization. Saul waited patiently until circumstances should work toward his being more widely accepted.

Shortly after lots had been drawn to determine the man who should become Israel’s
first king, an Ammonite army appeared in the area of Jabesh-gilead, a city just east of the Jordan river in the territory of Gad.

The inhabitants of Jabesh-gilead were fearful when they saw such a fighting force approaching, but they were filled with panic when the Ammonite army marched up and completely surrounded their city. The people weren't equipped to fight off armed besiegers. This could mean being bottled up until food ran out, if the enemy chose to stay that long. If the Ammonites chose to attack, defeat would be only that much sooner. All they could do would be to throw themselves on the Ammonites' mercy—if any. And the Ammonites were known as a very cruel people.

The leaders of Jabesh-gilead made their decision, and fearfully went to confer with their besiegers.

Now Nahash, the Ammonite king, was a harsh, arrogant man who was intent on driving Israel out from the territory east of the Jordan river. He was aware that Israel under Jephthah's leadership had crushed his nation's army nearly forty years previously. And he felt that it was time the score was more than evened.

"For Israelites, you show considerable courage," Nahash observed sarcastically as he stared at the leaders of Jabesh-gilead. "Surely you are aware that the people of your city are alive only because I prefer to take my time in destroying them!"

"We realize that," the Gadites replied uneasily. "But by fighting to the end, we could make your siege costly. We're here to tell you that we are willing to become your servants if you will agree to spare us."

Nahash gazed at them in disbelief. Then he broke out into
The Gadites were startled at this cruel declaration, but they made one more attempt at trying to save their city.

"Please give us seven more days of freedom," they humbly asked the glaring Nahash.

"Now why should I spare your city for seven more days?" the Ammonite leader slowly asked in mock concern.

"So that we may send messengers to other Israelite tribes to bring us help," they explained. "If no one comes to rescue us within a week, then do as you will with us."

Nahash glanced around wide-eyed at his officers.

"Have you ever heard anything like this?" he asked. "We have come many miles over a hot desert to conquer these people, and they have the gall to suggest that we postpone the conquering until they can scrape together an army to try to fight us off!"

"You fear that an Israelite army will come if we send the messengers?" the Gadites bravely asked, knowing that such a question might be their last.

For a moment it seemed that Nahash would become very angry. It was evident that he was making an effort to control himself. Then a bitter grin crept over his swarthy face.

"You wouldn't believe me if I were to tell you that I don't fear any part or all of Israel," he muttered slowly as he leaned forward and shook his finger at the Gadites. "Just to prove my contempt for your nation, I'm going to give you those seven days you've asked for! You have my permission to alert all your tribes. If they send an army here, then that will spare me the trouble of going over the Jordan to destroy it! Now go!" (I Samuel 11:3.)

As soon as the Gadites had disappeared from view, Nahash's officers began to express their concern because of what could result from their king's rash promise.

"We'll see to it that those messengers never get far from Jabesh-gilead, sir," they told Nahash.

"Why bother?" the king grinned. "We know that Israel doesn't have a standing army. It would be impossible to form one and move it here within a week. After
The Gadites of Jabesh-gilead were fearful when they saw a vast army approaching. Their fear grew into panic when they realized that the strange soldiers were surrounding their city!

we've taken Jabesh-gilead, we'll clear the Israelites out of the land east of the Jordan. Then we'll give some attention to those on the other side of the river."

So saying, Nahash settled back to enjoy a glass of wine. His officers withdrew, their exchanged glances making it plain that they didn't completely share their leader's confidence.

Not long afterward messengers arrived in various parts of Canaan with the startling news that the Ammonites were besieging Jabesh-gilead, and would move into western Canaan unless an army could be sent at once to stop them.

The messengers were not sent directly to new king Saul for help. Although they had accepted Saul as their king, most Israelites knew he was just a farmer with no military background. They had little confidence in his ability to save them. Saul had not yet proved himself to them.

King Saul Acts

As in other parts of Canaan, the people of Gibeah, Saul's home town, fell into a state of fear when they heard the news. Some were so terrified at what they imagined would happen that they went around shrieking and moaning.

Saul knew nothing of all this until after a messenger had arrived in Gibeah. He
was driving a herd of cattle in from a grazing area when one of his men met him to
tell him what had happened. (I Samuel 11:4-5.)

These events having to do with the Ammonites triggered Saul into action as the
king of Israel. He knew he had an immediate responsibility to the people of Jabesh-gilead. He was so moved by the threat of one of Israel’s ancient enemies that he de-
cided to whip up a fighting force immediately. As a means of getting fast action, he
sent pieces of freshly-butchered work bulls to the leaders of the tribes of Israel. The
messengers who brought the pieces explained to the leaders that it was a reminder
from Saul and Samuel that their bulls, too, would be slashed up in like pieces—unless
the leaders immediately sent armed men to help rescue the people of Jabesh-gilead.

This edict was promptly obeyed by the leaders, who feared what God might do
to them if they failed to deliver the men. Within hours thousands of able men were
swarming into Bezek, a town west of the Jordan river not far from Jabesh-gilead.

Meanwhile, the men who had come from Jabesh-gilead returned to their city with
news that help would be there by about mid-morning of the next day. The leaders were
so happy to hear that rescue was on the way that they decided to talk to Nahash again.

"We have decided to surrender to you," the Gadites told the Ammonite king.
"By tomorrow our people will come out to you. We hope that you will spare our city,
if not us."

of surrender when you have no choice? I’ve given you your chance, but don’t think
that your people will get away with keeping any valuable possessions. Everthing they
bring out with them will be examined by my men. Now enjoy your last few hours with
the sight of both eyes. By tomorrow night every man of you will have only one good
eye!"

Back in Bezek, Saul was pleased at the count of Israelites who had rallied in de-
fense of Jabesh-gilead and the nation of Israel. Close to a third of a million men
showed up. Most of them were untrained, but all were armed and ready to fight. (I
Samuel 11:6-10.)

Although Saul had never commanded an army, he was inspired in what to do. He
lost no time in getting the men by night across the Jordan river. There he divided
them into three parts. Each division was commanded by a man who had military ex-
perience. One was sent south of Jabesh-gilead to wait until dawn. Another was dis-
patched to a point out of sight north of the city to wait until the same time. The third
stayed on the west side toward the river.

By dawn next day, Nahash was getting anxious for the people of Jabesh-gilead
to come out of the city.
The Surprise of His Life!

"I'll give them just a little while longer," he grumbled to his officers. "Then if they're not out, pull up your equipment and batter the gates in!"

At that moment an excited lookout raced up to Nahash's tent.

"Many men are approaching on foot from the west!" he panted.

The Ammonite king lunged to his feet and strode outside with his officers. When he saw the dark line of humanity spreading across the plain, in the early dawn light, his anger was greater than his surprise.

"Those Gadites are trying to trick me!" he snapped. "Form all the men in their fighting ranks except enough to guard the gates of the city! We'll settle with those Gadites as soon as we wipe out our attackers!"

Ammonite officers began barking orders. The circle of Ammonite soldiers melted away from around Jabesh-gilead. While men were moving swiftly and noisily about, another excited lookout was desperately trying to make himself heard.

"An army is coming from the north!" he kept yelling.

An officer finally heard him, and rushed the report to Nahash. At first the Ammonite leader wouldn't believe it, but when the oncoming men were pointed out to him, his angry mood started to turn to one of concern. He shouted orders to his of-
The Jewish authorities were afraid to stop Jesus because they knew He was in authority—and they knew both the law of God and civil law were on His side.

Today, if civil authorities allow churches to have bingo games, they are not civilly disobedient when having such games. Any private individual who presumes to go into a church building and break up a private party is himself civilly disobedient. He is invading private property without authority—which both the law of God and civil statutes condemn. He is not only liable to civil prosecution for disturbing the peace, but is also under God’s condemnation for meddling in other people’s matters. God commands: Let none of you suffer as a busybody in other men’s matters” (1 Pet. 4:15). God warns the world: “Every fool will be meddling” (Prov. 20:3); and “He that meddleth with strife...” (Prov. 26:17).

Now is not God’s time to forcibly stop the errors of this world. God, during this age, allows each religion to proceed in error if it so chooses. But the time is coming when Christ will return to earth to enforce the truth. He will teach all men to put aside their errors and learn His ways of honesty, peace, happiness and righteousness.

In the World Tomorrow there won’t be anyone looking for excuses to brawl, riot and destroy! There will be obedience to Law!
The German Elections

(Continued from page 8)

control of the communists. The Western allies took over the rest. The Morgenthan Plan was implemented to reduce the German economic and military might. The Bonn democratic form of government was imposed on Germany, and the allies relinquished most of their control. But the eastern territories remained behind the Iron Curtain. The question is no longer: "Were these steps successful in preventing Germany's rise to economic and military might?"—because GERMANY HAS RISEN!

The really big questions now are "Will Germans again turn to a dictator to make reunification a reality?" "What about a German-led United Europe?" and "Will Germany repeat its history of 1939 and plunge the world into an earth-shaking hydrogen-bomb war with their territorial demands?" Listen to the sure answers to these questions!

Is the German Question Dead?

Before leaving for Germany in June, 1962, I often heard and read that the "German Question" was dead. We were being constantly assured that the German people had changed. They were quite content to leave their country divided, rather than risk war. But once back in the country of my birth, I could see that an organized drive for reunification was well under way. One of the first things I noticed was that in many strategic locations around Germany bright red, black and white signs (the colors of the Nazi party) showing a map of the divided Germany had been erected. These shouted the slogan "Divided 3 Ways? NEVER!" You can imagine my surprise upon discovering the fact that these signs are sponsored by the Federal Ministry for ALL GERMAN AFFAIRS. Its undercover spending is similar to the unbudgeted funds of the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, and runs into the millions annually.

As the months and years passed, it has since become increasingly evident that German leaders are out to gather all Germans behind the push for reunification. Periodically meetings are held all over the country to gain the support of every voter. (See article "German Nazis Renew Old Demands!" PLAIN TRUTH, October 1964, p. 31.) No methods of fanning the flames are being overlooked. Consistently ads appear everywhere to stir up feelings. A recent example is one which simply jerked tears of sympathy with "Remember our brethren in the Zone" (the communist zone of East Germany). Posters displayed in shop windows depict the divisions of Germany with a hammer-and-sickle lock on a chain holding East Germany in its grip. The rousing challenge read: "Shall this remain? NO! NEVER! Self-determination for all people! REUNIFICATION IN PEACE AND FREEDOM."

Day of German Unity

June 17 is now the chief German national holiday. Called "the day of German Unity," it has become the drive to make Germany one. Actually the present West Germany was born on the 23rd of May, 1949, the day the Allies created the new Deutsche Bundesrepublic. But this day is not celebrated. It is a day they want to forget. June 17 on the other hand has become the symbol of the battle cry for reunification. On this day in 1953 the people of East Germany rebelled (in a ruthlessly squashed revolt against their communist bosses) and demanded freedom to reunite with their brothers in the West. Each year this day is gaining in importance and momentum.

Each year, marches and torch-light parades and giant rallies are organized to commemorate June 17. Between 9 and 10,000,000 people take part annually. Bundes President Lübke in an attempt to arouse his audience behind the drive for reunification at a June 17, 1965 rally on the East German border asked: "Have we become over-fed and tired? Do we not think about our brothers and sisters in the eastern zone any longer?" And then he asserted: "German unity will not come to pass by itself."

Dr. Konrad Adenauer in a frightening speech before refugees from communist East Germany proclaimed: "the West German intent is to regain—to re-secure—all territories formerly held by Germany and now held by Russia, whether actually German soil, Polish soil, or Czechoslovakian soil."

What Do Germans Think?

Dan Cordtz of the Wall Street Journal recently interviewed people all over Germany. The results were surprising. He wrote: "The apparently
fading goal of reunification is acquiring renewed appeal for many people in the country’s Western half. Talks with Germans—especially those who are young and politically aware—indicate a widespread determination to press for a reunited country even if it means taking matters into their own hands.”

Some of the remarks volunteered to Mr. Crodtz were revealing.

“That’s all our country in the East,” declared Gerd Thom, machinist, “even the part the Poles have now. I’m never going to be satisfied until we have it again. I’d even be willing to fight for it—but only Germans will do it. The Americans won’t.”

One civil servant admitted, “We know that what we’re trying now isn’t going to work. Sooner or later, the country’s Western half. Talks with a reunited country is now but only Germans will do it. The Americans won’t.”

Former U.S. Ambassador to Bonn, Walter Dowling warned in a speech to the American Club of Paris: “As long as the prospect [of reunification] remains, West Germany will be a strong and loyal ally. Without it, I would not like to contemplate the future.”

“It is a fact that reunification has become a more—not a less important political question, particularly since the erection of the Berlin wall.”

Can there be any question that reunification is the most important issue in German politics?

So What?

Many people might think what difference does it make? What if Germans are out for reunification at any cost. Are not we in America and Britain safe from any danger? Have not the Germans on their own initiative promised never to produce atomic bombs?

What follows will be a shock to many.

First of all, West Germany’s economy is now by far the strongest in Europe. It will not be long before Germany will surpass even the United States in exports. That will make Germany the No. 1 world exporter bar none. Germany has begun trading with almost all of the countries behind the Iron Curtain over the past few years. At a Chemical Exhibition being held in Moscow this week, the Krupp organization announced that they had built 15 complete chemical factories in Russia alone. All communist countries are eager to trade with Germany in order to get some of their hard currency, since the deutsche mark is one of the strongest in the world today. With a booming economy such as Germany’s, German industry can accomplish almost anything they set their mind to do.

West Germany has the biggest armed force in Europe, surpassing that even of France and Great Britain. Their army and air force are rapidly being equipped with the most modern weapons available. A few years ago it started out with 1,000 volunteers. Step by step this developed to conscription for every German youth and the largest army among the NATO partners in Europe. Recently the new Leopard-type tanks began rolling off the assembly line. They are recognized to be the finest and most modern tank, with more firepower and maneuverability than anything that has been developed so far. The German air force will soon get a vertical takeoff and landing fighter which far surpasses anything Britain, France or Russia have been able to produce. On the other hand, German scientists in many places around the world are working on rockets of every description. More than once Werner von Braun has been invited to “come home.”

But now the biggest shock of all comes! From many quarters the cry in Germany is going out: “We want atomic weapons.”

First, Foreign Minister Gerhard Schröder told the world this July that Germany promised merely not to build nuclear weapons on German soil. This promise, he reiterated, did not preclude...
Germany from buying such weapons or manufacturing them abroad.

Then Franz Josef Strauss, the fiery controversial leader of the CSU and former Minister of Defense, followed with a far stronger threat. It appeared in an article in the Rheinischer Merkur, August 27, 1965. Strauss warned that a new Fuhrer could emerge if Germany were not given atomic weapons. No longer can the Allies afford to subject Germany to military discrimination as under the Treaty of Versailles, he said.

Strauss was clearly giving the world a choice. Either give Germany atomic bombs now, or Germany will soon have another Hitler who will provide Germany's forces with atomic warheads! In "Der Mittag," September 6, 1965, editor Herman Rasch wrote an editorial under the large headline "WE NEED ATOMIC WEAPONS." He went on to explain that NATO is powerless and that Germany has no say in the use of U. S. atomic weapons. Therefore in the interest of German security, said Rasch, "we need atomic weapons."

Germany's plutonium production at the present time is already sufficient to produce 70 atomic bombs each year. A leading news magazine reported Germany would "set a new track record" if she were to develop atomic weaponry. The requirements for developing nuclear weapons are money, knowledge and engineering ability. West Germans have all three in abundance. Scientists are predicting that Switzerland or Sweden could do the job in three to five years. West Germans could do it in a few months at most.

Nazism and totalitarian methods are far from dead in Germany. Maybe most of you have already forgotten the ruthless way in which Franz Josef Strauss as Minister of Defense tried to rub out the weekly news magazine Der Spiegel. The magazine had criticized, and stood in the way of, his dream of might. At the time the affair caused him to lose his post as Minister of Defense. Nearly all informed politicians felt Strauss was through. But not Strauss. The Winnipeg Free Press of August 14 reported: "For a man who was generally agreed to be politically finished a year ago... Franz Josef Strauss is now surprisingly well on the way to achieving his ambition. This is a full-scale political comeback and personal rehabilitation, which his supporters hope will one day make him chancellor..."

"His dynamic personality and forceful arguments stir emotions in his listeners which more liberal-minded Germans view with some concern. Though opinion polls still show Mr. Strauss well down on the popularity charts and most West Germans groan when his name is mentioned, the paradox is that whenever he appears at political meetings, he can swing an audience from indifference or antipathy to frenzied support within an hour."

This was written almost a year ago! In the elections just completed, Franz Josef Strauss again proved his popularity by coming through with an overwhelming victory. Rumors have it that he may receive a minister post in the new cabinet but probably Strauss will wait until he can snatch the bigger plum of becoming Chancellor in 1969.

In the latest election an almost unnoticed party shows the trend of German thinking. A splinter party called National Party of Germany appeared on the scene about 6 months ago. This party is clearly made up of followers of Hitler. In their political rallies they rant against the Jews and follow Hitler's old party line to a tee. At the end they always sing "Deutschland über Alles" including the first stanza which is "verboten." This party drew 2 percent of the votes—over 6 percent in cities like Nürnberg—in this recent election. Overall 657,330 Germans voted for this party. Don't believe for one moment that the spirit of Nazism is dead in Germany.

Where Is It All Leading?

The new weak government is not what Germans want. They are out for reunification—at any cost. These are the same conditions which prevailed after World War I in the Weimar Republic, when Hitler was able to take over control of Germany. But with one big difference. Today's Germany is not weak, in the midst of depression as in 1933. Today's Germany is strong. It has emerged complete with an army that will soon have atomic firepower—and a nature that has not changed.

And let's not forget a United Europe slowly forming before our eyes. WHEN ARE WE GOING TO WAKE UP to the meaning of world events?

God promises you protection if you do!
Crisis Hits Common Market
(Continued from page 4)

alliance of European nations would, of course, be dominated by French nuclear power.

This explains why De Gaulle wants the U.S. and its money to leave Europe. And why he has threatened to leave NATO in 1969. The Germans and the other countries now have access to American nuclear weapons through NATO. Once the Americans leave Europe and NATO is broken up, France would, in De Gaulle's mind, be the only European country with nuclear weapons.

Why De Gaulle Acted Now

Why did De Gaulle force a showdown in the Market at this time? The answer is simple. All policies must be accepted by the six countries before they are adopted and put into practice. France now can veto and block any policy or regulation she doesn't like. When the majority voting rule comes into force next January, this will no longer be true. Then, if five nations vote for a policy and France votes against it, the policy will still be adopted. Thus France would lose her control over the policies to be adopted. General de Gaulle is determined to block this surrender of French sovereignty and national authority to the Commission here in Brussels. He wants nothing that will restrict French liberty of action.

It now appears that France is determined to block any political union in Europe. The crisis is really a political fight between De Gaulle and his Common Market partners. It's all a struggle for power. It's a fight to determine who will control and lead Europe. There's still too much nationalism in Europe to allow the nations to get together as a political federation—not only in France, but in the other countries as well.

That is why the Common Market Headquarters remains in Brussels. The Counsellor at the U.S. Commission explained it this way. This city was to be only a temporary location, but the countries since have not been able to agree as to which country should have the capital of Europe. Nationalistic feelings were stirred up. No decision has been made that is satisfactory to everyone. The French want the capital in Paris. The Germans want it in West Berlin. The Italians want it in Rome. The Germans in their country, and so it would go.

The present crisis is a battle of wills. The struggle is between the nationalist concepts of General de Gaulle and the seeds of supranationality implanted in the Treaty of Rome. This is the crucial problem. The Common Market has always been destined toward becoming a political institution. But De Gaulle wants to keep the economic advantages the Common Market has to offer without paying the political price—that of submitting to a higher authority.

Needed: a Supreme Authority

Bible prophecy reveals that Europe will unite to resurrect the Roman Empire—a union of ten nations or groups of nations under ten dictators. It will be united economically, politically, and religiously.

But, this complete unification cannot come about unless there is a Supreme Authority. An Authority to which all the nations of "crazy-quilted" Europe can look with profound respect and submission. France isn't about to let Germany be in supreme command. Germany, likewise, doesn't want France to give the orders and be the leader in Europe. No single nation, because of this nationalist factor, could have this supreme authority. It must be a neutral, higher authority which all the nations will recognize.

This Supreme Authority could only be religious.

I asked an official in Brussels about the possibility of the Vatican intervening to bring the nations of Europe together in a political federation. His
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knowing that tribulation worketh patience" (Rom. 5:3). Therefore it produces good in, and for us.

"My brethren, count it all joy when ye fall into divers temptations"—alternate translation: "trials." "Knowing this, that the trying of your faith worketh patience" (Jas. 1:2-3).

"Beloved, think it not strange concerning the fiery trial which is to try you, as though some strange thing had happened unto you: but rejoice" (I Peter 4:12-13).

Moses suffered affliction in order to lead the children of God, choosing this rather than the riches of the king's palace and the pleasures of sin for a season.

David suffered constant criticism and false accusation, his enemies continually tried to unseat him, and his trials were so great sometimes it seemed God would never come to his rescue—yet God always did! Truly these trials teach us to be patient—for it often seems an eternity before God finally delivers us. How often I have experienced this personally. Yet, always God has intervened before it was too late!

God chose Paul to be an apostle of Jesus Christ, and the Lord said, "I will shew him how great things he must suffer for my name's sake" (Acts 9:16). And late in life Paul wrote: "In all things approving ourselves as the ministers of God, in much patience, in afflictions, in necessities, in distresses, in stripes, in imprisonments"—(people probably would call Paul a crook, a racketeer, an imposter and a jailbird today)—"in tumults, in labors, in watchings, in fastings" etc. (II Cor. 6:4-6). Paul outlined more of his troubles and sufferings in II Corinthians 11:23-28.

Perhaps a chosen servant of God may be called upon to endure more trials, troubles, and tests of faith than other Christians—but every Christian shall suffer persecution, and meet fiery trials, sorrows, troubles, tests of faith. All our readers who are Christians will understand. Let us not murmur or grumble. Let us have faith, and endure in our faith patiently.
reply: "You must be joking." He then went on to explain why, in his mind, it would be an utter impossibility. To those who do not understand the meaning of world events, it does seem impossible, especially in present-day circumstances. But these circumstances can change—and change quickly.

Already the Common Market has made **gigantic strides** in changing Europe. It is knocking down the trade barriers between the member nations. Goods of all kinds are flowing back and forth freely. Shops in Brussels now sell items from all parts of Europe. When I went into a gift shop to purchase something made in Belgium, the clerk could only show me three items. Almost everything in the shop had been manufactured in other countries.

But little realized is the fact that the religious barrier is quickly crumbling. European Protestants are becoming more and more willing to submit to the authority of the Pope. And the Pope is the one political leader who can dissolve the political barrier. His visit to the United Nations is an important move in this direction. The Pope is establishing himself as a mediator in political affairs. The nations of Europe, according to Bible prophecy, will in a few short years, accept him as the **Supreme Authority**.

**The Immediate Future**

Officials in Brussels say the Common Market will remain at a standstill at least until the end of the year. After the German and French elections, the leaders of those nations will be ready to confer with the other countries. In the meantime, a compromise will undoubtedly be drawn up by the Common Market Commission.

When I visited the Common Market Headquarters building, I was informed of the Commission’s memorandum of July 22. It makes this important statement: "As 1967 was not acceptable to all the member governments as the year in which the Community should start having its own independent revenue, the Commission proposes that this measure be postponed until 1970."

This is important. When the Common Market Community has its own independent revenue, the Commission will be given power to distribute that revenue. This will be a big step toward a federation and a **political union**. But this step is now planned to be delayed for three years—until 1970. Do you understand what this means?

It means God is allowing Europeans three extra years to hear **The World Tomorrow** Broadcast. It is enough time for God’s final warning to resound through Europe. It is enough time—if we do our part to reach all Europe with the good news of the soon-coming Kingdom of God. Christ said: "And this gospel of the kingdom shall be preached in all the world for a witness unto all nations; and then shall the end come" (Mat. 24:14).

Meanwhile, the Common Market is continually developing toward a **political federation**. It will eventually have ten leaders under a supreme political head appointed by a religious leader. It’s all explained in symbol in Revelation 17. God’s Work of carrying out the gospel as a witness must be completed before this political union in Europe is completed.

As often explained in the pages of **The Plain Truth**, God gave the apostolic Church two nineteen-year time cycles to carry the gospel to the world in that day. He opened a door to the apostle Paul at the beginning of the second nineteen-year cycle by which the gospel went to Europe (Acts 16:9; II Cor. 2:12-13).

This pattern is being repeated in our day. The gospel of the Kingdom of God began over the radio in January, 1934. It began in a small way. But it grew steadily. Exactly nineteen years later the door of radio opened up for the gospel to go to Europe. Radio Luxembourg began broadcasting **The World Tomorrow** in January, 1953.

Time is rushing by. The Work of God for this age will soon be completed—perhaps sooner than many think. See what is occurring in Europe.

It was planned that the agriculture and industrial Common Markets be completed by July, 1967. Now, because of De Gaulle’s actions, the Common Market Commission proposes to postpone the time to 1970, when it can have its own independent revenue and the political power that goes along with it.

Despite De Gaulle, Bible prophecy makes it clear that the Common Market will **not** dissolve. It will continue to develop as an economic union—and when the time is ripe, a political union will be formed suddenly. The world will be shocked.

The **Corriere Della Sera** newspaper struck the truth when it said: "The unity of Europe is an historic necessity. De Gaulle can impede history. He can even stop it for a while, but he cannot make it move backwards."

**Prophecy marches on!**

**“PEACE . . . OR END OF CIVILIZATION”**
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affairs!

This is the world you **live in**!

It is a world engaged in a deadly game of “Russian roulette” with awesome nuclear weapons. A world where a nuclear pistol is leveled at the head of every living man, woman and child on the face of the earth!

"Together," said Mr. Kennedy grimly, "we shall save our planet—or together we shall perish in its flames!"

Not Predictions of Alarmists!

What President Kennedy told the United Nations in 1961 is more true today than ever before. His prophecy of possible world annihilation was not the ranting of a fanatic, but is the day-to-day reality of the world in which you live. It is the news you see on your television set, that you read in your daily newspapers, that you hear over your radio.

Dr. W. H. Pickering, Director of Jet Propulsion Laboratory for California Institute of Technology and head of the lunar probe rocket program, put it this way: "**Half an hour to annihilation . . . ! In half an hour the East and the West could destroy civilization.**"

In the words of Professor Charles A. Seippman of New York University, "All of us are under the **symptoms of death** . . . We do not have an assured life expectancy beyond 1000 breaths—"
which is the time it takes for one of the guided missiles to get from point A to point B at any place on the face of the earth..."

The idea that man could end the world tomorrow is not the empty cry of a street corner prophet clad in a stained toga and sandals—not the partisan words scrawled on the placards of pacifists. It is the sober warning of world leaders who are aware of man's new power and realize it is OUT OF CONTROL!

Which of these world-famous men would you label a fanatic, an extremist? NONE! Yet ALL speak of the END OF THIS WORLD—the end of human civilization!

Who Will YOU BELIEVE?

The files of our News Bureau here at Ambassador College literally bulge with the testimony of great men—leaders in their field—who warn of the ominous disaster which faces mankind.

You need to realize you are living in the final hours of human life on this earth—UNLESS Jesus Christ intervenes!

These are the simple facts—they would be absolutely true whether or not you read them in The Plain Truth magazine, whether or not you heard them over The World Tomorrow Broadcast.

These statements have not been invented by Ambassador College as a tool to grind a "religious axe."

You need to ask yourself—"How long can man continue this arms race, manufacturing new weapons, threatening nuclear war before some madman presses the red button which will mean the beginning of the end?"

Dr. M. S. Livingston of Massachusetts Institute of Technology and the President of the Federation of American Scientists said, "It appears unlikely that the world will avoid a nuclear holocaust if another 15 years pass without arms control agreements."

Dr. W. H. Pickering, Cal. Tech., said: "In half an hour the East and the West could destroy civilization."

Lester B. Pearson, former Canadian Foreign Minister: "... we had better do it in the next five or ten years!"

Dean Acheson, former Secretary of State, said "I assure you that I know nothing which is classified, but I know enough of what is going on to assure you that, in 15 years from today, this world is going to be too dangerous to live in."

All of these men predict the possibility of the END OF THIS WORLD within the space of THIS GENERATION!

Can you believe them?

Sir Philip Noel-Baker, British authority on world disarmament told assembled U.S. Congressmen, "I believe that within 10 years we will all be dead and the earth will be an incinerated relic."

"I believe so too..." said Charles O. Porter, Congressman from Oregon. Do you BELIEVE it?

Can The U.N. Save Us?

What can save this world from extinction?

Will the U.N. eventually bring about arms control, perhaps even disarmament?

Former Canadian Foreign Minister Lester B. Pearson, said, "The United Nations as it is at present is of no value for security purposes... In the long run, arms alone have never guaranteed peace in the past, and arms alone will never guarantee peace in the future." How much time did Mr. Pearson give to work out something better? "... we had better do it in the next five or ten years!"

Will man's governments abolish wars?

General Thomas White, U.S.A.F. (ret.) admitted "Little in the past suggests that wars can be abolished. It has been said that in the 3,640 years of recorded history only 292 years have been free from the clash of arms."

Commenting on the ability of men to find alternatives to nuclear war, General White continued... "many knowledgeable and intelligent people believe there are none!"

Viewing the events of the past, historian Samuel Eliot Morison gave this empty advice, "We have to get used to living in crisis after crisis, hoping for the best but expecting the worst!"

Can Science Save Us?

Since the United Nations—bickering and bankrupt—is powerless in the face
of ultimate nuclear disaster, where can man hope for help?

Can the very scientists who spawned the thermonuclear bombs stop their snowballing threat to man's existence?

Albert Einstein said, "There is no defense in science against the weapons which can destroy civilization."

Dr. Herbert F. York, Chief of Defense Department Research under President Eisenhower, said there is virtually no possibility of developing any defense system against nuclear missile attack. "It is our considered professional opinion that this dilemma has no technical solution. The clearly predictable course of the arms race is a steady open spiral down toward oblivion."

J. Robert Oppenheimer, physicist on the A-bomb, warned that in the next war, "none of us can count on having enough living to bury our dead." He spoke of the next war as an inevitability!

Since scientists of all nations agree that it is impossible for them to stop "the spiral down toward oblivion" what then are leading scientists doing?

Many are building the fantastic weapons of tomorrow!

Here is a list of advanced concepts for important weapons of the near future:

1) SUPERMISSILES with giant hydrogen warheads which are able to maneuver in space to avoid anti-missiles.

2) SLEEPING MISSILES placed as mines in enemy waterways.

3) SEA MISSILES could be launched by remote control from silos beneath the ocean floor.

4) GIGATON BOMBS with power enough to destroy cities from an altitude of 250 miles.

5) NEUTRON BOMBS which kill without property destruction.

6) TRILLITON H-BOMBS able to destroy whole continents.

7) DEATH-RAY WEAPONS using the principle of concentrated light rays which can cut through steel, called "LASERS."

8) ANTI-MATTER WEAPONS a thousand times more powerful than any existing H-bombs.

9) CONTROL OF WEATHER—the ability to cause every weather condition from fog to earthquakes and from hurricanes to tornados.

10) THE "DOOMSDAY" MACHINES with enough thermonuclear power to destroy whole nations.

These weapons are already being considered according to a recent issue of the U.S. News and World Report.

Can Civil Defense Save Us?

Can preparations for civilian defense insure the survival of the human race in this age of total war with weapons of total destruction?

"Civil defense officials have written off your chance of survival in a nuclear air raid on the city (New York). 'FORGET IT,' is their succinct reply to questions about what would happen to New Yorkers if an H-Bomb fell here," reported the New York World-Telegram and Sun on March 30, 1965.

"Where does the city stand today in civil defense preparations?" the World-Telegram continued, "The object is to provide places for 13 million people to hide—that is the peak daytime population of the city—and enough supplies to keep them alive for two weeks until the fallout danger has passed."

But civil officials are unable to keep pace with the plans of science for the more terrifying weapons of the future. By the time New York's facilities are built they will be woefully obsolete!

Where Can You Hide?

Civilian Defense can't save this world's entire population from the war which is coming.

The New York Times reports, "Congress, however, has been at best lukewarm insofar as provision of funds for shelters is concerned. . . . The major reasons for this lack of concern Washington observers are convinced are two. One is psychological: People do not wish to face up to the . . . results of nuclear war. . . . The other reason is that Congress has not been persuaded that a comprehensive civil-defense program that would actually save millions of lives has been worked out." (March 23, 1965.)

"Actually," explained Mr. McNamara, [U.S. Secretary of Defense] "the
only way to win a full-scale nuclear war is to prevent it.'

Ex-President Eisenhower said, "With both sides of this divided world in possession of unbelievably destructive weapons, mankind approaches a state when mutual annihilation becomes a possibility. No other fact of today's world equals this in importance—it colors every thing we say, plan and do."

Does the knowledge that this world is on the brink of self-annihilation—cosmocide—color everything you say, plan and do?

What are your plans for the next 5, 10, 15, or 20 years?

If you are a teen-ager—do you expect to grow old in peace and safety surrounded by your grandchildren?

If you are just beginning college this autumn, do you suppose that the knowledge you will be given will enable you to avert World War III?

Are you a businessman? Do you plan to collect your pension, find a retirement home in the proposed "great society" and live your next 40 years in security?

Are you a housewife—a mother? Do you expect to see your children or your grandchildren graduate from college and establish families and careers?

How does the knowledge of the times in which you live affect your life?

How are you preparing for the gloomy predictions of Presidents, statesmen, scientists, newsmen, military men, historians?

Do you believe them when they say we are rapidly approaching THE END OF THIS WORLD?

Dr. Leonard Duhl, psychiatrist for the National Institute of Mental Health said, "Most people employ protective mechanisms for blottoing out of their minds the menace of world crisis."

Dr. Jerome Frank, psychiatrist at Johns Hopkins reported, "Some of us find intellectual escape hatches. A tomahawk could leave you just as dead as an H-bomb; therefore why worry about the bomb? Since the world began, people have been predicting its end and it never happened; so why think so now?"

Can you escape the threat of nuclear war simply by ignoring it? Do you suppose that if it is ignored it will go away?

Don't deceive yourself!

Samuel Rayburn, late Speaker of the House of Representatives in the United States said, "Frankly, I must say that our Christian civilization stands in the greatest danger since Christ walked the shores of Galilee 20 centuries ago. Somebody, I fear, may be reckless enough to drop the first hydrogen bomb. But when that happens, nobody has won. EVERYBODY HAS LOST!"

Jesus Christ Prophesied

Twenty centuries ago Jesus Christ spoke of the times of world crisis at the end of this age! He spoke plainly of World War!

God Almighty provided accurate news reporting of Christ's important statements—the record is found in your Bible.

Matthew reported that the disciples asked Christ about the END OF THIS WORLD—the crisis now facing mankind. Jesus said: "For then shall be great tribulation, such as was not since the beginning of the world to this time, no, nor ever shall be, and except those days should be shortened, there should no flesh be saved..." (Mat. 24:20-22.)

The days of total destruction have arrived! The time when man can destroy all human life on earth is here! We are living in the days—THE VERY GENERATION—Jesus Christ spoke about!

You can't hide from the prophecy of Jesus Christ!

If you won't hear the testimony of world leaders—you must hear the words of God's own Son.

But will you believe Him?

There Is A Way of Escape

The question is sometimes asked, 'Saved? Why should I want to be saved? Saved from what? Saved from what?'

If you aren't hiding from the facts of the world in which you live, you know "from what." Presidents, scientists and statesmen know—and their words are the heart and core of world news since 1955!

Notice what Jesus said, "Verily I say unto you, This generation [the generation with power to destroy all life] shall not pass, till all these things be fulfilled. Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away!" (Mat. 24:34.)

"When ye shall see all these things, know that it [the end of this world] is near, even at the doors." (Verse 33.)

Believe Jesus Christ!

Believe what He said!

Over and beyond the desolation of the near future, Jesus promised a happy, peaceful World Tomorrow. The message that human life was not doomed to extinction was the very GOOD NEWS—the Gospel—Jesus brought from heaven.

He said, "Except those days should be shortened, there should no flesh be saved: BUT FOR THE ELECT'S SAKE THOSE DAYS SHALL BE SHORTENED!" (Mat. 24:22.)

There is good news for the future—but what about right now? The answer is in the free booklet, 1975 In Prophecy. Write for it immediately if you have not already done so.

And be sure to ask to be enrolled in the tuition-free Ambassador College Bible Correspondence Course. Lesson One will answer your question, "Why study the Bible in the SPACE AGE?"

There is no Bible study course like it—it deals with the vital significance of today's world news.

It will give you the point-by-point sequence of events that will culminate in the return of Jesus Christ to save this world from the flames of nuclear war!

The only textbook you need is your own Bible. This Correspondence Course is already being sent free of charge to over 75,000 students worldwide. Address your request to Correspondence Course Department in care of our Regional Office in your area (see inside front cover for addresses).

If you believe what world leaders are saying about the END OF THIS WORLD—and if you will believe your own Bible and the words of Jesus Christ—do something about it NOW! Hiding from the reality of the world around you will not make the specter of disaster go away!
The Pope speaks out shortly before scheduled trip to U.N.

POPE WARNS: "PEACE — OR END OF CIVILIZATION!"

Open wars and uneasy truces plague United Nations efforts. Wars and flare-ups rage in Vietnam, India-Pakistan frontier area, the Caribbean, Malaysia-Indonesia, and the Congo. Amid all these apparent United Nations failures, Pope Paul saw the need to remind the world that without peace civilization would end. But does the world know the way to peace?

Left, the U.N. Building. Below, General Assembly in action. American chaplain conducts burial service in Korea for U.S. soldiers. This war was a major test for United Nations. Only U.S. prevented total fiasco.
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★ "PEACE . . . OR END OF CIVILIZATION"
Suddenly the U.N. is faced with a new, mighty crisis—how to keep the flames of war in Asia from spreading. Even Pope Paul VI has felt compelled to call upon world leaders to find a way to peace. See page 2.

★ Crisis Hits European Common Market
The Common Market is now at the crossroads. Will it survive? Here are facts and the reason why it will yet grow to become a German-led federation—in spite of France's De Gaulle. See page 3.

★ The RACE RIOTS of the FUTURE!
"POLICE BRUTALITY!" "Poverty!" "Unequal opportunity!" "Iliteracy!" "Poor housing!" "Police Chief Parker!" These are hurled back and forth as causes of the race riots in Los Angeles. Read, in this article, the REAL cause, and the powder keg of violence that could explode where YOU live! See page 5.

★ The Meaning Behind THE GERMAN ELECTIONS
Read this firsthand report on the recent German elections. Our German correspondent attended the political rallies—heard the new German demands. Here is what he found. See page 7.

★ New Crisis in Southeast Asia – SINGAPORE BREAKS AWAY!
Britain's former colony and key sea-gate has broken away. Stunned, British and American officials fear further deterioration in Southeast Asia. Read how this latest development could lead to a second Viet Nam. See page 9.

★ "THE UNKNOWN GOD"
The ignorant Greeks labeled the Creator: "The Unknown God" (Acts 17:23). They forgot WHO He is—because they had lost the meaning of His name! That name is important—what is it? What does it mean? See page 17.

★ . . . ALMOST CREATE LIFE?
Science is in a frenzied search for the mysteries of life. Can SCIENCE create life in the test tube? Can human heredity be chemically controlled to produce a kind of science-fiction immortality? Where is it all leading? See page 23.